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## AGS NA49 BRAHMS

$\checkmark$ In A+A collisions at Nuclotron energies: Opening thresholds for strange and multistrange hyperon production
$\rightarrow$ strangeness at threshold
$\checkmark$ In $p+p, p+n, p+A$ collisions:
hadron production in elementary reactions and ,cold‘ nuclear matter as ,reference‘ to pin down nuclear effects


## Motivation



$\checkmark$ In heavy-ion reactions: production of hypernuclei through coalescence of $\Lambda$ with light fragments enhanced at high baryon densities.
$\checkmark$ Maximal yield predicted for $\checkmark_{\mathrm{s}}=4-5 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{GeV}$ (stat. model) (interplay of $\Lambda$ and light nuclei excitation function).
$\rightarrow \mathrm{BM} @ \mathrm{~N}$ energy range is suited for the search of hypernuclei.

## Heavy Ion Collision experiments



BM@N: ${\sqrt{s_{N N}}}=2.3-3.5 \mathrm{GeV}$

## Nuclotron and BM@N beam line



26 elements of magnetic optics:
$\rightarrow 8$ dipole magnets
$\rightarrow 18$ quadruple lenses
Requirements for Au beam:
$\checkmark$ Minimum dead material
$\rightarrow$ need to replace 40 m air intervals/foils with vacuum


## Detector geometry

## BM@N setup:

$\checkmark$ Central tracker (GEM+Si) inside analyzing magnet to reconstruct AA interactions
$\checkmark$ Outer tracker (DCH, CPC) behind magnet to link central tracks to ToF detectors
$\checkmark$ ToF system based on mRPC and T0 detectors to identify hadrons and light nucleus
$\checkmark$ ZDC calorimeter to measure centrality of AA collisions and form trigger
$\checkmark$ Detectors to form T0, L1 centrality trigger and beam monitors
$\checkmark$ Electromagnetic calorimeter for $\gamma, \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{e}-$


BM@N advantage: large aperture magnet ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$ gap between poles)
$\rightarrow$ fill aperture with coordinate detectors which sustain high multiplicities of particles
$\rightarrow$ divide detectors for particle identification to "near to magnet" and "far from magnet" to measure particles with low as well as high momentum ( $\mathrm{p}>1-2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ )
$\rightarrow$ fill distance between magnet and "far" detectors with coordinate detectors

## GEM tracker set-up in MC



Optimized positions of 6 GEM planes (MC-2015)
GEM position from target:
30-45-60-80-100-130 cm


Actual positions of 6 GEM planes in last technical runs (MC-2017)
Real GEM position from target:
51-86-116-151-181-216 cm

## $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{0}$ simulation: MC-2015 vs MC-2017

| MC-2015 | MC-2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DCM model (minbias events) | DCM model (minbias events) |
| $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C}$ interactions | $\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{C}$ interactions |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$ |
| 0.5 M events | 1 M events |
| GEM position from target: 30-45-60-80-100-130 cm | GEM position from target: 51-86-116-151-181-216 cm |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{0}: 28229$ (gen) / 2500 (rec) | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{0}: 19020$ (gen) / 167 (rec) |
| Eff. Rec. $=8.9 \%$ | Eff. Rec. $=0.8 \%$ |
| Magnetic field $\mathrm{B}=0.44 \mathrm{~T}$ | Magnetic field $\mathrm{B}=0.7 \mathrm{~T}$ |

## ^ simulation: MC-2015 vs MC-2017

| MC-2015 | MC-2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DCM model (minbias events) | DCM model (minbias events) |
| C+C interactions | d+C interactions |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$ |
| 0.1 M events | 1 M events |
| GEM position from target: 30-45-60-80-100-130 cm | GEM position from target: 51-86-116-151-181-216 cm |
| 1: 11933 (gen) / 2359 (rec) | 1: 43432 (gen) / 1832 (rec) |
| Eff. Rec. $=19.8$ \% | Eff. Rec. $=4.2$ \% |
| Magnetic field $\mathrm{B}=0.44 \mathrm{~T}$ | Magnetic field $\mathrm{B}=0.7 \mathrm{~T}$ |

## Simulation of GEM response: Garfield++



Garfield++ - framework for microsimulation physical processes in the gas detectors.

A charge particle passing through GEM chamber detecting volume ionizes the gas.

The through multiplayer GEM-cascades form avalanches which drift to the readout-plane and fire the strips on it.


## Simulations of GEM response: Garfield++



X distribution of the avalanche centers at read-out plane. $\mathrm{B}=0.3 \mathrm{~T}$



X distribution of the avalanche centers at read-out plane. $\mathrm{B}=0.6 \mathrm{~T}$


Examples of the avalanche profile of single track at the read-out plane.

mean: 2.5 mm RMS: $\mathbf{4 2 0} \boldsymbol{\mu m}$

X distribution of the avalanche centers at read-out plane. $\mathrm{B}=0.9 \mathrm{~T}$


The results are presented for configuration: Ar+Isobuthan $=90: 10$.

## Technical run in December 2016

BM@N set-up used in the deuteron run.


Example of an event reconstruction in the central tracker.

## Trigger detectors



## Technical run in December 2016



## Data set

Magnetic field: 1600 A ( 0.79 T )
Events: $\quad 7 \mathrm{M}$ ( 0.76 M with $\Lambda$ candidates)
Beam / Target: d/Cu, $E_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Beam / Target: d/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \quad E_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Beam / Target: d/C, $\quad E_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Gas in GEM: Ar + Isobuthan
GEM position from target: 51-86-116-151-181-216 cm

## Alignment of GEM Z position

Proper Z position.


Residual distribution is horizontal along X for adjusted Z position along beam.

5 mm Z displacement.


Residual distribution is inclined along X for shifted Z position.
$\checkmark \Delta=\Delta_{\mathrm{z}} * \operatorname{tg}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{x}}\right), \alpha_{\mathrm{x}}$ - track angle in XoZ
$\checkmark$ Precision of Z position alignment $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Alignment of rotation angles in XoY


$\alpha_{\mathrm{Z}}$ displacement 0

$\alpha_{\mathrm{Z}}$ displacement $0.1^{\circ}$

## Effect of detector rotation in XoY:

$\checkmark$ X residual distribution inclined along Y coordinate $\checkmark 0.1$ degree rotation is clearly detectable

$\checkmark \mathrm{X}$ residual of 2-nd station for straight lines (tracks) defined by hit combinations on stations 1 and 3.
$\checkmark$ An assumption of the same resolution of all three stations leads from the 156 um residual to $\sigma=127$ um resolution. $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}=\sigma_{\Delta} / \sqrt{ } 1.5=156 / \sqrt{ } 1.5=127 \mathrm{um}\right)$

## Beam trajectory in GEM detectors


$\checkmark$ Averaged positions of deuteron beam with $E_{\text {kin }}=4 \mathrm{AGeV}$ reconstructed in 6 GEM planes at different values of magnetic field.
$\checkmark$ Opposite electric field direction in consecutive GEM planes.

## X residuals before Lorentz shift correction

X residuals vs X coordinate, $\delta \sim \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{y}}$





## X residuals after Lorentz shift correction

X residuals vs X coordinate, $\quad \delta \sim \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{y}}$






## GEM hit residuals in mag. Field 0.79 T



GEM hit residuals for exp. data.


GEM hit residuals for MC simulation with Garfield parametrization.

Mag. field 0.79 T
Gas mixture $\mathrm{Ar}+$ Isobuthan

## Momentum resolution: Exp. vs MC


$\checkmark$ Momentum resolution for deuteron beam of 9.7 GeV/c ~9\%.
$\checkmark$ Momentum resolution for proton spectators with momentum of $4.85 \mathrm{GeV} \sim 6 \%$.

$\checkmark$ Momentum resolution from MC as function of particle momentum.
$\checkmark$ MC results reproduce exp. data for spectator protons and deuteron beam.

## Primary vertex reconstruction


$\checkmark$ Width of reconstructed vertex distribution along beam direction in data is reproduced in MC simulation.
$\checkmark$ Longer tails in data distribution are due to pile-up events.

## Pile-up effect in Run 5



$\checkmark$ Event pile-up due to non-uniform time structure of deutron beam.
$\checkmark$ Cut on total momentum of particles in event $<7 \mathrm{GeV} /$ c reduces pile-up significantly.

## Deuteron \& carbon beam structure



Run 5 ( Dec-2016).
Deuteron beam trigger.


Run 6 ( Mar-2017)
CA collisions. N barrel $>=3$.

## $\Lambda$ reconstruction $\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$



Signal event topology defined selection criteria:
$\checkmark$ relatively large distance of closest approach (DCA) to primary vertex of decay products $\checkmark$ small track-to-track separation in decay vertex $\checkmark$ relatively large decay length of mother particle
$\Lambda$ signal width of 3 MeV and background level is reproduced by MC simulation.


Event topology:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\checkmark & \text { PV } & \text { - primary vertex } \\
\checkmark & \mathrm{V}_{0} & \text { - vertex of hyperon decay } \\
\checkmark & \text { dca } & \text { - distance of the closest approach } \\
\checkmark & \text { path }
\end{array}
$$

## Summary and next plans

$\checkmark$ BM@N experiment is in starting phase of its operation and has recorded first experimental data with deuteron beam of 4 AGeV .
$\checkmark$ Minimum bias interactions of deuteron beam with different targets were analyzed with aim to reconstruct tracks, primary and secondary vertexes using central GEM tracking detectors.
$\checkmark$ Spatial, momentum and primary vertex resolution of GEM tracker are reproduced by Monte Carlo simulation.
$\checkmark$ Signal of $\Lambda$-hyperon is reconstructed in proton-pion invariant mass spectrum.
$\checkmark$ To improve vertex and momentum resolution and reduce background under $\Lambda$-hyperon signal, additional planes of GEM detectors and a set of silicon detectors in front of GEM tracking detectors will be implemented.
$\checkmark$ BM@N set-up will extend continuously to adapt its performance for measurements of interactions of heavier ion beams with targets.

## Thank you for attention!

## Backup slides

## Data set (Run 6)

Magnetic field: 1200 A ( 0.59 T )
Gas in GEM: $\mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
Beam / Target: C / Cu (2205k events), $\quad E_{k i n}=4.5 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Beam / Target: C / C (2050k events), $E_{\text {kin }}=4.5 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Beam / Target: C / Al (1730k events), $E_{\text {kin }}=4.5 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Gas in GEM: Ar+Isobuthan
Beam / Target: C / C (2028 k events), $\quad E_{\text {kin }}=4.5 \mathrm{AGeV}$
Beam / Target: C / Al (2163k events), $\quad E_{\text {kin }}=4.5 \mathrm{AGeV}$
GEM position from target: $51-86-116-151-181-216 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Forward silicon strip detector

Si-GEM residuals (cm) vs strip number


Silicon detector group, N.Zamiatin
misalignment

$\checkmark$ 2-coordinate Si detector X-X' $\left( \pm 2.5^{\circ}\right)$ with strip pitch of $95 / 103 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, full size of $25 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, 10240$ strips $\checkmark$ Detector combined from 4 sub-detectors arranged around beam, each sub-detector consists of 4 Si modules of $6.3 \times 6.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$\checkmark$ One plane installed in front of GEM tracker and operated in March 2017

## Hits in silicon detector



## Residuals in silicon detector





Modules 2,3,4




Modules 6,7,8

## Residuals in silicon detector




## Primary Vertex reconstruction



