# The first physics results of the BM@N experiment



#### Sergei Merts

on behalf of the BM@N collaboration 27/04/2023



- Physics motivation for the BM@N experiment
- Experimental setup during the first heavy ion run
- First experimental results
- Conclusion



#### BM@N - Baryonic Matter at Nuclotron



There was carried 8 runs. But most recent and interested were in 2017 (C + X), 2018 (Ar + X) and 2022-2023 (Xe + Scl)



## Experiment is people!

#### 3 countries, 10 institutions and 188 participants



- University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria
- Saint Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia
- Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- Institute of Nuclear Research of RAS, Moscow, Russia
- Shanghai Institute of Nuclear and Applied Physics, Shanghai, China
- NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
- Moscow Engineer and Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
- Skobeltsin Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow, Russia
- Moscow Institute of Physics and Technics, Moscow, Russia
- Lebedev Physics Institute of RAS, Moscow, Russia

# Physics motivation for the BM@N experiment

## BM@N Heavy ion collision experiments



#### Experiments at the NICA complex:

- BM@N,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.3 3.3 \text{ GeV}$
- MPD,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 4 11 \text{ GeV}$

#### BM@N competitors:

- HADES BES (SIS) Au+Au,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.42 \text{ GeV}$
- STAR BES (RHIC) Au+Au,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3 200 \text{ GeV}$ (10<sup>9</sup> events at 3 GeV in 2021)
- Future CBM experiment Au+Au,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.7 4.9 \text{ GeV}$

## Goal of the BM@N experiment



BM@N





Study symmetric matter EOS at  $\rho/\rho_0 = 3 - 5$ ,  $\rho_0 = 0.16$  fm<sup>-1</sup>:

- elliptic flow of protons, mesons and hyperons
- sub-threshold production of strange mesons and hyperons
- extract nuclear incompressibility (Knm) from data to model predictions

EoS: relation between density, pressure, temperature, energy and isospin asymmetry  $E_A(\rho, \delta) = E_A(\rho, 0) + E_{sym}(\rho) \cdot \delta^2 \delta = (\rho_n - \rho_\rho)/\rho$ 

Nuclear incompressibility:  $K_{nm} = 9\rho^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} (E/A)|_{\rho=\rho_0}$ 

#### S. Merts

#### Constrain symmetry energy E<sub>sym</sub>:

- elliptic flow of neutrons vs protons
- sub-threshold production of particles with opposite isospin



## Comparison HADES, STAR FxT, BM@N

Εχρ.	year	A+A	E <sub>kin</sub> AGeV	Statistics	Ξ	$\Omega^{-}$	Hypernuclei
HADES	2012	Au+Au	1.23	$7 \cdot 10^9$	×	×	×
HADES	2019	Ag+Ag	1.58	$1.4 \cdot 10^{10}$	×	×	$800 \frac{3}{\Lambda} H$
STAR FxT	2018	Au+Au	2.9	$3 \cdot 10^{8}$	$10^{4}$	×	$10^{4} \frac{3}{\Lambda} H$
							$6\cdot10^3 \frac{4}{\Lambda}H$
STAR F×T	2021	Au+Au	2.9	$2 \cdot 10^{9}$	$7 \cdot 10^4$	×	$7 \cdot 10^4 \frac{3}{\Lambda} H$
							$4 \cdot 10^4 \frac{4}{\Lambda} H$
BM@N	sim.	Au+Au	3.8	$2 \cdot 10^{10}$	$5 \cdot 10^{6}$	$10^{5}$	$10^{6} \frac{3}{\Lambda} H$
full							<sup>4</sup> A, <sup>5</sup> He
program							7 Li, 7 He
							$10^2 \frac{5}{\Lambda\Lambda}$ H

- ${f O}\,$  Reaction rates: HADES  $\approx$  20 kHz, BM@N  $\approx$  20 kHz, STAR FxT  $\approx$  2 kHz
- ${\small lacepsilon}$  HADES and BM@N are complementary, no cascade hyperons (2–,  $\Omega^-$  ) at HADES
- ${\small \bigcirc}~$  Statistics at BM@N  ${\approx}70$  times higher ( $\Xi^-$ ) than at STAR FxT

S. Merts

# Experimental setup in the first heavy ion run





# BM@N Beam pipe

Total length of the vacuum ion beam pipe from Nuclotron to BM@N is about 160 m.







- Beam pipe in te SP-41 magnet is made of 1 mm thick carbon fiber;
- It consists of four parts with a non-flange connectors;
- FLUKA simulations have shown that the proposed beam pipe is well suited to guide the high intensity beam;
- First vacuum tests have shown an insignificant leakage level of side surfaces of the sample, vacuum up to 10<sup>-5</sup> Torr.

## Magnetic field measurement



- New magnetic field measurements were done
- The region of measurements is much larger than for the old field map
- "Boltometry"approach was used to align measured grid in the BM@N coordinate system



BM@N

## Silicon Beam Tracker



BM@N

Three silicon beam trackers with 32x32 orthogonal strips readout

- oplaced in beam pipe in 100 cm from each other
- rotated relative to each other by 30 degrees.

#### Main goals:

- ${\ensuremath{\, \odot}}$   ${\ensuremath{\, \rm To}}$  improve vertex resolution in transverse direction
- To monitor beam behavior during experimental run
- To reconstruct beam angles

#### Experimental efficiensies: 95,7%, 88.7%, 93.5%







Trigger detectors to be used in 2022:

- T0 start signal for DAQ
- VC, BC beam trigger formation
- BD barrel detector for counting particles under high polar angle
- SiMD silicon multiplicity detector for counting particles under small polar angles
- FD fragment detector for vetoing non-interaction events and generating trigger for central and semi-central events

BM@N



#### Inner tracking system





Inner tracking system consist of

- 4 forward silicon detectors
- 7 GEM stations (160 × 80cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Right after the target four stations of Silicon Forward Detector was installed
- Seven GEM stations covers the entire magnet aperture

# BM@N Outer tracking system

#### Outer planes support tracks in downstream direction



- ${\hfill}$  Four small Cathod Strip Chambers (SmallCSC,  $\approx 1 \times 1m^2$ ) placed around near Time-of-Flight (TOF-400)
- $\bullet~$  Large Cathod Strip Chamber (LargeCSC,  $\approx 1.5 \times 2 m^2$ ) placed in front of far Time-of-Flight (TOF-700)
- Two Drift Chamders (DCH) placed around far Time-of-Flight (TOF-700)
- ${\hfill}$  One small GEM ( $\approx 10\times 10 \text{cm}^2$ ) was installed after the outer tracking detectors crossing beam trajectory

## Calorimeters



BM@N

#### Forward Hadron Calorimeter

- 20 PSD CBM modules transverse size  $20x20 \text{ cm}^2$
- 34 MPD/NICA like modules transverse size  $15x15 \text{ cm}^2$

#### Scintillation Wall

 registration of fragments in the ScWall allows to measure fragments multiplicities to tune parameters in fragmentation models

#### Hodoscope

- measurement of fragments charge in the FHCal beam hole
- 16 quartz strips with sizes  $10 \times 160 \times 4 \text{ mm}^3$
- covers beam hole  $15 \times 15 \text{ cm}^2$

#### Main goals of the system:

- Centrality determination
- Reaction plane calculation



## Collected data during Xe run



#### It was two energies of Xe beam:

- $\odot$  507  $\cdot$  10<sup>6</sup> events at 3.8 A GeV
- $48 \cdot 10^6$  events at 3.0 A GeV



S. Merts

## Current studies of experimental data

Particles and fragments identification

Main goal: yields of charged particles and fragments extraction



BM@N

#### Current studies include

- Positive and negative particles separation by TOF systems
- Attempt to separate deuteron and Helium-4 by dE/dx in GEM



# Analysis of $\Lambda^0$ yields for data 2018

Ar+A @ 3.2 AGeV

BM@N

 Λ<sup>0</sup> mass resolution is about 3.3MeV/c<sup>2</sup>



#### Finalization of $\Lambda^0$ analysis for data 2017

- C+A @ 4 AGeV
- $\Lambda^0$  mass resolution is  $2.4-3.0 \mbox{MeV/c}^2$
- Statistics: CC(4.6M), CAl(5.3M), CCu(5.3M)



#### Lambda data comparison



BM@N



22/24



- BM@N already recorded experimental data from a set of technical runs (carbon, argon-krypton). Physics analysis of data is in its active phase, results expected to be published in the nearest future.
- The longest and successful experimental run with heavy ions was held in 2022-2023.
- Physics analysis of charge particles, hyperons and hypernuclei production, flows etc. for new Xe data is ongoing.

BM@N

Conclusion



# Thank you!