

# Revamp of Inelastic Neutron Scattering Instrumentation at Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics

case for project justification

Wojciech Zając 20 January 2020

Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Atomic motions with NS

Complementarity of INS and optical spectroscopy

Complementarity put into practice

2. INS instrument geometries

3. Challenges to INS

4. A few hot topics currently under the interest of the INS community at FLNP

# Introduction

## **Atomic motions and NS**

- Neutrons can excite atomic vibrations or activate stochastic motions via enegy and momentum exchange during inelastic scattering (and ↓ of course, too.)
- For inelastic incoherent scattering, the intensity is proportional to the space and time Fourier transforms of the self-correlation function,  $G_s(\vec{r}, t)$ , the probability of finding a particle at position  $\vec{r}$  at time t when the same particle was at  $\vec{r} = 0$  at t = 0.

## Atomic motions and NS

- Neutrons can excite atomic vibrations or activate stochastic motions via enegy and momentum exchange during inelastic scattering (and ↓ of course, too.)
- For inelastic incoherent scattering, the intensity is proportional to the space and time Fourier transforms of the self-correlation function,  $G_s(\vec{r}, t)$ , the probability of finding a particle at position  $\vec{r}$  at time t when the same particle was at  $\vec{r} = 0$  at t = 0.
- Are neutrons any better a probe to study vibrational properties of condensed matter than photons (e.g. IR or Raman)?
- Even if so, do they deliver "value for money"?

## Atomic motions and NS

- Neutrons can excite atomic vibrations or activate stochastic motions via enegy and momentum exchange during inelastic scattering (and ↓ of course, too.)
- For inelastic incoherent scattering, the intensity is proportional to the space and time Fourier transforms of the self-correlation function,  $G_s(\vec{r}, t)$ , the probability of finding a particle at position  $\vec{r}$  at time t when the same particle was at  $\vec{r} = 0$  at t = 0.
- Are neutrons any better a probe to study vibrational properties of condensed matter than photons (e.g. IR or Raman)?
- Even if so, do they deliver "value for money"?

#### Complementarity

means that advances in the use of one probe, e.g. photons, produce an increased demand for information that can only be provided by another probe, e.g. neutrons.

### Complementarity i



#### **Neutrons:**

- "directly" probe atomic (nuclear) vibrations via simple two-body interactions → simple theory
- no selection rules
- neutron has a mass  $\rightarrow$  2D or 4D spectroscopy: S (Q,  $\omega$ ) or S (Q<sub>x</sub>, Q<sub>y</sub>, Q<sub>z</sub>,  $\omega$ )
- INS spectroscopy allows routine access to the terahertz region (<400 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- large sensitivity to H vibrations ( $\rightarrow$  H-bonded structures, etc.)  $m_n \cong m_H$
- isotope substitution

#### IR and Raman:

- probe vibrations "indirectly" through associated variation of electronic properties, such as EDM or polarizability → theoretical description difficult.
- operate at **r** point only
- high sensitivity
- high spectral resolution
- speed
- $\mu$ -spectroscopy gaining popularity
- availability of instrumentation

#### Complementarity put into practice i



Vibrational spectra of *fac*-tris[2-phenylpyridinato-C<sup>2</sup>, N]iridium(III), Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>. (S.F. Parker, J. Phys. Commun. **3** (2019) 065010) The resolution of state-of-the-art INS spectrometers is effectively comparable to that of most IR and Raman spectrometers because bandwidths in the solid state are dominated by intermolecular interactions that are typically 10 cm<sup>-1</sup> or more, and high resolution is unnecessary.

For a complete vibrational characterisation, INS spectra are essential, particularly to understand the low energy metal-to-ligand modes that are important in energy dissipation.

# Complementarity put into practice ii



Out-of-phase carbonyl stretch at 1700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is very strong in the IR, weak in the Raman and absent in the INS. Conversely, the out-of-plane carbonyl bend at 407 cm<sup>-1</sup> is strong in the INS, weak in Raman and absent in the IR.

N-phenylsuccinimide - an important compound in thermosetting resin industry.

S.F. Parker et al, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 554 (2014) 012004

#### Case for special attention to low wavenumber region

• In the previous slide we saw low wavenumber cutoffs: for Raman at ca. 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and for IR at ca 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Case for special attention to low wavenumber region

- In the previous slide we saw low wavenumber cutoffs: for Raman at ca. 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and for IR at ca 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>.
- Often IR can be extended towards 0 cm<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. into the far IR region, however at a considerable cost.

- In the previous slide we saw low wavenumber cutoffs: for Raman at ca. 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and for IR at ca 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>.
- Often IR can be extended towards 0 cm<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. into the far IR region, however at a considerable cost.
- "Often" does not mean "always".

E.g. in studies of supported metal catalysts where the absorption of light by the support results in a spectral cut-off that can be as high as 1400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For INS spectroscopy, this is irrelevant, and most support materials e.g. alumina, silica, carbon, are transparent and the entire spectral range is accessible.

• An INS spectrometer can cover a broad spectral range, routinely reaching down to nearly 0 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**INS instrument geometries** 

### **Direct vs. Indirect geometry**



8

## Direct vs. Indirect geometry ii



 $(Q, \omega)$  plot of iodomethane recorded on MAPS at 20 K with incident energies of 4840 and 2017 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The solid lines are the trajectories of an indirect geometry instrument with a final energy of 40 cm<sup>-1</sup> for scattering angles of 45° (purple trace) and 135° (olive trace).

# Direct vs. Indirect geometry iii

#### In favour of direct geometry:

- Can access a much larger range in Q and, can always access smaller Q
- Traditionally considered better suited for magnetism
- The existing machines have up to ten-fold larger detector area
- Resolution is a fraction of the incident energy.

#### In favour of indirect geometry:

- Simple operation
- If Q irrelevant, large acceptance instrument designs also possible
- Generally considered more versatile
- Resolution is a fraction of the energy transfer.

# **Challenges to INS**

### Some challenges to Inelastic Spectrpscopy

#### • Study of small samples

- pharmaceuticals
- matter under spatial confinement
- In highly correlated systems we need a 4D S( $Q, \omega$ ). Example: topological Hall effect
- Access range of timescales as large as possible
- Study magnetic multilayers
- Study samples under extreme environments
- Study high absorption isotopes (as e.g. the performance of Li-ion batteries)
- Provide flexible resolution

A few hot topics currently under the interest of the INS community at FLNP

# A few topics now under the interest of INS community @ FLNP

- Molecular crystals and glass-formers at low temperatures in connection with complementary studies and *ab initio* quantum chemical calculations.
  - Debye ('cystal-like') vs. non-Debye ('glassy')  $G(\omega)$  at low  $\omega$  and l-He temperatures
  - dynamics in glasses of ODIC, CONDIS and like phases
- Pharmaceuticals in bulk (native) state and as "micronized" or amorphized powders
- Matter under spatial confinement
  - "hard" nanomatrices (e.g. membranes)
  - "soft" confinement (e.g. microfibres)
- Materials for energy storage, e.g. plasticizer-SPE systems for Li batteries
- Catalysts
- Photonic materials of industrial applications

#### - non-Debye $G(\omega)$ in lithium borate glasses $x \text{Li}_2 \mathbf{O} \cdot (100 - x) \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{O}_3$

- The so-called Boson peak (INS) scales all excess heat capacities
  - Excess heat capacity at liq. He temperatures the domain of adiabatic calorimetry → Japan, soon Inst. Nucl. Phys, Kraków.
  - The experimental non-Debye heat capacities are successfully reproduced using the excess vibrational density of states measured INS.

Yu Matsuda, H. Kawaji, et al., J.Non-Cryst. Sol. 357 (2011) 534-537



### - Electrolyte solvents to optimize Li-ion batteries.

#### **Science driver / objective:**

- Low ionic conductivity of solvent-free SPEs
- PEO-miscible electrolyte solvent has been used as the plasticizer to promote the segmental motion of PEO chains.
- When the amount of the liquid plasticizer reaches a level where the ionic conduction is dominated by the liquid-in-polymer instead of the segmental motion of polymer chains, the SPE becomes a GPE.
- The GPE combines the advantages of the polymer electrolyte (high viscoelasticity) and liquid electrolyte (high ionic conductivity), and is of great significance in the Li-ion batteries.

#### - Electrolytes to optimize Li-ion batteries. Li coordination



A. Nakanishi, K. Ueno, et al., J. Phys.Chem.C 2019, **123**, 23, 14229–14238 15

## - GP membranes for safety of Li-ion batteries.

