


Monte Carlo Generator DCM-SMM

*M. Baznat, A. Botvina, K. Gudima, G. Musulmanbekov[#], V. Toneev,
V. Zhezher¹*

genis@jinr.ru

HIC in DCM-SMM



Content

- DCM–QGSM – previous version
- DCM–SMM: modified DCM-QGSM + SMM
- Comparison to data
- Further Development

DCM-QGSM

DCM-QGSM – Dubna Cascade + Quark-Gluon-String-Model

- **DCM**

- + binary interactions ($E_{\text{Lab}} < 4.5 \text{ A GeV}$):

- hadrons \rightarrow hadrons (nucleons, deltas, mesons)

- + coalescence (light fragments and hyperfragments production)

- + pre-equilibrium emission of particles from excited remnants

- + Fermi break-up


- + decay of excited remnants by evaporation or fission

- **QGSM**


- + binary interactions ($E_{\text{Lab}} > 4.5 \text{ A GeV}$)

- hadrons \rightarrow quark-gluon strings \rightarrow hadrons

Scheme of DCM calculations



Stages in DCM-QGSM



DCM+QGSM

$E_{\text{Lab}} > 4.5 \text{ AGeV}$

QGSM

N.S. Amelin, L.V. Bravina, L.I. Sarycheva, and L.N. Smirnova, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50 (1989) 1058;
N.S. Amelin, K.K. Gudima, V.D. Toneev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51 (1990) 327;
N.S. Amelin and L.V. Bravina, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51 (1990) 133;
N.S. Amelin, L.V. Bravina, L.P. Csernai, V.D. Toneev, K.K. Gudima, and S.Yu. Sivoklov, Phys. Rev. C 47, 2299 (1993).

Binary collisions


- ✓ formation quark-gluon strings between quark and di-quarks
- ✓ hadronization of strings in the framework of DPM (*A. B. Kaidalov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45 (1987) 902-907*)
- ✓ ends of strings - leading particles
- ✓ Formation time concept

QGSM

- Particularities of space-time evolution


CLASSICAL STRING THEORY

- ★ string fusion



time \Rightarrow


- ★ string rearrangement




- ★ leading particle effect
- ★ color rope formation

DUAL TOPOL. MODEL


- ★ planar diagram



- ★ cylindrical diagram



QGSM



Topological diagrams for the multiparticle production in πN collisions: *a* - planar, *b* - cylindrical, *c* - "undeveloped" cylindrical, *d* - diffractive.

$$\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_{pl} + \sigma_{cyl} + \sigma_{und} + \sigma_{dif} + \sigma_{el}.$$

String extends between constituents.

String breaks by q-qbar pair according to $u : d : s : qq = 1 : 1 : 0.27 : 0.1$

At break \rightarrow new string + hadron

QGSM

The longitudinal momentum distribution of the constituent quark

$$\begin{aligned} u_B(x) &\sim x^{-1/2}(1-x)^a, & a=1.5 \text{ for } u\text{-quark} \\ u_M(x) &\sim x^{-1/2}(1-x)^{-0.5}, & a=2.5 \text{ for } d\text{-quark} \end{aligned}$$

The transverse momentum distribution of the constituent quark

$$\omega(p_\perp) = (\pi\sigma_\perp^2)^{-1/2} \exp(-p_\perp^2/\sigma_\perp^2) \quad \sigma_\perp = 0.51 \text{ GeV}/c$$


The fragmentation functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{q \rightarrow M}(z) &= 1 - a + 2a(1 - z), \\ f_{qq \rightarrow B}(z) &= 0.4 + 0.6 \exp[-20(1 - z)]/[1 + \exp(-20)], \\ f_{qq \rightarrow M}(z) &= 3a(1 - z)^2, \end{aligned}$$

Particle in QGSM

<i>Particle</i>	<i>PDG ID</i>	<i>Particle</i>	<i>PDG ID</i>	<i>Particle</i>	<i>PDG ID</i>
γ	22	K_L^0	130	Σ^+	3222
e^-	11	K_S^0	310	Σ^0	3212
ν_e	12	K^0	311	Σ^-	3112
μ^-	13	K^+	321	Σ^{*+}	3224
ν_μ	14	K^-	-321	Σ^{*0}	3214
π^0	111	K^{*0}	313	Σ^{*-}	3114
π^+	211	K^{*+}	323	Ξ^0	3322
π^-	-211	p	2212	Ξ^-	3312
ρ^0	113	n	2112	Ξ^{*0}	3324
ρ^+	213	Δ^{++}	2224	Ξ^{*-}	3314
ρ^-	-213	Δ^+	2214	Ω^-	3334
η	221	Δ^0	2114		
η'	331	Δ^-	1114		
ω	223	Λ	3122		
ϕ	333				

Coalescence



Schematic representation of the surface coalescence model in r-space

Coalescence



V. D. Toneev and K. K. Gudima, Nucl. Phys. A400 (1983) 173c-190c.

H. Schulz, G. Ropke, K. K. Gudima, and V. D. Toneev, Phys. Lett. B 124 (1983) 458-460.

- Final state interactions
- Fragments formation (d, t, ^3He , ^4He , ...)
- Coalescence criteria: $(p_i - p_0) < p_c$ and $(r_i - r_0) < r_c$
- $p_c = 150, 175, 175, 175$ for d, t, ^3He , ^4He

Coalescence

Data are from NA49



Preequilibrium emission

- Preequilibrium particles – particles emitted after cascade stage but before equilibration of a residual nucleus.
- Initial configuration for preequilibrium decay – number of excitons n_0 (particles p_0 and holes h_0)

$$n_0 = p_0 + h_0$$

- Changing the number of excitons n_0 with $\Delta n = +2, -2, \text{ and } 0,$
- Subsequent emissions of **n**, **p**, **d**, **t**, **3He**, and **4He**.

If $A_{\text{residual}} \leq 13 \rightarrow$ **Fermi Break-Up**

- Input for Fermi Break-up of residual:

$E = U + M(A, Z)$ – its total energy

U – its excitation energy

- Break-up probability

$$W(E, n) = (V/\Omega)^{n-1} \rho_n(E)$$


where V – volume of decaying A , $\Omega = (2\pi h)^3$

$A_{\text{residual}} \rightarrow p, n, d, t, {}^3\text{He}, {}^3\text{He}, \dots$

DCM-SMM: DCM-QGSM + SMM

- DCM-QGSM
 - + coalescence
 - ~~preequilibrium~~
- SMM
 - + Fermi break-up
 - + Evaporation + fission

Scheme of DCM-SMM



DCM-SMM: DCM-QGSM + SMM

SMM – Statistical Multifragmentation Model

A. Botvia et al, Nucl. Phys. A584 (1995) 737-756.

J.P. Bondorf, A.S. Botvina, A.S. Ilinov, I.N. Mishustin, K. Sneppen, Phys.Rep. 257 (1995) 133-221


- Statistical break-up of excited nuclear residuals
- Light and medium mass fragments formation

SMM

Multifragmentation in intermediate and high energy nuclear reactions

Experimentally established:


- 1) few stages of reactions leading to multifragmentation,
- 2) short time $\sim 100\text{fm}/c$ for primary fragment production,
- 3) freeze-out density is around $0.1\rho_0$,
- 4) high degree of equilibration at the freeze-out,
- 5) primary fragments are hot.



SMM

Statistical Multifragmentation Model (SMM)

J.P.Bondorf, A.S.Botvina, A.S.Iljinov, I.N.Mishustin, K.Sneppen, Phys. Rep. **257** (1995) 133





Ensemble of nucleons and fragments
in thermal equilibrium characterized by
neutron number N_0
proton number Z_0 , $N_0 + Z_0 = A_0$
excitation energy $E^* = E_0 - E_{CN}$
break-up volume $V = (1 + \kappa)V_0$

All break-up channels are enumerated by the sets
of fragment multiplicities or partitions, $f = \{N_{AZ}\}$



Statistical distribution of probabilities: $W_f \sim \exp \{S_f(A_0, Z_0, E^*, V)\}$
under conditions of baryon number (A), electric charge (Z) and energy
(E^*) conservation, including compound nucleus.

DCM-SSM vs Experiment

Nuclear Fragmentation




DCM-SSM vs Experiment: AGS, Au+Au; NA49, Pb+Pb Particle Production




DCM-SSM vs Experiment: AGS, Au+Au; NA49, Pb+Pb

π^- - rapidity distributions


10.6 AGeV




20 AGeV



30 AGeV




40 AGeV




DCM-SSM vs Experiment: AGS, Au+Au; , NA49, Pb+Pb

K^+ - rapidity distributions


10.6 AGeV




20 AGeV



30 AGeV




40 AGeV




DCM-SSM vs Experiment: AGS, Au+Au; , NA49, Pb+Pb proton - rapidity distributions


10.6 AGeV




20 AGeV



30 AGeV







40 AGeV



DCM-SSM vs Experiment: AGS, Au+Au; NA49, Pb+Pb



Λ - rapidity distributions

□



DCQGSM vs Experiment: NA49, Pb+Pb

m_t - distributions



Simulation

DCM-SMM for BM@N (few million events for each reaction)

- C+C, C+Al, C+Ar, C+Kr,
- Ar+C, Ar+Al, Ar+Ar, Ar+Kr,
- Kr+C, Kr+Al, Kr+Ar, Kr+Kr, Kr+Pb

DCM-SMM for SRC

C+p few million events

Determination of Number of Participants in Carbon-Nucleus Collisions

- DCM-SMM
- Glauber model
- pHSD

Number of participants

Reaction	DCM-SMM	pHSD	Glauber
CC	5.1	8.3	6.9
CAI	8.3	10.1	9.4
CCu	13.9	17.1	13.6
CPb	34.0	32.5	23.1

Further Development of DCM-SMM.

- Correction of formation time concept
- Improvement of transverse mass description
- Improvement of coalescence mechanism
- Implementation of mechanism of hyperfragment production by residuals
- Implementation of the mechanism of enhancement of strangeness
- Implementation of the mechanism of enhancement of dilepton yield


Thank you!

Backups

Hyperfragment production in DCM-SMM

Hypernucleus: Hyperons Bound in Nuclei

Hypernucleus: consists of nucleons (n, p) + hyperon (Y)



Notation:



\mathbf{Y} = Hyperon


$$Z = Z_p + (N_Y \cdot q_Y)$$

$$A = N_n + N_p + N_Y$$

Generalization of the statistical de-excitation model for nuclei with Lambda hyperons

In these reactions we expect analogy with multifragmentation in intermediate and high energy nuclear reactions

+ nuclear matter with strangeness



At freeze-out : thermal and chemical equilibrium

Hyperfragment production

A.S. Botvina, K. K. Gudima, J. Steinheimer, M. Bleicher, and I. N. Mishustin, PHYSICAL REVIEW C 84, 064904 (2011)

J. Steinheimer, K.K. Gudima, A.S. Botvina, I.N. Mishustin, M. Bleicher, H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B714, 85 (2012).

Generalized Statistical Fragmentation model

- Coalescence mechanism in central region
- Multifragmentation in forward and backward regions:
 - capture of hyperons by spectator fragments in non-central heavy ion collisions
 - capture criterium: $E_H < |V_\Lambda|$

$$V_\Lambda(\rho) = -\alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \left[1 - \beta \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2/3} \right],$$