Mathematical Modeling of Resonant Processes in Confined Geometry of Atomic and Atom-Ion Traps

### V. S. Melezhik

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

MMCP2017, Dubna, 5 July 2017

## Outline

- Why it is interesting
- Confined ultracold atom-atom and atom-ion collisions
- npDVR: scattering problem as boundary-value problem splitting-up method for 4D Schrödinger eq.
- Atom-atom CIRs
- Atom-ion CIRs
- Impact of ion micromotion-induced heating
- Outlook

# Why it is interesting

- ultracol atoms
- Atoms in an optical lattice: Artificial solids



Trapped ions: Arrays of interacting spins

• cold ions



RF Paul traps

optical traps

last few years: hybrid systems ``atom+ion''

new quantum systems with different energy and length scales with respect to ultracold atoms and molecules

motivation in brief

experimental aspects

# Experiments with deterministically prepared quantum systems

control interparticle interaction



# Experiments with deterministically prepared quantum systems

• control interparticle interaction



 control over quantum states and particle number with long lifetime



# Experiments with deterministically prepared quantum systems

• control interparticle interaction



quantum simulation with fully controlled few-body systems

G.Zurn et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 075303 (2012)

Quantum simulation with fully controlled few-body systems

control over: quantum states, particle number, interaction

- attractive interactions 
  BCS-like pairing in finite systems
- repulsive int.+splitting of trap → entangled pairs of atoms (quantum information processing)
- + periodic potential 

   quantum many-body physics (systems with low entropy to explore such as quantum magnetism)
- ...

Quantum simulation with fully controlled few-body systems

control over: quantum states, particle number, interaction

- attractive interactions 
  BCS-like pairing in finite systems
- repulsive int.+splitting of trap → entangled pairs of atoms (quantum information processing)
- + periodic potential 

   quantum many-body physics (systems with low entropy to explore such as quantum magnetism)

**Bose-Hubbard Physics** 



**R. P. Feynman's Vision** 

A Quantum Simulator to study the quantum dynamics of another system.

R.P. Feyman, Int. J. Theo. Phys. (1982) R.P. Feynman, Found. Phys (1986)

# Why it is interesting

### quantum simulation with cold atoms and ions



Ion crystal + atoms: Fröhlich model

U. Bissbort et al., PRL 111, 080501 (2013)

other proposals: formation of molecular ions, polarons, density bubbles, collective excitations, quantum information processing (two-qubit gate), mesoscopic entanglement...

all proposals assume: atom-ion and atom-phonon interactions can be tuned atomic confinement-induced resonances (CIRs)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion CIR ?

## motivation in brief

## theoretical aspects

3D free-space scattering theory is no longer valid and development of low-dimensional theory including influence of the trap is needed

## <u>Methods:</u>

#### • non-direct 2D discrete-variable representation (npDVR)

1D DVR: J.C.Light et al J.Chem.Phys. 1985

2D DVR: V.Melezhik Phys.Lett. 1997 V.Melezhik AIP Conf Proc 1479, 2012 V.Melezhik EPJ Web of Conf (MMCP15) 2016

• multi-channel scattering problem as a boundary-value problem

V.Melezhik & C.-Y. Hu Phys.Rev.Lett. 2003 S.Saeidian & V. Melezhik & P.Schmelcher Phys.Rev.A 2008 V. Melezhik EPJ Web of Conf (MMCP15) 2016

• splitting-up method for time-dependent 3D and 4D Schrödinger eqs.

V.Melezhik Phys.Lett. 1997 V.Melezhik & D.Baye Phys.Rev. C 1999 V.Melezhik & P.Schmelcher New J. Phys 2009 V.Melezhik EPJ Web of Conf (MMCP15) 2016 non-separability of two-body problem in trap (distinguishable atoms in harmonic trap or identical atoms in anharmonic trap)



$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\rho_{R},\mathbf{r},t) = H(\rho_{R},\mathbf{r})\psi(\rho_{R},\mathbf{r},t)$$

$$H(\rho_{R},\mathbf{r}) = H_{CM}(\rho_{R}) + H_{rel}(\mathbf{r}) + W(\rho_{R},\mathbf{r})$$

$$H_{CM} = -\frac{1}{2M}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\rho_{R}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\rho_{R}^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\phi^{2}} + \frac{1}{4\rho_{R}^{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(m_{1}\omega_{1}^{2} + m_{2}\omega_{2}^{2})\rho_{R}^{2}$$

$$H_{rel} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{L^{2}(\theta,\phi)}{2\mu r^{2}} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{m_{1}} + \frac{\omega_{2}^{2}}{m_{2}}\right)\rho^{2} + V(r)$$

$$\frac{L^{2}(\theta,\phi)}{2\mu r^{2}} = -\frac{1}{2\mu r^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\sin\theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\phi^{2}}\right)$$

 $A_{n1=0} + B_{n2=0} \rightarrow (AB)_{n=0,N=1}$ 

### **5D TDSE**

Discretization of the angular subspace:
 2D nondirect product discrete variable representation (npDVR)

$$\begin{split} \psi(\rho_{R}, r, \Omega, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(\Omega)\psi_{j}(\rho_{R}, r, t) & \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} = \sum_{m=-(N_{\phi}-1)/2}^{(N_{\phi}-1)/2} \sum_{l=|m|}^{|m|+N_{\theta}-1} \\ f_{j}(\Omega) &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} Y_{\nu}(\Omega)(Y^{-1})_{\nu j} & \Omega_{j} &= (\theta_{j_{\theta}}, \phi_{j_{\phi}}) & \frac{N_{\phi}}{|m|} \\ Y_{\nu}(\Omega) &= Y_{lm}(\Omega) &= e^{im\phi} \sum C_{l}^{l'} \times P_{l'}^{m}(\theta) & Y_{j\nu} &= Y_{\nu}(\Omega_{j}) & N_{\theta} \end{split}$$

V.Melezhik, Phys.Lett.A230(1997)203 V.Melezhik, AIP Conf.Proc.1479(2012)1200

11

### 5D TDSE

Discretization of the angular subspace: 2D nondirect product discrete variable representation (npDVR)

$$\psi(\rho_R, r, \Omega, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(\Omega)\psi_j(\rho_R, r, t) \qquad \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} = \sum_{m=-(N_{\phi}-1)/2}^{(N_{\phi}-1)/2} \sum_{l=|m|}^{|m|+N_{\theta}-1} f_j(\Omega) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} Y_{\nu}(\Omega)(Y^{-1})_{\nu j} \qquad \qquad \Omega_j = (\theta_{j_{\theta}}, \phi_{j_{\phi}}) \qquad \qquad \frac{N_{\phi}}{|m|}$$

$$Y_{\nu}(\Omega) = Y_{lm}(\Omega) = e^{im\phi} \sum_{l'} C_l^{l'} \times P_{l'}^m(\theta)$$

$$Y_{j\nu} = Y_{\nu}(\Omega_j)$$

V.Melezhik, Phys.Lett.A230(1997)203 V.Melezhik, AIP Conf.Proc.1479(2012)1200

Computational scheme: component-by-component split operator method

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_j(\rho_R, r, t) = \sum_{j'}^N H_{jj'}(\rho_R, r)\psi_{j'}(\rho_R, r, t) \qquad t_n \to t_{n+1} = t_n + \Delta t$$

interaction is diagonal in ndDVR  $f_j(\Omega)$  <-----kinetic energy operator is diagonal  $Y_{\nu}(\Omega) = Y_{lm}(\Omega)$   $\leq S_{j\nu} = \lambda_j^{1/2} Y_{j\nu}$ 

V.Melezhik, Phys.Lett.A230(1997)203 V.Melezhik, EPJ Web of Conf 108(2007)01008

#### economic computational scheme



#### BLTP JINR two-core Intel processor Xenon 5160 with 3GHz frequency



$$\left( \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \triangle_{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2} \mu (\omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2) \right] \hat{I} + \hat{V}(r) \right) |\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle = E |\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle$$
$$|\psi(\mathbf{r})\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) |\alpha\rangle , \ \alpha = \{e, c = 1...\}$$

$$\hat{V}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -V_e & \hbar\Gamma_1 & \hbar\Gamma_2 & \hbar\Gamma_3 \\ \hbar\Gamma_1 & -V_1 + \delta\mu_1(B - B_1) & 0 & 0 \\ \hbar\Gamma_2 & 0 & -V_2 + \delta\mu_2(B - B_2) & 0 \\ \hbar\Gamma_3 & 0 & 0 & -V_3 + \delta\mu_3(B - B_3) \end{pmatrix} \quad r < \overline{a}$$

 $\psi_e(\mathbf{r}) = (\exp\{ik_0z\} + f_e \exp\{ik_0 \mid z \mid\}) \Phi_0(x, y) , \ \psi_c(\mathbf{r}) \to 0$ 

#### four coupled 3D Schrödinger-like equations



#### week ending 16 APRIL 2010

#### **Confinement-Induced Resonances in Low-Dimensional Quantum Systems**

Elmar Haller,<sup>1</sup> Manfred J. Mark,<sup>1</sup> Russell Hart,<sup>1</sup> Johann G. Danzl,<sup>1</sup> Lukas Reichsöllner,<sup>1</sup> Vladimir Melezhik,<sup>2</sup> Peter Schmelcher,<sup>3</sup> and Hanns-Christoph Nägerl<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Experimentalphysik and Zentrum für Quantenphysik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria <sup>2</sup>Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Dubna, Russia <sup>3</sup>Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany (Received 19 February 2010; published 14 April 2010)

Elmar Haller –> Outstanding Doctoral Thesis in AMO Physics Recipients for 2011





### Shifts and widths of Feshbach resonances in atomic waveguides

# Sh.Saeidian, V.S. Melezhik , and P.Schmelcher, Phys.Rev. A86, 062713 (2012)

d-wave FR at 47.8G develops in waveguide as depending on  $\omega_{\perp}$  minimums and stable maximum of transmission coefficient T

 $a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\hbar/(m\omega_{\perp})}$ 



### Shifts and widths of Feshbach resonances in atomic waveguides

# Sh.Saeidian, V.S. Melezhik , and P.Schmelcher, Phys.Rev. A86, 062713 (2012)



### Shifts and widths of Feshbach resonances in atomic waveguides

# Sh.Saeidian, V.S. Melezhik , and P.Schmelcher, Phys.Rev. A86, 062713 (2012)



$$-\underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\nabla_{r}^{2} + \mu\omega_{\perp}^{2}\rho^{2} + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^{6}}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
$$r^{*2} = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_{6}}}{\hbar} \quad E^{*} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\mu(r^{*})^{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\nabla_{r}^{2} + \mu\omega_{\perp}^{2}\rho^{2} + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^{6}}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ & r^{*2} = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_{6}}}{\hbar} \quad E^{*} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\mu(r^{*})^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\nabla_r^2 + \mu\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E\psi(\mathbf{r})\\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_6}}{\hbar} \quad E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu(r^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

 $E \ll E^*$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\nabla_{r}^{2} + \mu\omega_{\perp}^{2}\rho^{2} + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^{6}}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E\psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_{6}}}{\hbar} \quad E^{*} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2\mu(r^{*})^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

$$E \ll E^* \Rightarrow E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla_r^2 + \mu \omega_{\perp}^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_6}}{\hbar} \quad E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

$$E \ll E^* \Rightarrow E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2}$$
  
LWL  $\Rightarrow$  pseudo-potential:  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \Rightarrow \frac{2\pi a_{3D}}{\mu} \delta(r)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla_r^2 + \mu \omega_{\perp}^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_6}}{\hbar} \quad E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

$$E \ll E^* \Rightarrow E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu(r^*)^2} \Rightarrow r^* \ll a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}$$
  
LWL \Rightarrow pseudo-potential:  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \Rightarrow \frac{2\pi a_{3D}}{\mu} \delta(r)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla_r^2 + \mu \omega_{\perp}^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_6}}{\hbar} \quad E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

$$E \ll E^* \Rightarrow E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu(r^*)^2} \Rightarrow r^* \ll a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}$$
  
LWL \Rightarrow pseudo-potential:  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \Rightarrow \frac{2\pi a_{3D}}{\mu} \delta(r)$ 

quasi-1D Schrödinger eq. (M. Olshanii, PRL (1998))

$$\left( -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + g_{1D} \delta(z) \right) \psi(z) = E \psi(z)$$

$$g_{1D} = \frac{2a_{3D}}{\mu a_{\perp}^2 [a_{\perp} + \zeta(1/2)a_{3D}]} = \frac{2k}{\mu} \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^+ + f^-]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^+ + f^-]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hline \underbrace{\left( -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla_r^2 + \mu \omega_{\perp}^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= E \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ r^{*2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_6}}{\hbar} \quad E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (r^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

modern atomic traps  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz permit to work only within long-wavelength limit (LWL)

$$E \ll E^* \Rightarrow E_{||} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu(r^*)^2} \Rightarrow r^* \ll a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}$$
  
LWL \Rightarrow pseudo-potential:  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \Rightarrow \frac{2\pi a_{3D}}{\mu} \delta(r)$ 

quasi-1D Schrödinger eq. (M. Olshanii, PRL (1998))

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + g_{1D}\delta(z) \end{pmatrix} \psi(z) = E\psi(z) g_{1D} = \frac{2a_{3D}}{\mu a_{\perp}^2 [a_{\perp} + \zeta(1/2)a_{3D}]} = \frac{2k}{\mu}\frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^+ + f^-]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^+ + f^-]} \to \pm \infty \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{CIR}: \\ \frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}} = -\zeta(1/2) = 1.4603 \end{array}$$



atom-ion Hamiltonian in confined geomery

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_A} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_A \omega_{\perp}^2 (x_A^2 + y_A^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_I \omega^2 |\mathbf{r}_I|^2 + V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|)$$

long-range atom-ion polarization interaction

$$V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|) \rightarrow -\frac{C_4}{|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|^4}$$



atom-ion Hamiltonian in confined geomery

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_A} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_A \omega_{\perp}^2 (x_A^2 + y_A^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_I \omega^2 |\mathbf{r}_I|^2 + V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|)$$

long-range atom-ion polarization interaction

$$V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|) \rightarrow -\frac{C_4}{|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|^4}$$

 $m_I \gg m_A$  <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>



atom-ion Hamiltonian in confined geomery

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_A} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_A \omega_{\perp}^2 (x_A^2 + y_A^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_I \omega^2 |\mathbf{r}_I|^2 + V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|)$$

long-range atom-ion polarization interaction

$$V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|) \rightarrow -\frac{C_4}{|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|^4}$$

 $m_I \gg m_A$  <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>



atom-ion Hamiltonian in confined geomery

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_A} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_A \omega_{\perp}^2 (x_A^2 + y_A^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_I \omega^2 |\mathbf{r}_I|^2 + V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|)$$

long-range atom-ion polarization interaction

$$V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|) \rightarrow -\frac{C_4}{|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|^4}$$

 $m_I \gg m_A$  "static" ion  $\mathbf{r}_I = 0$  <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>



atom-ion Hamiltonian in confined geomery

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_A} \nabla_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_A \omega_{\perp}^2 (x_A^2 + y_A^2) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_I \omega^2 |\mathbf{r}_I|^2 + V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|)$$

long-range atom-ion polarization interaction

$$V(|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|) \rightarrow -\frac{C_4}{|\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_I|^4}$$

 $m_I \gg m_A$  "static" ion  $\mathbf{r}_I = 0$  <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>


$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

atom-ion interaction  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{C_4}{r^4}$   $R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar}$   $E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 





$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

atom-ion interaction  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{C_4}{r^4}$   $R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar}$   $E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$  $a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}} - \text{trap width}$ 



$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

atom-ion interaction  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{C_4}{r^4}$   $R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar}$   $E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$  $a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}} - \text{trap width}$ 

at  $z \to \pm \infty$   $(r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2})$  $\psi(z, \rho) = [\exp(ikz) + f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp}) \exp(ik|z|)]\varphi_0(\rho)$ 



$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

atom-ion interaction  $\frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{C_4}{r^4}$   $R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar}$   $E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$  $a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}} - \text{trap width}$ 

at 
$$z \to \pm \infty$$
  $(r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2})$   
 $\psi(z, \rho) = [\exp(ikz) + f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp}) \exp(ik|z|)]\varphi_0(\rho)$ 

 $\varphi_0(\rho)$  – the ground state of 2D harmonic oscillator,  $k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}}/\hbar$  – the wave-number defined by  $E_{\parallel} = (E - \hbar \omega_{\perp})$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy E with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy *E* with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$T(k,\omega_{\perp}) = |1 + f^+(k,\omega_{\perp})|^2$$

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy *E* with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$T(k,\omega_{\perp}) = |1 + f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp})|^{2}$$
$$g_{1D}(k,\omega_{\perp}) = \frac{2k}{m_{A}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}$$

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy *E* with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$T(k,\omega_{\perp}) = |1 + f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp})|^{2}$$
$$g_{1D}(k,\omega_{\perp}) = \frac{2k}{m_{A}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}$$

parameterize quasi-1D scattering in waveguide-like traps

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy E with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$T(k,\omega_{\perp}) = |1 + f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp})|^{2} \to 0$$
$$g_{1D}(k,\omega_{\perp}) = \frac{2k}{m_{A}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]} \to \pm \infty$$

parameterize quasi-1D scattering in waveguide-like traps

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(1)

2D Eq. (1) is integrated at fixed energy *E* with subsequent extracting the amplitude  $f^{\pm}(k, \omega_{\perp})$  from  $\psi(z, \rho)$  at  $z \to \pm \infty$ 

$$T(k,\omega_{\perp}) = |1 + f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp})|^{2} \to 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{confinement-induced} \\ \text{induced} \\ g_{1D}(k,\omega_{\perp}) = \frac{2k}{m_{A}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]}{\operatorname{Im}[f^{+}(k,\omega_{\perp}) + f^{-}(k,\omega_{\perp})]} \to \pm \infty \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{confinement-induced} \\ \text{resonance} \\ (\text{CIR}) \end{array}$$

parameterize quasi-1D scattering in waveguide-like traps

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

 $C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp})$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

$$C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$$

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

$$C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$$

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \to 0)$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp}) = f^{(\pm)}(a_{3D}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \to 0)$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp}) = f^{(\pm)}(a_{3D}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \to 0)$ 

atom-ion interaction:  $C_{12} \longleftrightarrow a_{3D}$ confining trap:  $\omega_{\perp} \longleftrightarrow a_{\perp}$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp}) = f^{(\pm)}(a_{3D}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \to 0)$ 

atom-ion interaction:  $C_{12} \longleftrightarrow a_{3D}/R^*$ confining trap:  $\omega_{\perp} \longleftrightarrow a_{\perp}/R^*$ 

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp}) = f^{(\pm)}(a_{3D}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \to 0)$ 

atom-ion interaction:  $C_{12} \longleftrightarrow a_{3D}/R^*$ confining trap:  $\omega_{\perp} \longleftrightarrow a_{\perp}/R^*$ 

important parameter:  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D}$ (confined atom-atom scattering)

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

zero-energy limit:  $(E, k) \Rightarrow 0$ 

 $C_4 \rightarrow \text{units } R^* = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu C_4}}{\hbar} \text{ and } E^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu (R^*)^2}$ 

$$C_{12}, \omega_{\perp}(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu\omega_{\perp}}}) \Rightarrow f^{(\pm)}(C_{12}, a_{\perp}) = f^{(\pm)}(a_{3D}, a_{\perp})$$

free-space scattering:  $\omega_{\perp} = 0 \rightarrow f_0(C_{12}, k)$ 

s-wave scattering length in free-space  $a_{3D} = -f_0(C_{12}, k \rightarrow 0)$ 

atom-ion interaction:  $C_{12} \longleftrightarrow a_{3D}/R^*$ confining trap:  $\omega_{\perp} \longleftrightarrow a_{\perp}/R^*$ 

important parameter:  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D}$ (confined atom-atom scattering)





 $g_{1D} \to \pm \infty$  ?

 $g_{1D} \to \pm \infty$  ?

zero-energy limit + LWL ?  $\Rightarrow R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ 



 $g_{1D} \to \pm \infty$  ?

zero-energy limit + LWL ?  $\Rightarrow R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ good "candidate" :  $R^*/a_{\perp} = 0.025$ 







zero-energy limit + LWL ?  $\Rightarrow R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ good "candidate" :  $R^*/a_{\perp} = 0.025$ 

atom-ion CIR:  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.46$  !!



 $g_{1D} \to \pm \infty$ ?

zero-energy limit + LWL ?  $\Rightarrow R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ good "candidate" :  $R^*/a_{\perp} = 0.025$ 

atom-ion CIR:  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.46$  !!

coincides with position of atom-atom CIR in zero-energy limit + LWL



 $g_{1D} \to \pm \infty$ ?

zero-energy limit + LWL ?  $\Rightarrow R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ good "candidate" :  $R^*/a_{\perp} = 0.025$ 

atom-ion CIR:  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.46$  !!

coincides with position of atom-atom CIR in zero-energy limit + LWL

what happens outside LWL and zero-energy limit ?



Atom-Ion CIR:  $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanti, J.Phys. IV France (2004)

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanii, J.Phys. IV France (2004) 2

zero-energy limit  $k \to 0$   $f_g(k, a_\perp/a_{3D}) = -\frac{2}{2 - ia_\perp k [\frac{a_\perp}{a_{3D}} + \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\zeta(\frac{3}{2})a_\perp^2 k^2]}$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanti, J.Phys. IV France (2004) zero-energy limit  $k \rightarrow 0$   $f_{-}(k, a \downarrow / a_{2}p) = -\frac{2}{2}$ 

zero-energy limit  $k \to 0$   $f_g(k, a_\perp/a_{3D}) = -\frac{2}{2 - ia_\perp k [\frac{a_\perp}{a_{3D}(k)} + \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\zeta(\frac{3}{2})a_\perp^2 k^2]}$ 

energy-dependent pseudo-potential:  $\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{\mu}a_{3D}(k)\delta(\mathbf{r})$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanii, J.Phys. IV France (2004)

zero-energy limit  $k \to 0$   $f_g(k, a_\perp/a_{3D}) = -\frac{2}{2 - ia_\perp k [\frac{a_\perp}{a_{3D}(k)} + \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\zeta(\frac{3}{2})a_\perp^2 k^2]}$ 

energy-dependent pseudo-potential:  $\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{\mu}a_{3D}(k)\delta(\mathbf{r})$  $\frac{1}{a_{3D}(k)} = -k\cot\delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_{3D}} - \frac{1}{2}R_0k^2 + \dots$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanii, J.Phys. IV France (2004)

zero-energy limit  $k \to 0$   $f_g(k, a_\perp/a_{3D}) = -\frac{2}{2 - ia_\perp k [\frac{a_\perp}{a_{3D}(k)} + \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\zeta(\frac{3}{2})a_\perp^2 k^2]}$ 

energy-dependent pseudo-potential: 
$$\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{\mu}a_{3D}(k)\delta(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\frac{1}{a_{3D}(k)} = -k\cot\delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_{3D}} - \frac{1}{2}R_0k^2 + \dots$$

condition of CIR at finite  $k(E_{\parallel})$ :  $f_g(k, a_{\perp}/a_{3D}) \rightarrow -1$ 

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = -\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\zeta \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) (a_{\perp}k)^2 = 1.4603 - 0.6531 (a_{\perp}k)^2$$
$$= 1.4603 - 0.6531 \left(\frac{m_A}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{E_{\parallel}}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}}\right)$$

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$

long-wavelength limit (LWL in atom-atom scattering)  $\Rightarrow$  atom-ion confined scattering M.Moore, T.Bergeman, M. Olshanii, J.Phys. IV France (2004)

zero-energy limit  $k \to 0$   $f_g(k, a_\perp/a_{3D}) = -\frac{2}{2 - ia_\perp k [\frac{a_\perp}{a_{3D}(k)} + \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8}\zeta(\frac{3}{2})a_\perp^2 k^2]}$ 

energy-dependent pseudo-potential: 
$$\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{\mu}a_{3D}(k)\delta(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\frac{1}{a_{3D}(k)} = -k\cot\delta_0(k) = \frac{1}{a_{3D}} - \frac{1}{2}R_0k^2 + \dots$$

condition of CIR at finite  $k(E_{\parallel})$ :  $f_g(k, a_{\perp}/a_{3D}) \rightarrow -1$ 

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = -\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\zeta \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) (a_{\perp}k)^2 = 1.4603 - 0.6531 (a_{\perp}k)^2$$
$$= 1.4603 - 0.6531 \left(\frac{m_A}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{E_{\parallel}}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{a_{3D}(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{3D}} - \frac{\pi}{3(a_{3D})^2} k - \frac{4}{3a_{3D}} \ln\left(\frac{k}{4}\right) k^2 - \frac{1}{2} R_0^2 k^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{20}{9a_{3D}} - \frac{\pi}{3(a_{3D})^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{9(a_{3D})^3} - \frac{8}{3a_{3D}} \psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right] k^2$$

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{m_A} \nabla_r^2 + m_A \omega_\perp^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_\perp \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

atom-ion pair <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{m_A} \nabla_r^2 + m_A \omega_\perp^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_\perp \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

analytic formula for CIR position

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 - 0.6531(a_{\perp}k)^2$$

semi-analytic formula for CIR position

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 + \Delta \left(\frac{R^*}{a_{\perp}}\right) - 0.6531 \left(a_{\perp}k\right)^2$$

atom-ion pair <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$



atom-ion pair <sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>

numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{m_A} \nabla_r^2 + m_A \omega_\perp^2 \rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4} \end{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_\perp \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

analytic formula for CIR position

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 - 0.6531(a_{\perp}k)^2$$

semi-analytic formula for CIR position

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 + \Delta \left(\frac{R^*}{a_{\perp}}\right) - 0.6531 \left(a_{\perp}k\right)^2$$

effective-range approximation

$$\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = a_{\perp} \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{3D}} - \frac{\pi}{3(a_{3D})^2} k - \frac{4}{3a_{3D}} \ln\left(\frac{k}{4}\right) k^2 - \frac{1}{2} R_0^2 k^2 - \left[\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{20}{9a_{3D}} - \frac{\pi}{3(a_{3D})^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{9(a_{3D})^3} - \frac{8}{3a_{3D}} \psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right] k^2 \right\}$$
Atom-Ion CIR:  $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

(a)  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.4603 + \Delta(m_A/\mu, R^*/a_{\perp})$  in points of CIR at zero-energy limit  $E_{\parallel}/E^* = 10^{-6}$ 

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

(a)  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.4603 + \Delta(m_A/\mu, R^*/a_{\perp})$  in points of CIR at zero-energy limit  $E_{\parallel}/E^* = 10^{-6}$ 

shaded areas indicate the range  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz of frequencies reachable in current experiment

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

(a)  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.4603 + \Delta(m_A/\mu, R^*/a_{\perp})$  in points of CIR at zero-energy limit  $E_{\parallel}/E^* = 10^{-6}$ 

shaded areas indicate the range  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz of frequencies reachable in current experiment

strong dependence of CIR position on the ratio  $R^*/a_\perp$  and isotope-like effect

#### Atom-Ion CIR: $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$



numerical integration of 2D Schrödinger eq.

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp} \qquad k = \sqrt{m_A E_{\parallel}} / \hbar$$

(a)  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D} = 1.4603 + \Delta(m_A/\mu, R^*/a_{\perp})$  in points of CIR at zero-energy limit  $E_{\parallel}/E^* = 10^{-6}$ 

shaded areas indicate the range  $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times (10 - 100)$ kHz of frequencies reachable in current experiment

strong dependence of CIR position on the ratio  $R^*/a_\perp$  and isotope-like effect

(b)  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D}(k)$  in points of CIR at finite colliding energy  $E_{\parallel}/E^* = 0.117$  corresponds to  $E_{\parallel}/k_B = 1\mu$ K (<sup>6</sup>Li-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>), 6nK (<sup>87</sup>Rb-<sup>138</sup>Ba<sup>+</sup>), 80nK (<sup>23</sup>Na-<sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup>)



$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \frac{p_a^2}{2m_a} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{8}m_i\Omega^2 r_i^2 \left(a + 2q\cos(\Omega t)\right)}_{Paul\ trap} \\ &+ V_{dw}(r_a) - \frac{C_4}{(r_i - r_a)^4} \end{aligned}$$



due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 



confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \langle V_A^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } nK$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 





confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \langle V_A^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } nK$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 

by change the frame of reference, where the atom is moving with  $V_A = -V_I$  and the ion is in rest ( $V_I = 0$ ) we return to our model

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E_{\parallel} = E_A = \frac{m_A}{m_I} E_I \qquad E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp}$$





confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \langle V_A^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } nK$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 

by change the frame of reference, where the atom is moving with  $V_A = -V_I$  and the ion is in rest ( $V_I = 0$ ) we return to our model

$$\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E_{\parallel} = E_A = \frac{m_A}{m_I} E_I \qquad E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp}$$





confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \left\langle V_A^2 \right\rangle/(2k_B) \sim \text{few } n\text{K}$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 

by change the frame of reference, where the atom is moving with  $V_A = -V_I$  and the ion is in rest ( $V_I = 0$ ) we return to our model  $\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$ 

$$E_{\parallel} = E_A = \frac{m_A}{m_I} E_I \qquad E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar \omega_{\perp}$$





confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \left\langle V_A^2 \right\rangle/(2k_B) \sim \text{few } n\text{K}$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 

by change the frame of reference, where the atom is moving with  $V_A = -V_I$  and the ion is in rest ( $V_I = 0$ ) we return to our model  $\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$  $E_{\parallel} = E_A = \frac{m_A}{m_I}E_I$   $E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar\omega_{\perp}$  $\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 + \Delta(R^*/a_{\perp}) - 0.6531(\frac{m_A}{\mu})(\frac{E_{\parallel}}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}})$ 





by measuring position of CIR  $(a_{\perp}/a_{3D}(E_{\parallel}))$  at point where CIR appeares) energy  $E_{\parallel}$  or temperature of confined atomic gas can be determined by calculated curve  $a_{\perp}/a_{3D}(E_{\parallel})$ 

confined atom can be cooled to  $E_A/k_B = m_A \langle V_A^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } nK$ 

due to micromotion ion can be cooled to  $E_I/k_B = m_I \langle V_I^2 \rangle / (2k_B) \sim \text{few } 10 \mu \text{K}$ 

because  $E_I \gg E_A$  we have  $V_A = 0$  and  $V_I \neq 0$ : atom in rest - ion moving with  $V_I$ 

by change the frame of reference, where the atom is moving with  $V_A = -V_I$  and the ion is in rest ( $V_I = 0$ ) we return to our model  $\left(-\frac{1}{m_A}\nabla_r^2 + m_A\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 + \frac{C_{12}}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^4}\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$  $E_{\parallel} = E_A = \frac{m_A}{m_I}E_I$   $E = E_{\parallel} + \hbar\omega_{\perp}$  $\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{3D}(k)} = 1.4603 + \Delta(R^*/a_{\perp}) - 0.6531(\frac{m_A}{\mu})(\frac{E_{\parallel}}{\hbar\omega_{\perp}})$ 





Our results can be used in current experiments for searching atomion CIRs with the aims:

- measuring the atom-ion scattering length  $a_{3D}(k)$
- determining the temperature of the atomic gas in the presence of an ion impurity if a<sub>3D</sub> is known.
- tuning the effective atom-ion interaction in confined geometry :



Our results can be used in current experiments for searching atomion CIRs with the aims:

- measuring the atom-ion scattering length  $a_{3D}(k)$
- determining the temperature of the atomic gas in the presence of an ion impurity if a<sub>3D</sub> is known.
- tuning the effective atom-ion interaction in confined geometry :

The manipulation of the atom-ion interaction could be exploited to control the atom-phonon coupling in a solid-state quantum simulator, to investigate more exotic quantum phases in low dimensional systems, where simultaneously a strongly correlated atomatom and the atom-ion system is created





Our results can be used in current experiments for searching atomion CIRs with the aims:

- measuring the atom-ion scattering length a<sub>3D</sub>(k)
- determining the temperature of the atomic gas in the presence of an ion impurity if a<sub>3D</sub> is known.
- tuning the effective atom-ion interaction in confined geometry :

The manipulation of the atom-ion interaction could be exploited to control the atom-phonon coupling in a solid-state quantum simulator, to investigate more exotic quantum phases in low dimensional systems, where simultaneously a strongly correlated atomatom and the atom-ion system is created

The complete reflection of the confined atom from the ion in the CIR can also be exploited to realise a device for triggering the confined atom flow, similarly to a single atom transistor A. Micheli, A. J. Daley, D. Jaksch, and P. Zoller, PRL **93** (2004)





Our results can be used in current experiments for searching atomion CIRs with the aims:

- measuring the atom-ion scattering length a<sub>3D</sub>(k)
- determining the temperature of the atomic gas in the presence of an ion impurity if a<sub>3D</sub> is known.
- tuning the effective atom-ion interaction in confined geometry :

The manipulation of the atom-ion interaction could be exploited to control the atom-phonon coupling in a solid-state quantum simulator, to investigate more exotic quantum phases in low dimensional systems, where simultaneously a strongly correlated atomatom and the atom-ion system is created

The complete reflection of the confined atom from the ton in the CIR can also be exploited to realise a device for triggering the confined atom flow, similarly to a single atom transistor A. Micheli, A. J. Daley, D. Jaksch, and P. Zoller, PRL **93** (2004)

Current experimental set-ups permit to investigate the atom-atom CIRs only in "long wave-length limit" ( $R^* \ll a_{\perp}$ ) and the atom-ion CIRs - in much more broader region ( $R^* \gtrsim a_{\perp}$ ).



 $a_1 a_{3D}$ 





Actual problem: full quantum treatment of ion micromotion influence into CIRs

V.S. Melezhik and A. Negretti, Phys. Rev. A94, 022704 (2016)

V. S. Melezhik, EPJ Web of Conf. 108, 01008 (2016)

#### **Collaboration:**

#### Theory:

P. Schmelcher	Hamburg University, Germany
A. Negretti	Hamburg University, Germany
S. Saeidian	IASBS, Iran
P. Giannakeas	Purdue University, USA
Z. Idziaszek	Warsaw University, Poland

#### **Experiment:**

E. Haller Innsbruck University, AustriaH.-C. Nägerl Innsbruck University, Austria