cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

Kamil Wójcik

University of Silesia

2020

kamil.wojcik@us.edu.pl

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

2020 1/49

.∋...>

Contents

1 General information

2 Cosmic ray flux – definition

3 Simulation design

- CuboidGenerator
- TrackAnalyzer.cpp
- FluxAnalyzer.cpp and quality check

4 Example simulation results

General information

.

The cfluxim tool was created for NICA PL team to make a simple geometrical simulation of cosmic muons passing through some of MPD detector modules. (NICA MPD website).

- Cosmic muons are generated inside the given cubic volume.
- Φ(θ) and momentum distribution of the simulated flux fits the the experimental data with good accuracy.
- For every generated particle, it is checked if it hits the defined detector modules, and the hit position is saved.
- Any energy cutoff can be applied.

- cfluxim uses CERN's ROOT libraries.
- cfluxim consists of 3 tools: CuboidGenerator, FluxAnalyzer and TrackAnalyzer.
- Only muons at ground level are implemented, however, implementation of other cosmic ray components is possible.
- FluxAnalyzer generates momentum distribution and $\Phi(\theta)$ normalized histogram, so it can be compared with the experimental data as a simple quality check.
- TrackAnalyzer does the simple geometrical analysis of the tracks, regarding the defined detector geometry. It does not run the full physical analysis as Geant4 does.
- Generated cosmic muons can be, however, put into the Geant4 simulation.

Project scheme



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Basic definitions

< 行

.

э



area:
$$S = 2\pi rh = 2\pi r^2(1 - \cos\alpha)$$

solid angle: $\Omega = \frac{S}{r^2} = 2\pi(1 - \cos\alpha)$ [sr]

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 8 / 49

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Cosmic ray flux

Cosmic ray flux = number of particles that come from unit solid angle, passing through unit area, per unit of time



Cosmic ray flux



Solid angle limits the *direction* of incoming particle momentum, but not the position on the 'probing area' *S*. To count a particle as coming from the given solid angle, momentum angular limitations must be fulfilled and the particle must hit the probing area.

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

Cosmic ray flux dependant on zenith angle



2020 11 / 49

Cosmic ray flux dependant on zenith angle – full azimuth angle case



$$\Omega = 2\pi(cos(heta-\delta_ heta)-cos(heta+\delta_ heta))$$

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

2020 12 / 49

Simulation design

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □



2020 14 / 49

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

CuboidGenerator - the idea

The idea: generation of particles and its momenta, coming from half-sphere ($\Omega = 2\pi$), that would pass through a cubic volume.



Particle's 'initial' position on the cube wall and its momentum vector define the track inside the cube!

Key problems:

- $\Phi(\theta)$ of generated particles must reproduct the experimental data with sufficient accuracy.
- Same for momentum distribution.

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

Horizontal area problem

- **1** 2π solid angle is divided into small Ω_i solid angles
- **②** for each Ω_i : the number of incoming particles from this Ω_i in simulated time t_{sim} is calculated N_i



Horizontal area problem

Number of incoming particles from Ω_i per second can be mapped, regarding θ_i and ϕ_i :

 $Npps_i = \Omega_i \Phi(\theta_i) Ssin(\theta_i)$



The cube ceiling is horizontal \Rightarrow flux depends only on θ

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

Vertical area problem

- **1** Ω_i and N_i same as for horizontal area
- ② Only particles that come from one side of the wall are generated \Rightarrow ϕ_i range is limited!
- **3** N_i depends on both θ_i and ϕ_i



18 / 49

Vertical area peoblem

Npps_i formula for vertical walls of the cube:

$$Npps_i = \Omega_i \Phi(\theta_i) Ssin(\theta_i) cos(\phi_s - \phi_i)$$



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

2020 19 / 49

These two histograms made from experimental data are necessary for momentum generation



$\Phi(\theta)$ – input histogram

On the left: measured vertical muon flux at the sea level (log scale), fitted with 'cosine function': $\Phi(\theta) = \cos^2(\theta)$. Data source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.06907.pdf



On the right: the input hostogram of $\Phi(\theta)$ (linear scale). Since $\cos^2(\theta)$ fits the data precisely enough, the histogram is just filled with $\cos^2(\theta)$ distribution.

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

1. Momentum coordinates p_{θ} and p_{ϕ} are limited by the solid angle Ω_i :



$\Phi(heta)$ and flux mapping $o \ p_ heta$ and p_ϕ

2. Flux is mapped into θ - ϕ space regarding $\Phi(\theta)$ histogram:



 $Npps_i \propto \Phi(\theta_i)$

3. Ω_i corresponds with the intervals: $[\theta_i, \Delta_{\theta})$ and $[\phi_i, \Delta_{\phi})$. Within the intervals, p_{θ} and p_{ϕ} is drawn from uniform distrubution.

4. Generation of $N_i \propto Npps_i$ particles from each Ω_i solid angle guarantees that the given $\Phi(\theta)$ is reconstructed by the generated particles. The accuracy of this reconstruction is sufficient if $\Delta \theta$ is small enough.

p_r distribution

On the left: measured vertical integral spectra of muons. Data source: http://crd.yerphi.am/Muons



On the right: data fit of measured integral momentum spectra at sea level.

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

p_r generation

The algorithm:

- **Q** a random number $r \in [0, I_{max})$ is generated (uniform distribution),
- Inding momentum value corresponding to the given r,
- this value is the drawn p_r [GeV].



This is a kind of quantile function method.

Generation of particles step by step



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 26 / 49

TrackAnalyzer.cpp



2020 27 / 49

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Detector elements are defined in the source code (no input config file) – so far it is good enough for my needs.

Implemented shapes (C++ classes):

- Rectangle
- Disk
- Cylinder

Every spahe has a methods that detects if the particle hits the detector module (shape instance) and calculates hit position(s).

More detailed description of the classes is presented in the section Technical delails and class description.

TrackAnalyzer.cpp – input and output tree

Input tree from CuboidGenerator – every entry represents one particle. Variables are stored in different branches:



Output tree = inout tree + information if the particle hits each module and hit positions:



This output tree pattern works fine for simple detector lauoyt, but is not optimal regarding the output file size. For more complicated detector geometries (hundreds of elements), a different way of creating output tree may be needed.

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 29 / 49

TrackAnalyzer.cpp – plot of example output

An example cylinder was defined:

- radius: r = 1.1 m
- length L= 3.4 m

One can print the points, where the particle track intersects the cylinder surface. Cylinder shape reveals \Rightarrow geometry implementation works correctly



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

TrackAnalyzer.cpp – plot of example output

One can also plot a distribution of the path length inside the cylinder:



The distribution is correct:

- The longest possible path length is $s_{max} = \sqrt{(4r^2 + L^2} \approx 4.1 \ [m]$
- Regarding most particles come from the 'ceiling', the most common track length should be approximately equal to 2r = 2.2 [m]

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 31 / 49

FluxAnalyzer.cpp and quality check



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 32 / 49

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

FluxAnalyzer.cpp and quality check

Quality check of generated particles:

- Are the initial positions ok?
- How well $\Phi(\theta)$ and momentum distribution resembles the given experimental data?



or like: \rightarrow

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

FluxAnalyzer.cpp – two methods of investigating $\Phi(\theta)$

- 1 floor method:
 - Filling θ distribution histogram with particles that hits the cube floor (bin width: Δθ).
 - **2** Normalizing the distribution to obtain $\Phi(\theta)$. Normalization function:

$$f_n(heta) = rac{1}{S imes t_{sim} imes cos(heta) imes \Omega(heta)}$$

- rotating rectangle method:
 - Initializing a horizontal rectangle inside the dube the cube. The center of the cube is also the center of this rectangle.
 - O Rotating it through angle Δθ. Rotation axis: contains the center of the cube, parallel to the x axis.
 - G filling θ distribution histogram with particles that hit the rectangle and come from the limited solid angle Ω(θ) in front of the rectangle.
 - **(3)** Normalizing the distribution to obtain $\Phi(\theta)$. Normalizating function:

$$f_n(heta) = rac{1}{S imes t_{sim} imes \Omega'(heta)}$$

Quality check: θ distribution – floor method



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

2020 35 / 49

Quality check: θ distribution – rotating rectangle method



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

cfluxim cosmic ray simulation tool

2020 36 / 49

Quality check: pr



After normalization, integral momentum spectrum of generated muons (red lines) fits the CRD data (black stars) well.

2020 37 / 49

Quality check: initial positions

Initial posions are uniformly distributed on each wall of the cube. Also, the highest particle 'surface density' of particles is onserved on the cube ceiling.



Initial positions are correctly generated!

K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

Example simulation

A 回 > < 三 >

Example simulation - general parameters

General parameters (CuboidGenerator.cpp):

- cube edge: 8 [m]
- central point: x=0, y=0, z=4
- simulated time: 1 [h]
- simulated $p_{min} = 0.1 \; [\text{GeV/c}]$

For detection, $p_{min} = 1.6$ [GeV/c] was assumed. Tracks with lower momenta are ignored (TrackAnalyzer.cpp)

TPC parameters

- a single cylinder length = 3.4 [m]; radius = 1.1 [m]
- axis of symmetry: parallel to the X axis, in the center of the cube (y=0, x=4)
- efficiency $\eta = 1$

Simulated detector geometry

Scintillating modules

- rectangular modules length = 4.784 [m]; width = 0.675 [m]
- efficiency $\eta = 0.9$
- placed around TPC axis



Obtained detector geometry visualisation

Drawing positions where tracks hits the detectors reveals geometry of the detectors



One-to-one coincidences



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 43 / 49

One-to-one coincidences (with TPC)

Similar to $cos^2(\theta)$ function – correct!



one-to-one coincidences vs theta

Layout 1: modules close to each other



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 45 / 49

- (日)

Layout 1: modules close to each other

- (6 or 7 or 8) and (20 or 21 or 22) and TPC 23341 coincidences per hour
- (9 or 10 or 11) and (23 or 24 or 25) and TPC 15415 coincidences per hour
- (12 or 13 or 14) and (26 or 27 or 0) and TPC 1956 coincidences per hour



Layout 2: space between modules



K. Wójcik (University of Silesia)

2020 47 / 49

Layout 2: space between modules

- (5 or 7 or 9) and (19 or 21 or 23) and TPC 31402 coincidences per hour
- (10 or 12 or 14) and (24 or 26 or 0) and TPC 4892 coincidences per hour



Thank you for your attention

- 4 ∃ ▶