

Electron identification from dE/dx measurements in the MPD TPC

I. Rufanov, A. Zinchenko

RFBR conference, JINR, Dubna, Russia 21-23 October 2020

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR):

grant No. 18-02-40060

Outline

- MPD/NICA project
- Need of realistic electron simulation and identification
- TPC detectors in MPD and STAR
- Current version of TPC simulation
- Bichsel's and HEED models of energy loss calculation
- Realistic TPC tracking and new dEdx
- dE/dx resolution and electron identification results
- Summary

NICA MPD physical motivation.



Au+Au collision in MPD



highest net baryon density

Multi Purpose Detector at Nuclotron-based Ion Collider fAcility

is now is preparation stage at the JINR

- study of hot and dense baryonic matter in collisions of heavy ions (up to Bi) at a centre-ofmass energy up to 11 GeV per nucleon
- search for possible signs of phase transitions and critical phenomena
- energy and system size scan to measure a variety of signals systematically changing collision parameters

Bulk properties, EOS

particle yields & spectra, ratios, femtoscopy, flow In-Medium modification of hadron properties

dileptons and resonances

Deconfinement (chiral) phase transition at high $\rho_{\rm B}$ strangeness, Chiral Magnetic (Vortical) effect

QCD Critical Point

event-by-event fluctuations and correlations

- YN, YY interactions in nuclear matter hypernuclei
 - I. Rufanov

Multi-Purpose Detector at NICA.



Stage 1.

Magnet: 0.5 T superconductor Tracking: TPC ParticleID: TOF, ECAL, TPC T0, Triggering: FFD Centrality, Event plane: FHCAL

MPD detector comprises

- large acceptance (|η|<1.8) efficient track reconstruction;
- precise and wide angle mesurements of electromagnetic probes;
- powerful particle identification;
- careful event characterization: impact parameter & event plane reconstruction
- event rate capability up to \sim 6 kHz

Need of realistic $e^{-/+}$ simulation and PID

Early results on MPD performance for e+e- pair reconstruction.



Current task is to perform a similar analysis with upto-date detector response simulation and event reconstruction (including electron identification).



MPD and STAR TPCs





MPD and STAR TPC have the same magnetic field 0.5 T and track length of 79 cm along pads area. At STAR 13 inner rows have ~4 cm separation gaps, this reduces signal correlations.

STAR dE/dx vs current version of MPD MC



Energy loss distributions for e, π , K and p. Dots – MPD with GEANT-3 energy loss (current), Circles and lines – STAR Bichsel's predictions (*M.Shao et al / NIM A 558 (2006) 419-429*) y-scaled by 1.18 to get agreement for minimum ionizing particles.

Bichsel's predictions – reference functions of STAR for ionization energy loss analysis before iTPC upgrade in 2019.

"dE/dx" denotes C_{70} parameter of track which is a mean value of hit amplitude Δ over 70% of selected hits with the smallest amplitude.

Conclusion on current GEANT-3 simulation.

- essentially (\sim 20%) underpredicts relativistic rise of the ionization energy loss as seen from comparison of pion and electron bands;
- overpredicts energy loss at low momentum (protons at p<1 GeV/c);
- gives shifted momentum of intersections of electron and other particles bands;
- gives distorted input for realistic PID.

Bichsel's model of energy loss

Developed by Hans Bichsel for straggling function $f(\Delta)$ calculation in gas and silicon detectors (NIM A 562 (2006) 154-197).

Fermi virtual photon method also known as PAI model. Interaction with bounded electron is considered as emission of virtual photons by the fast particle, which then $\frac{0.100}{9.050}$ are absorbed by the material. The differential Collison Cross-Section $\sigma(E;\beta\gamma)$ then is closely related to the photo absorption cross-section of the molecules. Data input is from variety of optical measurements.



Number of collisions n of ionizing particle with charge z in track segment of length D is a Poisson distributed value with mean of $z^2 D M_0(\beta \gamma)$, where $M_0(\beta \gamma)$ is a collision density.

- collision density. analytically: $f(\Delta; x, \beta \gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) \cdot \sigma(\Delta, \beta \gamma)^{*n}, \quad \sigma(\Delta)^{*n} = \int_{0}^{\Delta} \sigma(E) \cdot \sigma^{*(n-1)}(\Delta E) dE$ MC: sum random energy loss from n (Poisson distributed) collisions $\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n} E_{i}$ • analytically:
- (in further named Poisson Segment Model PSM)

Energy loss consideration only gives a rather precise approach for description of TPC parameters.

$M_0(\beta\gamma)$ and $\sigma(E;\beta\gamma)$ parametrizations from HEED

High Energy Electro-Dynamics (HEED) - modern C++ program written by Igor Smirnov implementing of PAI model. (NIM A 554 (2005) 474-493) Integrated in Garfield++ as a model of energy loss and ionization calculation. https://garfieldpp.web.cern.ch/garfieldpp/examples/heed/ \rightarrow edep.C macro simulates energy loss and ionization spectra in 1 cm³ gas cell.

Parametrization of collision density $M_0(Z)$ $Z = log_{10}(\beta\gamma)$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ energy loss in one collision dN/dE(E,Z):

- *Z* range from -1.7 to 4.0;
- *M*⁰ 10k equidistant values of Z;
- dN/dE distributions for 115 equidistant values of Z_i ; with $3.2x10^8$ generated collisions in each one
- $\Phi_i(E)$ cumulative distribution function of dN/dE at Z_i ;
- use of inverted functions $E_i(\Phi)$ for MC calculations.



 $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & &$

 10^{4}

Poisson Segment Model Test

C70 calculations with HEED based parametrization in PSM framework. 53x1.8 cm "track", no field, no stopping. K and e at 1.5<p<2.0 GeV/c



- wide momentum and energy loss ranges
- no spreading at large dE/dx



Bichsel's reference data vs PSM

C₆₀ for **20*2.0** cm and **10*1.2+25*2.0** cm tracks as a function of $\beta\gamma$ (table). (NIM A 562 (2006) 154-197)



Comparisions with other data

A.H.Walenta et al., NIM 161 (1979) 45-58. Struggling distributions in 2.3 cm gas cell of minimum ionizing proton and 2 GeV/c electron.

WINDOWS





ALEPH TPC

NIM A 360 (1995) 481-506 The "relativistic rise", the height of the plateau relative to minimum ionization, is found to be **1.66**.



I. Rufanov

Realistic MPD tracking

Simulation and digitization:

- Primary ionization (ionization clusters)
- > Drift and diffusion of ionization electrons
- Gas gain fluctuations (Polya distribution)
- Pad response (charge distribution on pad plane)
- Electronics shaping
- Signal digitization (ADC overflow)

Cluster / hit reconstruction

- Precluster finder (group of adjacent pixels in time bin – pad space)
- Hit finder ("peak-and-valley" algorithm either in time bin – pad space (for simple topologies) or in time-transverse coordinate pixel space after Bayesian unfolding (for more complicated topologies)) → COG around local maxima

More details in A. Zinchenko's talk

TPC parameters.

Parameter	Value	
Magnetic field	0.5 T	
Drift gas	P10 (90% Ar + 10% CH ₄)	
Drift velocity	5.45 cm/µs	
Transverse diffusion at 0.5 T	185 μm/√cm	
Longitudinal diffusion	320 µm/√cm	
Pad size	5x12 mm ² (27 rows) + 5x18 mm ² (26 rows)	
Charge spread σ	0.196 mm	
Electronics shaping time	180 ns (FWHM)	
ADC dynamic range	10 bits	
ADC sampling frequency	10 MHz	

Cluster topologies and MLEM procedure Likelihood—Expectation Maximization More details in A. Zinchenko's talk MLEM procedure Precluster of three tracks. Charge, arb. units ADC counts 2000 150 1500 100° 1000 500 50-³⁸ 7²⁷⁰ 7²⁷² ²⁷² ²⁷⁴ ²⁷⁶ ²⁷⁸ ⁴ 268 $\frac{1}{268} \frac{1}{270} \frac{1}{272} \frac{1}{274} \frac{1}{276} \frac{1}{278} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$ 10 12 14 Pad number 9 10 11 12 13 14 Pad number 8 6 Cluster with ADC overflows. Charge, arb. units ADC counts 3000 2000 2000 1000 24 Pad number 24 142 144 146 14, Time bin number Pad number 142 144 146 14 Time bin number 20 140 20 140

I. Rufanov

MPDROOT



Full chain of MC and reconstruction.

Keeps the same $e/pi \mbox{ as in } \mathsf{PSM}$

Nhits vs momentum $|\eta| < 1$, primary vertex



Transitional region is up to 0.25 GeV/c

Log₁₀(dE/dx) for $n\sigma$ analysis

"Log(dE/dx) follows a Gaussian distribution" The method is used in STAR. Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields 50, 405~426 (1991)

C₇₀ of electron at p=0.5 GeV/c



Assymetry comes from energy loss.

I. Rufanov

Resolution and $n\sigma$

dE/dx resolution ($|\eta|$ <1.0, Nhits>44, Chi2/N<2.5)

	p (GeV/c)	dE/dx (keV/cm)	σ (%)
π	0.5	1.211	8.12 (MIP)
e	0.5	1.910	6.89
p	0.8	2.449	7.30*
p	0.6	3.605	7.21*

* - including momentum resolution

STAR for 76 cm track σ = 7.31%



Summary.

- The previous version of dE/dx simulation in TPC gas volume essentially underpredicted effect of relativistic rise of energy loss, leading to distorted picture of particle identification particularly affecting electron and positron as the fastest ionizing particles.
- New energy loss model for TPC gas volume was developed. The model bases on HEED program calculations of each collision of the particle with atoms of the media. Parametrizations of collision density and energy loss in one collision significantly accelerate calculations of track dE/dx keeping the same mean value and resolution parameters.
- The similarity of configuration and operation conditions of MPD and STAR TPC detectors gives a way to verify our simulation parameters. The major material on STAR TPC is obtained from Bichsel theoretical predictions, which were used as reference functions in STAR analysis.
- Poisson Segment Model (analog of Bichsel method) with HEED parametrization is used to compare our and Bichsel predictions for track. It is found that models give very close results in absolute value and resolution, however our model predicts 4% larger relativistic rise effect (0.58 instead of 0.56 at momentum of pion minimum ionization).
- The dE/dx model was implemented in new version of MPDROOT, which also includes several important improvements of signal digitization and hit reconstruction algorithms.
- Following the STAR experience we showed that a value of log(dE/dx) instead of dE/dx is a better input for nSigma PID analysis.
- Results on dE/dx track reconstruction and nSigma analysis for electron were presented.