## CSC Alignment

- Closest hit approach
- All hits approach
- CSC case
- CSC hits cut
- New fit method
- New alignment results


## Closest hit approach

## Aligned position

Unaligned position

$\star$ - wrong hit, $\star$ - right hit, • - track extrapolation

- We can lose right residuals
- If we far away of aligned position, all residuals can be wrong


## BM@

## All hits approach

## Aligned position



Unaligned position

$\star$ - wrong hit, $\star$ - right hit, • - track extrapolation

- We do not lose right residuals
- If the number of wrong hits is large, we will have a large combinatorial background
- Due to large background we need to use more sophisticated fitting algorithms

CSC case


太 - hit, • - track extrapolation

- Average number of combination without 1-digit clusters in hits <3
- Closest hit and all hits approaches in this case seem to be equal


## CSC Hits cut



- Average number of trackshits combination per event >8




## Remove hits with at least 1 cluster containing 1 digit

## BM@

## New fit method




- $M_{\text {dean }}^{\text {Distrib }}-$ Mean $_{\text {Gaus }}$ variates more than $\pm 1 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Use gaus+pol0 to fit slices by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$


## BM@

## New alignment results
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## BM@

## New alignment results

- To get new aligned CSC position following shifts are implemented:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -Z_{\text {Alllits }}=Z_{\text {GEM }}-0.88, \mathrm{~cm}\left(Z_{\text {Closesthit }}+0.13 \mathrm{~cm}\right) \\
& -X_{\text {Alllits }}=X_{\text {GEM }}-0.213, \mathrm{~cm}\left(X_{\text {Closesthiit }}\right) \\
& -Y_{\text {Alllits }}=Y_{\text {GEM }}+0.085, \mathrm{~cm}\left(Y_{\text {Closesthit }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Residual misalignment by $X$ and $Y<200 \mu m$
- For the CSC Closest Hit approach, the alignment is simpler and gives the same results


## BM@N

## BM@N

## Backup

## Data without field

- Run 4648
- Argon beam
- Al target 3.3 mm wide


## BM@N

# Previous result, GEM-CSC tracking 

# вм@м Residuals without field, X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ 

 $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {best }}+1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$

- Residuals for $Z$, shifted by 1.5 cm relative to the "optimal" Z
- Negative slope is visible for $X$ and $X^{\prime}$
- The slopes are different and correspond to the difference in position along $Z \Delta Z \approx 6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$


## вм@NResiduals without field, X и X' <br> ,

best



## вм@п Residuals without field, X и $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {best }}$

- Residuals were calculated by discarding the CSC hit and extrapolating the track from GEM
- Sigma of residuals about 4 mm
- Zef planes $X$ and $X$ 'are separated by several millimeters in different directions relative to Zcsc ( X ' is closer to the target in $Z$ )
- Zcsc, implemented in reconstruction, in the middle between $X$ and $X^{\prime}$


## BM@N

## Si-GEM-CSC extended tracking

## вм@л $\quad$ Residuals w/o field, $X$ and $X^{\prime}$,



30 - Residuals for $Z$, shifted by ${ }^{20} 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ relative to the "optimal" Z

- Positive slope is visible for $X$ and $X^{\prime}$
- The slopes are close to each other



# вм@л Residuals w/o field, X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {best }}$ 
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## вм@N Residuals without field, X и $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {best }}$

- To get new aligned CSC position following shifts are implemented:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -Z_{\text {new }}=Z_{\text {old }}-1.01, \mathrm{~cm} \\
& -X_{\text {new }}=X_{\text {old }}-0.213, \mathrm{~cm} \\
& -Y_{\text {new }}=Y_{\text {old }}+0.085, \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Sigma of residuals about 5.3 mm (about 1 mm more than before)
- The slopes for the $X$ and $X$ ' planes are close to each other
- The difference in slopes corresponds to a displacement along Z about $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


## вм@н Displacement of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ planes

- X and X ' plane displacement issue not reproduced
- The possible reasons are following:
- New tracking
- More accurate track selection ( $\geq 2$ Si hits, $\geq 3$ GEM hits)
- Smaller binning
- Using the same $X^{\wedge} X^{\prime}$ angle in ResidOk as in the reconstruction (influence <100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )


## вм@н Residuals w/o field, X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, Z best




- Residuals vs tangent in XZ plane with "prof" option
- Errors are the errors of the mean
- The discrepancy with the alignment results using the "colz" option is about 1 mm

