Centrality and spectators properties measurements with hadron calorimeter in MPD/NICA experiment

Volkov Vadim

11/11/2020

AYSS-2020

Overview

- FHCal@MPD and energy depositions for LAQGSM, DCM-SMM models.
- A few methods for centrality determination:
  - a) Correlations of transverse and longitudinal energy components,
  - b) 2D-fit of FHCal energy distributions.
- Further improvement of the centrality determination
  - a) Subtraction of pion energy contamination and evaluation of spectator's energy.
- Scattering angles of spectators
- Multiplicity and confusion matrix
- Simulations are made for LAQGSM and DCM-SMM fragmentation models for Au-Au collisions with $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 11\,\mathrm{GeV}$ energy.

FHCal@MPD



Two upstream/downstream parts

- The main purpose of the FHCal is to detect spectators and to provide an experimental measurement of a heavy-ion collision centrality and orientation of its reaction plane.
- There is an ambiguity in FHCal energy deposition for central/peripheral events due to the fragments (bound spectators) leak into beam hole.
- FHCal measures not only spectator's but also pion's energies.

44 individual modules



Beam hole



FHCal modules

Non-spectator's contributions



Energy depositions in FHCal for different models



- Energy depositions are quite different for different fragmentation models.
- Results would depend on the fragmentation model.
- FHCal detects not only the spectators but also the produced particles and wounded nucleons from participant region.

Transverse energy distributions are wider for central events and narrower for the peripheral collisions.



Impact parameter b<= 6 **Impact parameter b>6**

This feature can be used for the separation of central/peripheral events.

Correlation between transverse and longitudinal energies in FHCal

- **LAQGSM and DCM-SMM models for √S = 11 AGeV are used.**
- The E_T and E_L energies are transverse and longitudinal energies: respectively.

$$E_T = \sum E_i \sin\theta_i, E_L = \sum E_i \cos\theta_i$$

- The (E_T -E_L) histograms are divided into ten parts, 10% of events in each part, 10%-clusters are separated from one another by perpendiculars to the envelope.
- b-distributions for each centrality bin are fitted by Gauss.
- The separation of central and peripheral events with DCM-SMM model is clearly worse.

New approaches are needed









Dependence of resolution of impact parameter on centrality

2D-linear fit method
(linear approach)

Energy distribution in FHCal modules



- In this method the space energy distribution in FHCal modules is used.
- The energy in the histogram is uniformly distributed in FHCal modules according to the polar angle.
- The histogram is fitted by a symmetrical cone (linear approximation).
- Weight of each bin is proportional of the energy deposited in corresponding FHCal module.
- This fit provides the new observables: radius, height of the cone. Volume of cone corresponds to the reconstructed energy (E_{rec}).

Correlation between obtained fit parameters. LAQGSM

Initially we have experimental energy deposition E_{dep} in FHCal.







After linear fit we have:

- E_{rec} **is reconstructed energy (volume of cone);**
- E_{max} **– maximum energy in central bin (in FHCal hole);**
- ***Radius*** **of spectator spot at FHCal is defined by the scattering spot of spectators.**



This correlation can be used for the centrality determination

Centrality resolution for E_{dep} vs E_{max}







Dependence of resolution of impact parameter on centrality



Further approaches

2D linear fit method with subtraction of pion contribution



- **Narrow cone radius indicates that the outer FHCal modules detect the pions mainly, while the spectators are detected by inner modules.**
- **Energy in outer modules can be regarded as pure non-spectator (pion) contribution.**
- **How to evaluate pion contribution in full FHCal?**

Evaluation of pion energy contribution

Pion energy distribution



Pion energy distribution



Pion contribution is subtracted



- Linear fit with *y=kx+b* background,
- b is known from outer FHCal modules,
- *k* is taken from simulation and quite similar for LAQGSM and DCM-SMM models
- The ratio of edge and central energies is almost the same for different models (2.4609 for LAQGSM, 2.45876 for DCM-SMM)

Scattering angles of spectators



Radius of spectator's spot is provided by fit.







According to the models, the size of the spectator's spot (scattering angle) depends on the impact parameter. It reflects the spectator's transverse momenta obtained from fireball.

Connection with multiplicity



- Using the 10-bin split, we obtain multiplicity distribution for each centrality class (lower left pic.).
- The highest multiplicity corresponds to the most central events.
- A comparison can now be made with a "pure" multiplicity distribution divided into 10 bins by multiplicity (lower right pic.).





Purity of centrality classes determination



Confusion matrix for “pure” and E_{dep} E_{max} multiplicity



- The matrix shows what percentage of events determined from E_{dep} E_{max} really belong to this class.
- For the central class itself the result is quite acceptable - 83%, for the rest it is much less accurate.

Conclusion

- The ability of FHCal to measure the collision centrality was considered.
- Only the spectators for the centrality reconstruction were used.
- Two + 1 methods for the centrality determination have been demonstrated:
  - Transverse-longitudinal energies correlation;
  - 2D-linear fit method;
  - Planned: 2D-linear fit with pion contribution subtraction method.
- A few new observables were introduced for the centrality determination.
- The usage of the introduced observables allows to determine the centrality more accurately, especially for the DCM-SMM model.
- DCM-SMM model provides worse centrality resolution because this model has much more heavy fragments which escape in FHCal beam hole.
- The subtraction of the pion contribution probably makes possible to measure the energy of free (protons/neutrons) spectators. Number of free spectators can be estimated more accurately. It can be used for the centrality measurements.
- Spectators transverse momenta obtained from fireball can be estimated.
- Confusion matrix shows that we obtain good results for the very central events

Thank you for your attention!

This work was supported by the RFBR 18-02-40065 mega grant

BACKUPS

Centrality resolution for E_{dep} vs E_{max} 2% binning backup









Energy deposition can be decomposed in two components: energy of free spectators and non-spectators energy









By using the subtraction of the non-spectator's contribution, the energy deposition can be decomposed into two components.



Both energies can be used for centrality determination.

E_pions vs Imp











Comparison
LAQGSM 11 GeV





LAQGSM 11 GeV



Energy in the central bin vs impact parameter









Spectators scattering angle vs impact parameter

After subtracting the pion contribution, the energies for the central events become less

Centrality resolution for E_{dep} vs E_{max}

(after subtraction of pion contribution) **backup**







Dependence of resolution of impact parameter on centrality



Dependence of impact parameter on centrality

Comparison
DCM-SMM 11 GeV бэкап





Energy in the central bin vs impact parameter





Spectators scattering angle vs impact parameter

5 GeV example for LAQGSM and DCM-SMM models









LAQGSM and DCM-SMM models comparison for 5 GeV Erec Edep



Dependence of impact parameter on centrality



Dependence of resolution of impact parameter on centrality

2D fit method LAQGSM 11 GeV



Correlation between transverse and longitudinal energies in FHCal DCM-SMM 11 GeV **backup**





The separation of central and peripheral events with this model is clearly worse.

This approach is not suited for DCM-SMM model





New approaches are needed