Quantum-quasiclassical analysis of CM nonseparability in atom due to relativistic effects stimulated by laser field

### Vladimir S. Melezhik

### Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics JINR, Dubna



supported by Grant of Russian Science Foundation No. 20-11-20257

MQFTP-22, St-Petersburg, 10-14 October 2022



### quantum-quasiclassical approach - idea





 $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{V} \gg \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{v}$ 

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle &= [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t))]|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle\\ H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M} + \langle\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t))|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle\\ &\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{P} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{R}}H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t)\\ &\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{P}}H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t) \end{split}$$

VOLUME 84, NUMBER 9PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS28 FEBRUARY 2000

#### **Quantum Energy Flow in Atomic Ions Moving in Magnetic Fields**

V. S. Melezhik<sup>1,\*</sup> and P. Schmelcher<sup>2</sup>

K. J. McCann and M. R. Flannery, Chem. Phys. Lett. **35**, 124 (1975); J. Chem. Phys. **63**, 4695 (1975). G. D. Billing, Chem. Phys. **9**, 359 (1975).

## quantum-quasiclassical approach - results

VOLUME 84, NUMBER 9

### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

28 February 2000

Quantum Energy Flow in Atomic Ions Moving in Magnetic Fields

V. S. Melezhik<sup>1,\*</sup> and P. Schmelcher<sup>2</sup>

PHYSICAL REVIEW A **69**, 032709 (2004)

Stripping and excitation in collisions between p and  $\text{He}^+(n \leq 3)$  calculated by a quantum time-dependent approach with semiclassical trajectories

Vladimir S. Melezhik,<sup>1,\*</sup> James S. Cohen,<sup>2</sup> and Chi-Yu Hu<sup>1</sup>

## quantum-quasiclassical approach - results

VOLUME 84, NUMBER 9

### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

28 February 2000

Quantum Energy Flow in Atomic Ions Moving in Magnetic Fields

V. S. Melezhik<sup>1,\*</sup> and P. Schmelcher<sup>2</sup>

PHYSICAL REVIEW A **69**, 032709 (2004)

Stripping and excitation in collisions between p and He<sup>+</sup>( $n \le 3$ ) calculated by a quantum time-dependent approach with semiclassical trajectories

Vladimir S. Melezhik,<sup>1,\*</sup> James S. Cohen,<sup>2</sup> and Chi-Yu Hu<sup>1</sup>

Hyperfine Interactions **138:** 351–354, 2001. Recent Progress in Treatment of Sticking and Stripping with Time-Dependent Approach VLADIMIR S. MELEZHIK<sup>1,2</sup>

# quantum-quasiclassical approach - results

VOLUME 84, NUMBER 9

### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

28 February 2000

Quantum Energy Flow in Atomic Ions Moving in Magnetic Fields

V.S. Melezhik<sup>1,\*</sup> and P. Schmelcher<sup>2</sup>

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 69, 032709 (2004)

Stripping and excitation in collisions between p and He<sup>+</sup>( $n \le 3$ ) calculated by a quantum time-dependent approach with semiclassical trajectories

Vladimir S. Melezhik,<sup>1,\*</sup> James S. Cohen,<sup>2</sup> and Chi-Yu Hu<sup>1</sup>

Hyperfine Interactions 138: 351–354, 2001. Recent Progress in Treatment of Sticking and Stripping with Time-Dependent Approach VLADIMIR S. MELEZHIK<sup>1,2</sup>

### PHYSICAL REVIEW A 103, 053109 (2021)

### Improving efficiency of sympathetic cooling in atom-ion and atom-atom confined collisions

Vladimir S. Melezhik<sup>®\*</sup>





$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)\rangle = [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t))]|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)\rangle$$

$$H_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{r} - E_0(T)\cos(\omega t)x + \alpha[...]$$







$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)\rangle = [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}(t))] |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)\rangle$$
$$H_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{r} - E_0(T)\cos(\omega t)x + \alpha[...]$$





 $V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = -\alpha \omega E_0(T) \sin(\omega t) [xZ(t) + zX(t)] - \alpha E_0(T) \cos(\omega t) X(t) p_z$ 



$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)\rangle = [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}(t))] |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)\rangle$$
$$H_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{r} - E_0(T)\cos(\omega t)x + \alpha[...]$$



 $V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = -\alpha \omega E_0(T) \sin(\omega t) [xZ(t) + zX(t)] - \alpha E_0(T) \cos(\omega t) X(t) p_z$ 

how integrate 6D TDSE ? !!!



how integrate 6D TDSE ? !!!

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)\rangle = [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t))]|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)\rangle$$

A. Bray, U. Eichmann, S. Patchkovskii, PRL 124 (2020)

With additional artificial trapping potential the problem was reduced to effective 3D

They proposed to use CM-velosity spectroscopy as a «build-in» classical monitoring devise for observing internal quantum dynamics in strong external laser fields





 $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}\gg\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{m}\mathbf{v}$ 

$$\begin{split} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle &= [H_0(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t))] |\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \\ H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M} + \langle \psi(\mathbf{r},t) | V(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}(t)) |\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \\ &\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}} H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t) \\ &\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}} H_{cl}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R},t) \end{split}$$





 $M \gg m$ 

 $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{V} \gg \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{v}$ 

 $\langle \psi(\mathbf{r},t) | \mathbf{r}(t) | \psi(\mathbf{r}),t \rangle \rangle \langle \psi(\mathbf{r},t) | \mathbf{p}(t) | \psi(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle \mathbf{R}(t) \mathbf{P}(t)$ 

$$\langle |E_{kin}(t)|\rangle = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{P^2(t)}{2M} dt \sim \int |P(\omega)|^2 dt \sim \int \{|P_x(\omega)|^2 + |P_y(\omega)|^2 + |P_z(\omega)|^2\} dt$$

$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800 nm \ T = 5.3 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$





$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800 nm \ T = 5.3 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$









$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800nm \ T = 5.3 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800nm \ T = 5.3 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800nm \ T = 5.3 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 800nm \ T = 5.3fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$E_0(T) = E_0 \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{T^2}\} \exp\{-\frac{\rho^2}{w_0^2}\}$$



$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \lambda = 800nm T = 5.3fs$$
$$X(-T) = Z(-T) = 0 , \ Y(-T) = \frac{w_0}{2}$$
$$\mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$

$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \lambda = 800nm T = 5.3fs$$
$$X(-T) = Z(-T) = 0 , \ Y(-T) = \frac{w_0}{2}$$
$$\mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$E_0(T) = E_0 \exp\{-\frac{t^2}{T^2}\} \exp\{-\frac{\rho^2}{w_0^2}\}$$

 $\hbar\omega$ 

$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 80nm \ T = 0.52 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$





$$I=10^{14}\frac{W}{cm^2}\;\lambda=80nm\;T=0.52fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$





$$I=10^{14}\frac{W}{cm^2}\;\lambda=80nm\;T=0.52fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$





$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 80nm \ T = 0.52 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



$$I = 10^{14} \frac{W}{cm^2} \ \lambda = 80nm \ T = 0.52 fs$$

$$\mathbf{R}(-T) = \mathbf{P}(-T) = 0$$



# **Conclusion & Outlook**

• *it was confirmed with quantum-quasicalassical approach* 

the correlation between internal and CM dynamics

*in hydrogen atom in strong laser fiels* 

• by using CM-velocity spectroscopy as the «build-up» classical set up we obtain information about internal quantum dynamics of atoms in intense laser fields