

When BSM physics fails to calculate and badly needs YOUR help

Dmitry Gorbunov

Institute for Nuclear Research of RAS, Moscow

VIIth International Conference "Models in Quantum Field Theory"

LEIMI, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

Outline







2 Examples in particle physics: when BSM meets QCD







Introduction to the problem

2) Examples in particle physics: when BSM meets QCD

3 Examples in cosmology: violent dynamics

Introduction to the problem

ИI ЯN ИR

How does it happen ...?

Standard Model extensions:

we cook them ourselves

Naturally, the new ingredient can be treated perturbatively (... technicolor)

However, sometimes it happens that...

. . the invironment is strongly coupled . . . processes are violent . . . particle description fails . . . etc



How does it happen ...?

Standard Model extensions:

we cook them ourselves

Naturally, the new ingredient can be treated perturbatively (... technicolor)

However, sometimes it happens that...

... the invironment is strongly coupled ... processes are violent ... particle description fails ... etc



How does it happen ...?

Standard Model extensions:

we cook them ourselves

Naturally, the new ingredient can be treated perturbatively (... technicolor)

However, sometimes it happens that...

... the invironment is strongly coupled ... processes are violent ... particle description fails ... etc

Examples of interesting processes

In particle physics

QCD at the scale \sim 1 GeV

- light scalar decays into hadrons
- light vector production by a proton beam
- . . .

Lattice? ... Effective descriptions: e.g. pomerons? AdS/CFT ?

In cosmology

- axion dark matter
- dark matter and leptogenesis in vMSM
- instant reheating in healthy Higgs inflation
- . . .
- reionization (not BSM actually)

New methods are needed





2 Examples in particle physics: when BSM meets QCD





Widely accepted statements

- Standard Model nicely explains almost all results of particle physics experiments
- We definitely need New particle physics
 - neutrino oscillations
 - baryon asymmetry
 - dark matter
 - inflation-like stage in the early Universe



Widely accepted statements

- Standard Model nicely explains almost all results of particle physics experiments
- We definitely need New particle physics
 - neutrino oscillations
 - baryon asymmetry
 - dark matter
 - inflation-like stage in the early Universe



Widely accepted statements

- Standard Model nicely explains almost all results of particle physics experiments
- We definitely need New particle Physics
 - neutrino oscillations
 - baryon asymmetry
 - dark matter
 - inflation-like stage in the early Universe
- New Heavy particle contribution to the Higgs boson mass lifts it up but miraculously m_h ~ E_{EW}



Guesswork: a logically possible option

- All the new particles are at (below) *E_{EW}* then quantum contributions to *m_h* ~ *E_{EW}* are safe
- Why so far no evidences for such light New Particles ?
- They are only feebly coupled to the Standard Model
 - they are SM gauge singlets
 - new Yukawa-type couplings ?
 - portal-like couplings ?

(not a GUT)



Guesswork: a logically possible option

- All the new particles are at (below) *E_{EW}* then quantum contributions to *m_h* ~ *E_{EW}* are safe
- Why so far no evidences for such light New Particles ?
- They are only feebly coupled to the Standard Model
 - they are SM gauge singlets
 - new Yukawa-type couplings ?
 - portal-like couplings ?

(not a GUT)



Guesswork: a logically possible option

- All the new particles are at (below) *E_{EW}* then quantum contributions to *m_h* ~ *E_{EW}* are safe
- Why so far no evidences for such light New Particles ?
- They are only feebly coupled to the Standard Model
 - they are SM gauge singlets
 - new Yukawa-type couplings ?
 - portal-like couplings ?

(not a GUT)





There are no general theoretical motivation for the New Particles to be of (sub)GeV mass

However for the feebly coupled light particle best place to show up is the intensity frontier fixed target experiment



NR

There are no general theoretical motivation for the New Particles to be of (sub)GeV mass

However for the feebly coupled light particle best place to show up is the intensity frontier fixed target experiment



Main task

- Moreover, there are many concrete BSM theories which suggest such theoretical motivations
- Then the problem is how to properly account for the new particle (SM gauge singlet) effective coupling to the SM strongly-interacting states
 - for $m \gg 1$ GeV it couples to partons
 - for $m \ll 1$ GeV it couples to hadrons
 - ▶ how to calculate the new particle production and decay rates for $m \simeq 1$ GeV ?
 - in the concrete models
 "parton" and "hadron" answers often mismatch
- Eventually we must predict the signal rate 'in observed particles': pions, kaons, etc



Main task

- Moreover, there are many concrete BSM theories which suggest such theoretical motivations
- Then the problem is how to properly account for the new particle (SM gauge singlet) effective coupling to the SM strongly-interacting states
 - for $m \gg 1$ GeV it couples to partons
 - for $m \ll 1$ GeV it couples to hadrons
 - ► how to calculate the new particle production and decay rates for $m \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$?
 - in the concrete models
 "parton" and "hadron" answers often mismatch
- Eventually we must predict the signal rate 'in observed particles': pions, kaons, etc



Three Portals to the hidden World

Renormalizable interaction including SM field and new (hypothetical) fields singlets with respect to the SM gauge group

Attractive feature:

couplings are insensitive to energy in c.m.f., hence low energy experiments (intensity frontier) are favorable

• Scalar portal: SM Higgs doublet *H* and hidden scalar *S*

the simplest dark matter

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{scalar portal}} = -\beta H^{\dagger} H S^{\dagger} S - \mu H^{\dagger} H S$$

• Spinor portal: SM lepton doublet L, Higgs congugate field $\tilde{H} = \varepsilon H^*$ and hidden fermion N sterile neutrino !!

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{spinor portal}} = -y\overline{L}\widetilde{H}N$$

 Vector portal: SM gauge field of U(1)_Y and gauge hidden field of abelian group U(1)' hidden photon

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{vector portal}} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} B^{U(1)_{Y}}_{\mu\nu} B^{U(1)'}_{\mu\nu}$$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

The nearest portal...





Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

NN

0912.0390

|i-ab|a inflaton at OaV and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{X\mathrm{SM}} &= \int \sqrt{-g} \, d^4 x \left(\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} + \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{grav}} \right), \\ \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{ext}} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu X \partial^\mu X + \frac{1}{2} m_X^2 X^2 - \frac{\beta}{4} X^4 - \lambda \left(H^\dagger H - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} X^2 \right)^2, \\ \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{grav}} &= - \frac{M_P^2 + \xi X^2}{2} R, \end{split}$$

inflaton mass

$$m_{\chi} = m_h \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\lambda \theta^2}}.$$

phenomenology is fixed by mixing with Higgs

$$\theta^2 = \frac{2\beta v^2}{m_{\chi}^2} = \frac{2\alpha}{\lambda}$$





QCD modes: claimed uncertainties upto 10²

1303.4395



Interaction among the final hadronic states

following J.Donoghue, J.Gasser and H Leutwyler (1990)

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

Limits from LHCb

1508.04094



N

We need to know the scalar form factors

$$\mathscr{L} = -\theta \sum_{f} \frac{m_{f}}{v} \bar{\psi}_{f} \psi_{f} S, \quad \longrightarrow \quad \theta \frac{\alpha_{s}}{v} G_{\mu v} G^{\mu v} S$$

all meson channels are interesting, $\pi\pi$, *KK*, $\eta\eta$, 4π , etc

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \pi^i(p)\pi^k(p')|T^\mu_\mu|0
angle\equiv\Theta_\pi(s)\delta^{ik},\ &\langle \pi^i(p)\pi^k(p')|m_uar{u}u+m_dar{d}d|0
angle\equiv\Gamma_\pi(s)\delta^{ik},\ &\langle \pi^i(p)\pi^k(p')|m_sar{s}s|0
angle\equiv\Delta_\pi(s)\delta^{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

$$G_{\pi}(s)=rac{2}{9}\Theta_{\pi}(s)+rac{7}{9}\left(\Gamma_{\pi}(s)+\Delta_{\pi}(s)
ight).$$

At small $s = (p + p')^2 = M_S^2$ we can compute them within LO ChPT

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{\pi}(s) &= s + 2m_{\pi}^2, & \Theta_{K}(s) = s + 2m_{K}^2, \\ \Gamma_{\pi}(s) &= m_{\pi}^2, & \Gamma_{K}(s) = \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^2, \\ \Delta_{\pi}(s) &= 0, & \Delta_{K}(s) = m_{K}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^2. \end{split}$$



The estimates BSM people use

1809.01876



These estimates are based on dispersion relations

There are several issues, e.g.

- Unitarity requires $\Theta(\infty) = 0$, while $\Theta(s) \propto s$
 - ignore (why not important for low s?) J.Donoghue, J.Gasser, H.Leutwyler (1990)
 - make $\Theta(\infty) = 0$ by hand (changes or not low *s*, always changes high *s* behaviour)
- There are many channels, but people typically reduce to the 2-channels system, $\pi\pi$, *KK*
 - we need more to make predictions

```
\eta \eta, 4\pi, \ldotshep-ph/9909292— the truncation is not justifiedsome channels are strongly coupled,e.g. Br(f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi\pi) \simeq 35\%, Br(f_0(1500) \rightarrow 4\pi) \simeq 50\%,results depend on the way one adds a new channel1809.06867Nebody calculate the uncertainty of their results;
```

 Nobody calculate the uncertainty of their results: 30% (like typically in ChPT), 'factor of 2', '10' ?



1812.08088



We badly (over 10 years for BSM) need to calculate:

 $S \to \pi\pi$: $\langle \pi\pi | \int d^4x \, S(x) G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}(x) e^{iS_{QCD}} | S \rangle = ??????? \pm ???$ $\langle \pi \pi | T^{\mu}_{\mu} | 0 \rangle \equiv \Theta_{\pi}(s)$ $\langle \pi \pi | m_{\mu} \bar{u} u + m_{d} \bar{d} d | 0 \rangle \equiv \Gamma_{\pi}(s)$ $\langle \pi \pi | m_{s} \bar{s} s | 0 \rangle \equiv \Delta_{\pi}(s)$ $\langle KK | T^{\mu}_{\mu} | 0 \rangle \equiv \Theta_{K}(s)$ $\langle KK | m_{\mu} \bar{u} u + m_{d} \bar{d} d | 0 \rangle \equiv \Gamma_{\kappa}(s)$ $\langle KK | m_s \bar{s} s | 0 \rangle \equiv \Delta_K(s)$ $(\mu,\mu) = \frac{\tau^{\mu}}{\tau^{\mu}} |0\rangle = 0$ (a)

$$\langle \eta \eta | I_{\mu} | 0 \rangle \equiv \Theta_{\eta}(s)$$

$$\langle \eta \eta | m_{u} \overline{u} u + m_{d} \overline{d} d | 0 \rangle \equiv \Gamma_{\eta}(s)$$

$$\langle \eta \eta | m_{s} \overline{s} s | 0 \rangle \equiv \Delta_{\eta}(s)$$







ä



Seesaw type I mechanism: $M_N \gg m_{active}$

$$\mathscr{L}_{N} = \overline{N}_{I} i \partial N_{I} - f_{\alpha I} \overline{L}_{\alpha} \widetilde{H} N_{I} - \frac{M_{N_{I}}}{2} \overline{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \text{h.c.}$$

where I = 1, 2, 3 and $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ $\tilde{H}_a = \varepsilon_{ab}H_b^*$

When Higgs gains $\langle H \rangle = v / \sqrt{2}$ we get in neutrino sector

$$\mathscr{V}_{N} = v \frac{f_{\alpha l}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{v}_{\alpha} N_{l} + \frac{M_{N_{l}}}{2} \overline{N}_{l}^{c} N_{l} + \text{h.c.} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{v}_{\alpha}, \overline{N}_{l}^{c} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}} \\ v \frac{\hat{f}^{T}}{\sqrt{2}} & \hat{M}_{N} \end{pmatrix} \left(v_{\alpha}^{c}, N_{l} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} + \text{h.c.}$$

Then for $M_N \gg \hat{M}_D = v \frac{\hat{t}}{\sqrt{2}}$ we find the eigenvalues:

$$\simeq \hat{M}_N$$
 and $\hat{M}^v = -\hat{M}_D \frac{1}{\hat{M}_N} \hat{M}_D^T \propto f^2 \frac{v^2}{M_N} \ll M_N$

Mixings: flavor state $v_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha i}v_i + \theta_{\alpha I}N_I$

active-active mixing: (PMNS-matrix U) $U^T \hat{M}^v U = diag(m_1, m_2, m_3)$

active-sterile mixing:
$$\theta_{\alpha l} = \frac{M_{D_{\alpha l}}}{M_l} \propto \hat{f} \frac{v}{M_N} \ll 1$$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)



Sterile neutrinos: production and decays



Interaction via neutral and charged weak hadronic currents

Do we need multimeson modes?

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

ИI ЯN ИR

Actually not: 20% to production...

1805.08567



Decay modes normalized to quarks with QCD-corrections from

 $\tau \rightarrow v + hadrons$

And we use hadronic form factors...

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

Massive vectors (paraphotons)

Vector portal to a secluded sector: e.g. with Dark matter Ψ one more U(1)' gauge group [spontaneously broken] in secluded sector 0711.4866 $\mathscr{L}_{\rm DM+mediator} = \bar{\Psi} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - e' \gamma^{\mu} A'_{\mu} - m_{\Psi} \right) \Psi - \frac{1}{4} A'_{\mu\nu} A'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{\gamma'}^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} + \varepsilon A'_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$ ae an SINDRUM KLOE 10^{-2} WASA BaBar 10^{-3} HADES E774 APEX A1 E141 10^{-4} ν -Cal I (π^0) Ψ 10^{-5} v-Cal I (p-Bremsstrahlung) KEK 10^{-6} Orsay NOMAD & PS191 10^{-7} CHARM E137 10^{-2} 10^{-1} $m_{\gamma'}$ [GeV] 1311.5104

when $m_{\Psi} > m_{\gamma} \sim 1 \,\text{GeV}$

limit from BBN:

$$au_V < 1 \mathrm{s}, \implies \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{m_{\gamma'}}{1 \,\mathrm{GeV}} \right) \gtrsim 10^{-21}$$

light for (g-2)۰

light for Pamela, Fermi, etc ۰

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Production by virtual photon} & \sigma \propto \epsilon^2 \\ \mbox{Decay through virtual photon}, & \mbox{} \Gamma \propto \epsilon^2 \end{array}$ $V \rightarrow e^+ e^-, \ \mu^+ \mu^-,$ etc



NA64



Massive vectors: decays are under control





Massive vectors: production by protons

• decays of π^0, η^0 and $\rho^{\pm}, \rho^0, \omega$

$$\mathsf{Br}_{\pi^0 \to \mathcal{A}' \gamma} \simeq 2\varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}'}^2}{m_{\pi^0}^2} \right)^3 \mathsf{Br}_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma}$$

 proton bremsstrahlung concervatively corrected by the Dirac (electric) form factor of proton

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{q^2}{m_D^2}\right)^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{A'}^4}$$

with Dirac mass squared $m_D^2 = 12/r_D^2$ and the Dirac radius $r_D \approx 0.8$ fm

• quark bremsstrahlung ?? still under study...



1411.4007

船

Massive vectors: QCD NNLO calculation

with E.Kryukova





Massive vectors: Pomeron-based calculation

with E.Kryukova



$$\begin{split} i\mathcal{M} &= -i\varepsilon e Q_b^2 L^{\nu} \frac{-i}{q^2} g_{\nu\lambda} J^{\lambda}, \\ L_{\nu} &= \varepsilon_{\gamma'}^{*\mu}(k) \bar{u}(p') \left(\gamma_{\nu} \frac{\hat{p} - \hat{k} + M}{(p-k)^2 - M^2} \gamma_{\mu} + \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\hat{k} + \hat{p'} + M}{(k+p')^2 - M^2} \gamma_{\nu} \right) u(p). \end{split}$$

which can be related with elastic pp scattering cross section

Massive vectors: various attempts

2108.05900







2) Examples in particle physics: when BSM meets QCD

Examples in cosmology: violent dynamics

Higgs-driven inflation

F.Bezrukov, M.Shaposhnikov (2007)

$$S^{JF} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{M_P^2}{2}R - \xi H^{\dagger} HR + \mathscr{L}_{SM} \right)$$

In a unitary gauge $H^T = (0, (h+v)/\sqrt{2})$ (and neglecting $v = 246 \,\text{GeV})$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{M_P^2 + \xi h^2}{2} R + \frac{(\partial_\mu h)^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda h^4}{4} \right)$$

slow roll behavior due to modified kinetic term even for $\lambda \sim 1$ Go to the Einstein frame:

 $(M_P^2 + \xi h^2) R^{JR} \rightarrow M_P^2 R^{EF}$

$$g^{JF}_{\mu
u} = \Omega^{-2} \tilde{g}^{EF}_{\mu
u} \,, \qquad \Omega^2 = 1 + rac{\xi \, h^2}{M_P^2}$$

with canonically normalized χ :

interval ds² changes !

$$\frac{d\chi}{dh} = \frac{M_P \sqrt{M_P^2 + (6\xi + 1)\xi h^2}}{M_P^2 + \xi h^2}, \ U(\chi) = \frac{\lambda M_P^4 h^4(\chi)}{4(M_P^2 + \xi h^2(\chi))^2}.$$

we have a flat potential at large fields: $U(\chi) \rightarrow \text{const}$ @ $h \gg M_P/\sqrt{\xi}$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

When BSM physics needs help

13.10.2022, LEIMI 32/55





Advantage: NO NEW interactions to reheat the Universe inflaton couples to all SM fields NO NEW d.o.f. Different reheating temperature...

0812.3622, 1111.4397

from WMAP-normalization: $\xi \approx 47000 \times \sqrt{\lambda}$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)





Advantage: NO NEW interactions to reheat the Universe inflaton couples to all SM fields! NO NEW d.o.f. Different reheating temperature...

0812.3622, 1111.4397

from WMAP-normalization: $\xi \approx 47000 \times \sqrt{\lambda}$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

When BSM physics needs help

13.10.2022, LEIMI 33/55





$$m_W^2(\chi) = \frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{M_P|\chi(t)|}{\xi}$$
$$m_t(\chi) = y_t \sqrt{\frac{M_P|\chi(t)|}{\sqrt{6}\xi}} \operatorname{sign} \chi(t)$$

reheating via W^+W^- , ZZ production at zero crossings then nonrelativistic gauge bosons scatter to light fermions

$$\chi \to W^+ W^- \to f\bar{t}$$

 $3.4 \times 10^{13} \, \text{GeV} < T_r < 9.2 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{\lambda}{0.125} \right)^{1/4} \, \text{GeV}$

 $n_s = 0.967$, r = 0.0032F.Bezrukov, D.G.,

Reheating by Higgs field

after inflation: $M_P / \xi < h < M_P / \sqrt{\xi}$

Hot stage starts almost from $T = M_P / \xi \sim 10^{14} \, \text{GeV}$:

effective dynamics : $h^2
ightarrow \chi$

$$\mathscr{L} = rac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi - rac{\lambda}{6} rac{M_P^2}{\xi^2} \chi^2$$

Advantage: NO NEW interactions to reheat the Universe inflaton couples to all SM fields!

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

When BSM physics needs help

13.10.2022, LEIMI 34/55

Examples in cosmology: violent dynamics



Strong coupling in Higgs-inflation



Einstein frame



However, the reheating is almost instant

1609.05209, 1810.01304

We made an error, solving

$$\left(m_W^2(h(t)) + \Box\right) W_v^{\pm} = 0$$

while the true equation is

$$W_{\nu}^{\pm}m_{W}^{2}(h(t))+\partial_{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}=0$$

We fixed the gauge covariantly, $\partial_{\mu}W^{\mu} = 0 \dots$

And the reheating is almost instant

We made an error, solving

$$\left(m_W^2(h(t)) + \Box\right) W_v^{\pm} = 0$$

while the true equation is

$$W_{\nu}^{\pm}m_{W}^{2}(h(t)) + \partial_{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}^{\pm} = 0$$

the $\partial_{\mu} W^{\pm}_{\mu} = 0$ gauge does not go through the equation...

So, the longitudinal components of vector boson get contributions $\omega_L^2 \propto \dot{m}_W, \ddot{m}_W$ instant reheating well inside the strong coupling domain...

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

Examples in cosmology: violent dynamics

Natural completion with R^2

Y.Ema (2017), D.G., A.Tokareva (2018)

$\xi h^2 R$ induces R^2 -term

hep-th/9510140

$$S_0 = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{M_P^2 + \xi h^2}{2} R + \frac{\beta}{4} R^2 + \frac{(\partial_\mu h)^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (h^2 - v^2)^2 \right).$$

introduce a Lagrange multiplier L and auxiliary scalar \mathscr{R}

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{(\partial_\mu h)^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (h^2 - v^2)^2 - \frac{M_P^2 + \xi h^2}{2} \mathscr{R} + \frac{\beta}{4} \mathscr{R}^2 - L \mathscr{R} + L R \right).$$

integrate out R

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{(\partial_\mu h)^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (h^2 - v^2)^2 + LR - \frac{1}{\beta} (L + \frac{1}{2}\xi h^2 + \frac{1}{2}M_P^2)^2 \right)$$
$$\xi \to \xi^2/\beta$$

with

$$eta \gtrsim rac{\xi^2}{4\pi}$$

everything here look healthy

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

Further transformations...

Y.Ema (2017)

introducing scalaron ϕ

with $m = M_P / \sqrt{3\beta}$

$$g_{\mu
u}
ightarrow\Omega^2\,g_{\mu
u}\,,~~\Omega^2\equivrac{2L}{M_P^2}\,,~~L
ightarrow\phi\equiv M_P\,\sqrt{rac{2}{3}}\log\Omega^2\,.$$

and setting $M_P = 1/\sqrt{6}$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{R}{12} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi}(\partial h)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}e^{-4\phi} \left(\lambda h^4 + \frac{1}{36\beta}(e^{2\phi} - 1 - 6\xi h^2)^2\right) \right)$$

both gravity and scalar sector are weakly coupled up to M_P with $\beta \gtrsim \xi^2/(4\pi)$

And one more...

D.G., A.Tokareva (2018)

$$h = e^{\Phi} \tanh H, \ \phi = e^{\Phi} / \cosh H,$$

The scalar sector becomes

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\cosh^{2}H(\partial\Phi)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial H)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4}\sinh^{4}H - \frac{\lambda}{144\beta}(1 - e^{-2\Phi}\cosh^{2}H - 6\xi\sinh^{2}H)^{2}.$$

and the Higgs coupling to gauge bosons, e.g.,

~ ~

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)



Cosmological spectra



D.G., A.Tokareva 1807.02392



Scalar perturbations:

1701.07665

$$eta + rac{\xi^2}{\lambda} \simeq 2 imes 10^9$$

At small β like in the Higgs-inflation

heavy scalaron is integrated out

$$\frac{\xi^2}{4\pi} < \beta < \frac{\xi^2}{\lambda} \quad \rightarrow \quad 5 \times 10^{13} \, \text{GeV} < m < 1.5 \times 10^{15} \, \text{GeV}$$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)





Scalaron Φ and Higgs *H* evolution after inflation



$$V(H,\Phi) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda + \frac{\xi^2}{\beta} \right) H^4 + \frac{M_P^2}{6\beta} \Phi^2 - \frac{\xi M_P}{\sqrt{6\beta}} \Phi H^2 + \frac{7}{108\beta} \Phi^4 + \frac{\xi}{6\beta} \Phi^2 H^2 - \frac{M_P}{3\sqrt{6\beta}} \Phi^3$$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)



Numerical results: mass squared





Energy in perturbations: back reaction is needed





Numerical results for perturbations



mass squared for the relevant perturbations



ЯN ИК

Specra and energy density of produced particles







The resonance positions and energy in Higgs between two zero crossings are correlated





New methods are needed !!

 Higgs frequency is much and scalaron frequency is significantly higher than the expansion rate: It seems that the reheating is almost instant

$$N_e = 59$$
, $n_s = 0.97$, $r = 0.0034$.

- only for specially chosen values of β
- even there we need backreaction and there :
 - semiclassical approach is not applicable
 - Hartree-Fock does not work
- violent processes may induce
 - gravitational waves as a signature
 - black holes production, and their remnants as dark matter



Summary

WE NEED HELP

- If some exotics even feebly couples to QCD-stuff
- QCD-effects MUST BE properly accounted for
- help from QCD-people are welcome !!
- we must describe violent reheating like in Higgs+ R^2 ...

HELP IS NEEDED





Backup slides

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)

When BSM physics needs help

13.10.2022, LEIMI 52/55



Dispersion system truncated

$$F_{1}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{1j}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') + \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{M} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{1j}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') \right\}$$

$$F_{2}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{2j}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') + \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{M} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{2j}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') \right\}$$

$$F_{N}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{Mj}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') + \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{M} \int \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{T_{Nj}^{*}(s')\sigma_{j}(s')}{s'-s} F_{j}(s') \right\}$$

Light sgoldstinos in SUSY models

breaking of $SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$ by the $\langle H \rangle = v$

Goldstones bosons couple to all massive fields (Goldberger–Treiman formula like for pion)

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{v} J^{\mu}_{SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y} \partial_{\mu} H$$

SUSY is spontaneously broken

breaking of SUSY by $\langle F_{\varphi} \rangle = F$ Goldstone fermion: goldstino $\mathscr{L}_{\psi} \propto \frac{1}{F} J^{\mu}_{SUSY} \partial_{\mu} \psi$

Goldstino supermultiplet: (boson φ (sgoldstino), fermion ψ (goldstino))

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{SUSY} &\longleftrightarrow & F \equiv \langle F_{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0 & \Phi = \varphi + \sqrt{2}\theta \, \psi + F_{\varphi}\theta\theta & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\varphi + \varphi^{\dagger} \right) \equiv S - \text{scalar} \\ \text{sgoldstino:} & \mathscr{L}_{S,P} \propto \frac{M_{\text{soft}}}{F} & F \sim (\text{SUSY scale})^2 & \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \left(\varphi - \varphi^{\dagger} \right) \equiv P - \text{pseudoscalar} \end{array}$

massless at tree level naturally may be light...

M_{soft}: MSSM soft terms superpartner masses and trilinear couplings,

gauginos:

$$M_{\lambda}\lambda\lambda \longrightarrow rac{M_{\lambda}}{F}SF_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \ rac{M_{\lambda}}{F}PF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu
u}$$

squarks, sleptons:

$$A_{ij}h_u\tilde{q}_i\tilde{u}_j\longrightarrow rac{A_{ij}}{F}Sh_uq_iu_j, \ rac{A_{ij}}{F}Ph_uq_iu_j$$

Dmitry Gorbunov (INR)



Direct coupling to gluonic tensor

• For $M_S \ll$ 1 GeV estimate coupling to pions through the triangle anomaly in $T_{\mu\mu}$ M.Voloshin, V.Zakharov (1980)

$$-\langle \pi \pi \left| \frac{b g_s^2}{32 \pi^2} \, G_{\mu\nu}^a \, G_{\mu\nu}^a \right| \mathbf{0} \rangle = \langle \pi \pi \left| \, T_{\mu\mu} \right| \mathbf{0} \rangle = q^2 \varphi_\pi^\alpha \varphi_\pi^\alpha / 2$$

hence we get an amplification

1511.05403

$$\Gamma(S o \pi^0 \pi^0) pprox rac{lpha_s^2(M_3)}{eta^2(lpha_s(M_3))} rac{\pi m_S^3 M_3^2}{4F^2} \sqrt{1 - rac{4m_{\pi^0}^2}{m_S^2}},$$

• For $M_S \gg 1$ GeV we have gluons and a suppression

 $g_s^2 G_{\mu\nu}^2$ is a renorm-invariant

$$\Gamma(S \to gg) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_S)\beta(\alpha_s(M_3))}{\beta(\alpha_s(m_S))\alpha_s(M_3)}\right)^2 \frac{m_S^3 M_3^2}{4\pi F^2}.$$

The two rates mismatch by orders...