

Lecture 4: Superconformal observables & instantons

Seok Kim

(Seoul National University)

“Partition functions and automorphic forms”

BLTP JINR, Dubna

Jan 31, 2018

Plan

- Lecture 4:

- Superconformal indices

[Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju] [Romelsberger] [Bhattacharya, Bhattacharyya, Minwalla, Raju]

- $S^4, S^4 \times S^1$ and instantons

[Pestun] [H.-C. Kim, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee]

- $S^5, S^5 \times S^1$ and instantons

[Kallen, Qiu, Zabzine] [H.-C.Kim, SK] [Lockhart, Vafa] [H.-C.Kim, J.Kim, SK] [Rastelli et.al.] [H.-C.Kim, SK, S.-S.Kim, K.Lee]

- Concluding remarks

SUSY partition functions on curved spaces

- S^n : $n = 4$ [Pestun], $n = 3$ [Kapustin,Willett,Yaakov], $n = 2$ [Doroud,Gomis,Le Floch,Lee] [Benini,Bobev]
 $n = 5$ [Kallen,Qiu,Zabzin] [H.-C.Kim,SK] [Lockhart,Vafa] [H.-C.Kim,J.Kim,SK] ...
 $n = 6,7$ [Minahan, Zabzine]
- $S^{n-1} \times S^1$: $n = 4$ [Kinney,Maldacena,Minwalla,Raju] [Romelsberger]
 $n = 3$ [Bhattacharya,Minwalla] [SK]
 $n = 2$ (for gauge theories) [Benini, Eager, Hori, Tachikawa]
 $n = 5$ [H.-C.Kim, SK] [Lockhart, Vafa] [H.-C.Kim, J.Kim, SK]
- Others: e.g. $S^2 \times M_3$, $S^3 \times \Sigma_g$, $T^2 \times S^2$, disk ($\times S^1$), $CP^2 \times S^1$, ...
- Why?
 - E.g. on S^n and $S^{n-1} \times R$, CFTs can be uniquely defined.
 - Compact space, so that discrete questions can be posed and computed.
 - SUSY QFTs on curve spaces are also better understood recently.
 - strong-coupling calculation/studies possible for SUSY QFTs.

Superconformal index & $S^{n-1} \times S^1$

- In the 1st lecture, I introduced the “superconformal index” as a Witten index.
- Provided Hilbert space definition, and free field representation in 4d.

$$Z_{S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}}(t, y, \{m\}) = \int [dU] PE \left[\sum_{i \in \text{multiplets}} f_i(t, y, \{m\}) \chi_{\mathbf{R}}(U) \right] \quad \int [dU] \rightarrow \int \prod_{i=1}^r da_i \cdot \prod_{\alpha > 0} \left(2 \sin \frac{\alpha(a)}{2} \right)^2$$

$$PE[f(t, y, \{m\}) \chi_{\mathbf{R}}(U)] \equiv \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} f(t^n, y^n, nm) \chi_{\mathbf{R}}(U^n) \right] \quad U \sim e^{ia}$$

- More abstractly in QFT, counting states w/ chemical potentials (like temperature) demands us to do path integral of Euclidean QFT, with time compactified to S^1 .
- So, superconformal index is a SUSY partition function on (twisted) $S^{d-1} \times S^1$.
- $d = 4$:
 - Integrand is free fields' indices, in “Coulomb phase” with nonzero a_i
 - However, a_i is just part of the path integral variables, which we integrate at the last stage.
 - While we keep them fixed, they formally play the role of Coulomb VEV.
 - In combinatoric viewpoint, the integral imposes gauge singlet projection.

Index in the weakly-coupled QFT

- $d = 3$: Exist non-perturbative configurations that cannot be seen in strict free limit.
- Magnetic monopole operators: quantized fluxes on S^2 .

$$\int_{S^2} \text{tr}(F) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{with } \mathcal{L} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \dots, \quad D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$$
$$\int_{S^2} \text{tr}(F) \in \frac{2\pi}{g_{YM}}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{with } \mathcal{L} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \dots, \quad D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - ig_{YM}A_\mu$$

- “Coulomb branch” like expressions: flux spread over S^2 [SK], ...
 - “Higgs branch” like expressions: vortices.
-
- $d = 2$: basically the elliptic genus that we explored so far (w/ slight change in fermion boundary conditions, from “R” to “NS”)
 - Almost take the form of integral over Coulomb VEV’s, with integrand given by free fields’s indices. (But the contour choice is complicated, due to nontrivial 0-mode structures.)
-
- $d = 5,6$: We shall consider rather interesting proposals for the indices, on $S^4 \times S^1$ and $S^5 \times S^1$, and their connections to the instanton partition functions.

$Z[S^4]$

- As a warm-up, first consider the 4d gauge theory's SUSY partition function on S^4 .
- By a careful path integral calculus, one gets an “integral over Coulomb VEV”
- The saddle points consist of multi-instantons in Coulomb branch, localized either on north/south poles of S^4 . [Pestun] (2007)

$$Z_{S^4}(g_{YM}, \dots) = \int [da] Z_{\mathbb{R}^4}(q = e^{2\pi i\tau}, a_i, \epsilon_{1,2}) Z_{\mathbb{R}^4}(q = e^{2\pi i\bar{\tau}}, a_i, \epsilon_{1,2}) \Big|_{\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = r^{-1}}$$
$$Z_{\mathbb{R}^4} = Z_{\text{cl}} Z_{\text{pert}} Z_{\text{inst}} \quad Z_{\text{cl}} = \exp[-\pi i \tau r^2 \text{tr}(a^2)] \quad \tau = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g_{YM}^2}$$

- Further generalized to squashed S^4 [Hama, Hosomichi]

5d superconformal index on $S^4 \times S^1$

- Now we consider the SUSY partition functions of 5d SCFTs on $S^4 \times S^1$.
- Strictly speaking, there's nowhere to start the QFT calculus, since we don't know its Lagrangian. So we don't know how to path-integrate.
- Here, one considers SCFT with Yang-Mills deformation, and try to obtain a formula by path-integrating Yang-Mills gauge fields & superpartners.
- Although 5d Yang-Mills is non-renormalizable, for SUSY path integrals one can perform an (almost) unambiguous calculation. [H.-C.Kim, S.-S.Kim, K. Lee] (2012)
- Apart from such conceptual issues, the calculus is similar to [Pestun] (2007)
- The Hilbert space definition of the index:
 - 5d superconformal algebra is $F(4)$, which contains the bosonic algebra $SO(5,2) \times SU(2)_R$
 - Pick a Q, S , which satisfy $\{Q, S\} = \Delta \equiv E - (3R + j_1 + j_2)$. ($Q = Q_{j_1=j_2=-1/2}^{R=1/2}$, $S = Q^\dagger$)

$$Z_{S^4 \times S^1}(t, u, \{m_a\}, q) = \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^F t^{2(R + \frac{j_1 + j_2}{2})} u^{j_1 - j_2} e^{-m_a F_a} q^k \right]$$

5d index

- The result of SUSY path integral: (at infinite Yang-Mills coupling)

$$Z_{S^4 \times S^1} = \oint [da] Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}(ia, \epsilon_{1,2}, m_a, q) Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}(-ia, \epsilon_{1,2}, -m_a, q^{-1})$$
$$(t, u) = e^{-\epsilon_{\pm}} = e^{-\frac{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2}{2}}$$

- Instantons at the south pole, anti-instantons at the north pole
- Although the claim is that the above expression holds exactly, we are only able to control the integrand (as before) in certain series expansions.
- Previously, we understood $Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}(\epsilon_{1,2}, a_i, m_a, q)$ as given by a series in q . This expansion cannot be sensibly used in this formula.
- Instead, the index can be expanded in t , since R-charge $R \sim$ BPS energy, so it is like low temperature expansion: generating function of BPS degeneracies.
- So we regard $Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}$ as a double series in t, q or t, q^{-1} , and collect in t order.

Enhanced global symmetries

- For instance, back to the 5d SU(2) theory at $N_f = 7$, where we expect $SO(14) \times U(1)_I \rightarrow E_8$ enhancement of symmetry: one obtains [Hwang, J.Kim, SK, Park] (2014)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8} t^2 + \chi_2(u) [1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8}] t^3 + [1 + \chi_{27000}^{E_8} + \chi_3(u) (1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8})] t^4 \\
 & + [\chi_2(u) (1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8} + \chi_{27000}^{E_8} + \chi_{30380}^{E_8}) + \chi_4(u) (1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8})] t^5 \\
 & + [2\chi_{248}^{E_8} + \chi_{30380}^{E_8} + \chi_{1763125}^{E_8} + \chi_3(u) (2 + 2\chi_{133}^{E_8} + \chi_{3875}^{E_8} + 2\chi_{27000}^{E_8} + \chi_{30380}^{E_8}) \\
 & \quad + \chi_5(u) (1 + \chi_{248}^{E_8})] t^6 + \mathcal{O}(t^7) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

- E_8 characters are obtained by summing over various instanton/anti-instanton sectors

$248 = 1_0 + 14_2 + 14_{-2} + 64_{-1} + \overline{64}_1 + 91_0,$	$1763125 = 2 \times 1_0 + 2 \times 14_2 + 2 \times 14_{-2} + 3 \times 64_{-1} + 3 \times \overline{64}_1 + 3 \times 91_0$
$3875 = 1_4 + 1_0 + 1_{-4} + 14_2 + 14_{-2} + 64_3 + 64_{-1} + \overline{64}_1 + \overline{64}_{-3} + 91$ $+ 104_0 + 364_2 + 364_{-2} + 832_{-1} + \overline{832}_1 + 1001_0,$	$+ 104_4 + 104_0 + 104_{-4} + 364_2 + 364_{-2} + 546_6 + 546_2 + 546_{-2} + 546_{-6}$ $+ 2 \times 832_3 + 2 \times 832_{-1} + 2 \times \overline{832}_1 + 2 \times \overline{832}_{-3} + 2 \times 896_2 + 2 \times 896_{-2}$
$27000 = 2 \times 1_0 + 14_2 + 14_{-2} + 2 \times 64_{-1} + 2 \times \overline{64}_1 + 2 \times 91_0$ $+ 104_4 + 104_0 + 104_{-4} + 364_2 + 364_{-2}$ $+ 832_3 + 832_{-1} + \overline{832}_1 + \overline{832}_{-3} + 896_2 + 896_{-2} + 1001_0$ $+ 1716_{-2} + \overline{1716}_2 + 3003_0 + 3080_0 + 4928_{-1} + \overline{4928}_1,$	$+ 2 \times 1001_0 + 2 \times 1716_{-2} + 2 \times \overline{1716}_2 + 2002_2 + 2002_{-2}$ $+ 3 \times 3003_0 + 2 \times 3080_0 + 4004_4 + 2 \times 4004_0 + 4004_{-4}$ $+ 3 \times 4928_{-1} + 3 \times \overline{4928}_1 + 5625_4 + 5625_0 + 5625_{-4}$ $+ 5824_3 + 5824_{-1} + 5824_{-5} + \overline{5824}_5 + \overline{5824}_1 + \overline{5824}_{-3}$
$30380 = 1_0 + 2 \times 14_2 + 2 \times 14_{-2} + 64_3 + 2 \times 64_{-1} + 2 \times \overline{64}_1 + \overline{64}_{-3}$ $+ 91_4 + 3 \times 91_0 + 91_{-4} + 104_0 + 364_2 + 364_{-2}$ $+ 832_3 + 2 \times 832_{-1} + 2 \times \overline{832}_1 + \overline{832}_{-3} + 896_2 + 896_{-2}$ $+ 1001_0 + 2002_2 + 2002_{-2} + 3003_0 + 4004_0 + 4928_{-1} + \overline{4928}_1$	$+ 11648_2 + 11648_{-2} + 17472_3 + 17472_{-1} + \overline{17472}_1 + \overline{17472}_{-3}$ $+ 18200_2 + 18200_{-2} + 21021_0 + 21021_{-4} + \overline{21021}_4 + \overline{21021}_0$ $+ 24024'_2 + 24024'_{-2} + 27456_3 + \overline{27456}_{-3} + 36608_2 + 36608_{-2}$ $+ 40768_{-1} + \overline{40768}_1 + 45760_3 + 45760_{-1} + \overline{45760}_1 + \overline{45760}_{-3}$ $+ 58344_0 + 58968_0 + 64064'_{-1} + \overline{64064}'_1 + 115830_{-2} + \overline{115830}_2$ $+ 146432_{-1} + \overline{146432}_1 + 200200_0.$

6d index on $S^5 \times S^1$

- Here again, we have nowhere to start, not knowing Lagrangian descriptions.
- We only have various EFT descriptions. Here, we use and get insights from the 5d Yang-Mills descriptions for 6d QFT compactified on S^1 .
- If the 5d SUSY path integral acquires contributions from instanton-like saddle points, we can hope that they reconstruct the 6th direction along S^1 .
- In this case, the constructed S^1 will be Euclidean time.
- Indeed, SUSY path integral requires us to consider the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^5 \rightarrow CP^2$, and one gets the saddle point condition [Kallen, Zabzine] [Hosomichi, Seong, Terashima] [Kallen, Qiu, Zabzine] [H.-C. Kim, SK] (2012)

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g_{S^5}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} \xi^\alpha F^{\beta\gamma}$$

ξ^μ : Killing vector along the fiber

- These configurations are often called “contact instantons”

Some details on $Z[S^5]$

- The 6d superconformal index: Hilbert space definition
- Choose $Q, S, \Delta \equiv \{Q, S\} = E - (4R + j_1 + j_2 + j_3)$ in $OSp(8^*|N)$, containing $SO(6,2) \times Sp(N)_R$
- index can carry chemical potentials for $j_1 - j_2, j_2 - j_3, E - R$:

$$Z_{S^5 \times S^1}(\beta, a_{1,2,3}, \{m_a\}) = \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^F e^{-\beta(E-R)} e^{-a_1 j_1 - a_2 j_2 - a_3 j_3} e^{-m_a F_a} \right] \quad , \quad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$$

- With $SU(3) \subset SO(6)$ angular momentum chemical potentials a_n on S^5 , it further localizes the instantons on 3 $U(1)^2 \subset SU(3)$ fixed points on CP^2 :

$$Z_{S^5} \left(\beta = \frac{g_{YM}^2}{r}, a_n, \{m_a\} \right) = \int \prod_{i=1}^r [dv_i] Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1} \left(q = e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta(1+a_3)}}, \frac{v_i}{1+a_3}, \frac{m_a}{1+a_3}, \epsilon_{1,2} = \frac{a_{1,2} - a_3}{1+a_3} \right) \\ \cdot Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}(a_{1,2,3} \rightarrow a_{2,3,1}) \cdot Z_{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}(a_{1,2,3} \rightarrow a_{3,1,2})$$

- Depending on models, one can study either 5d SCFT or 6d SCFT with this Z_{S^5} .
(E.g. [Chang, Fluder, Lin, Wang] (2017) for studies on 5d SCFTs)
- For instanton partition functions for 6d SCFTs, we have good reasons to believe that they are 6d partition functions on $R^4 \times T^2$ (lectures 2 & 3)... but, with **opposite identifications** of time/space directions on T^2 .

Challenges

- Here we face a technical limitation, that we do not know the full functional form of the instanton partition function, $Z(q, a_i, \epsilon_{1,2}, \dots)$.
- Only know expansion coefficients in $q = e^{-4\pi^2/\beta}$, when it is small.
- Especially, instead of the expansion in $q = e^{-4\pi^2/\beta}$, we often want an expansion in the fugacity $e^{-\beta}$ of the superconformal index.
- The setting in which q is the fugacity of the instanton partition function is not the same as the setting here (because of different notions of Euclidean time circle).
- We identified modular transformations of coefficients in $e^{-(v_i - v_{i+1})}$ expansion, but this appears useless here (since Coulomb VEV has to be integrated over).
- This is what makes it much more difficult to study it than 5d superconformal index, or the instanton partition function.
- Only consider cases in which exact resummation/re-expansion in $e^{-\beta}$ is known.

Enhanced SUSY limits

- Consider the 6d maximal SCFT (e.g. on M5-branes). This is an SCFT (twice as many SUSY than generic cases).

$$Z_{S^5 \times S^1}(\beta, a_{1,2,3}, m) = \text{Tr} \left[(-1)^F e^{-\beta(E - \frac{R_1 + R_2}{2})} e^{-m(R_1 - R_2)} e^{-a_1 j_1 - a_2 j_2 - a_3 j_3} \right], \quad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$$

- Index comes with chemical potentials, only commuting w/ a pair of real SUSY.
- If one tunes a chemical potential, it may commute with more SUSY, making the partition function simplify & make an exact re-summation of the integrand.
- One can show that the index respects 4 real SUSY at

$$m = \frac{1}{2} - a_n \quad (\text{for one of } n = 1, 2, 3)$$

- Simplest case: $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ (respects 16 SUSY)

$$Z_{S^5} \rightarrow \frac{1}{N!} \int \prod_{i=1}^N dv_i e^{-\frac{2\pi^2 \sum_i v_i^2}{\beta}} \prod_{i \neq j} 2 \sinh(\pi(v_i - v_j)) \cdot \eta \left(e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta}} \right)^{-1}$$

Results

- Can “S-dualize” Dedekind eta function, and Gaussian integrate over v_i ’s:

$$Z_{S^5} \rightarrow e^{\beta \left(\frac{N^3 - N}{6} + \frac{N}{24} \right)} \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(n+s)\beta}}$$

- Indeed, takes the form of an index: expanding in “fugacity” $e^{-\beta}$, coefficients are integers.
- This supports the emergence of temporal S^1 , due to instanton sum

- There are two physics to be discussed, in this “unrefined limit”
- Zero point “energy”: the leading part in the low temperature limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$

$$Z \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta r \epsilon_0} (1 + \dots)$$

$$\epsilon_0 \equiv -\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{N^3 - N}{6} + \frac{N}{24} \right)$$

- One curved space, there are nonzero vacuum 0-point energy: “Casimir energy”
- More precisely speaking, it is the 0-point value for $E - \frac{R_1 + R_2}{2}$.
- Scales like N^3 , like many other observables of the M5-brane system.

Spectrum & W-algebra

- Apart from the vacuum energy, the “spectral” part:

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(n+s)\beta}}$$

- This is known as the “vacuum character” of the W_N algebra, apart from the overall $U(1) \subset U(N)$ contribution

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-n\beta}}$$

- In large N limit, it agrees with the index of gravitons in $AdS_7 \times S^4$. So AdS/CFT supports this formula, or depending on viewpoint, we tested AdS_7/CFT_6 using our field theory calculus.
- A physical explanation of this index was found by [Been, Rastelli, van Rees] (2014)
 - There exists a subset of local BPS operators of CFT (\sim states on $S^5 \times R$) that are closed under operator product expansion (OPE), and whose OPE yield W_N algebra.
 - The local operators contributing to the index forms a vacuum character.
 - Other W_N characters given by inserting defect operators to the index [Bullimore, H.-C. Kim]

Further problems

- Exact treatment?
 - I explained situations in which the lack of exact knowledge of instanton partition function obstructs better physical explorations. (E.g. obstructing fugacity expansions)
 - It is not just a matter of rigor. It obstructs pragmatic attempts of studies.

- Possibly new saddle point?
 - The proposed expression for Z_{S^5} has certain technical uncertainties.
 - It was not proved that the saddle points of path integral I explained are the most general solutions. [Zabzine et.al.]

- General 6d (1,0) SCFTs?

Concluding remarks

- In these lecture series, I tried explain the basics of instanton partition functions, and how they played crucial roles in recent advances in 5d & 6d SCFTs.
- I tried to avoid discussing open physical/conceptual issues (set aside an ultimate dream of realizing them using Lagrangians). But there are many issues concerning instantons that should be better understood.
- E.g. we only considered instantons in Coulomb phase, or on compact manifolds.
- However, instantons in the symmetric phase & non-compact space (e.g. R^4) has non-compact moduli from its “size”.
- Its proper interpretations in higher dimensional QFTs are still unclear.
- Better physical understandings on them may lead to more interesting/subtle physical observables, other than Nekrasov’s instanton partition functions.