



André Sopczak IEAP CTU in Prague

JINR Dubna

22 February 2017

#### Outline

- Introduction
- Signal and Background
- Multi-lepton Final States
- Two Same-sign Light Leptons and Hadronic Tau Decay
- Tau-Lepton Purity
- Specific Analysis Results
- Combination with other Multi-lepton Channels
- Combination with other ATLAS ttH Channels
- Combination of ATLAS and CMS ttH Results
- Conclusions and Outlook



#### >>> LHC Higgs Boson Physics <<<\*



3

## Focus on Higgs Boson Production in Association with a Top-Quark Pair



An important motivation of the ttH research is the fact that at present the only quantity which can help us to get an idea about the scale of New Physics is the top Yukawa coupling." Fedor Bezrukov, Mikhail Shaposhnikov

ZhETF, 2015, Vol. 147, No. 3, p. 389[arXiv:1411.1923]

Recall:  $\Box$  Yukawa coupling g(ffH) ~ m<sub>f</sub>  $\Box m_{top}/m_{bottom} = 173/5 \approx 35$ 

#### Run-1 ttH Summary State of the Art





## LHC Run-2: recorded 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> and Analysis of 13.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> Presented Here



A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

## Indirect (loop) & Direct (Tree-level)

- □ Highest rate gg→H though loop, however, effects of ttH coupling not distinguishable from new physics contribution.
- □ Tree-level direct measurement: pp→ttH, very sensitive to physics beyond the Standard Model.  $\sigma(t\bar{t}H) \propto g_{t\bar{t}H}^2$





- NLO accuracy in QCD using MG5\_aMC with the NNPDF2.3 PDF with NLO electroweak corrections
- □ interfaced to Pythia8 to provide parton showering, hadronisation and multiple parton interactions, using the A14 parameter set.

Physics Prague

pplied

#### ttH Higgs and Top-Pair Decay Modes







#### Four ATLAS ttH Multi-leptons Channels



Characterization by number of light leptons (electrons or muons) and number of hadronically decaying tau leptons



A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

#### Four ATLAS ttH Multi-leptons Channels



□ Monte Carlo truth study shows enhanced  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  selection in the two same-sign light leptons and one hadronically decaying tau final state

	Higgs boson decay mode					
Category	$WW^*$	au au	$ZZ^*$	Other		
$2\ell0 au_{ m had}$	77%	17%	3%	3%		
$2\ell 1 au_{ m had}$	46%	51%	2%	1%		
$3\ell$	74%	20%	4%	2%		
$4\ell$	72%	18%	9%	2%		

#### Two Same-sign Leptons and One Hadronic Tau Final State







Main background: ttbar Fake background estimate determined from data

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

## ttH Candidate (Multi-leptons) Same-sign e and $\mu$ and Tau-jet









#### ATLAS ttH (Multi-leptons)



## Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics Czech Technical University in Prague





	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had}~ee$	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had} \ e\mu$	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had}\ \mu\mu$	$2\ell 1 au_{ m had}$	$3\ell$	$4\ell$
$t\bar{t}W$	$3.2\pm0.9$	$10.4\pm2.9$	$7.4 \pm 1.8$	$1.0\pm0.5$	$6.5 \pm 1.5$	
$t ar{t} (Z/\gamma^*)$	$1.53\pm0.29$	$4.3\pm0.9$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$1.7\pm0.4$	$11.3 \pm 1.9$	$1.08\pm0.20$
Diboson	$0.40\pm0.26$	$2.6\pm1.5$	$0.8\pm0.5$	$0.21\pm0.15$	$1.9\pm1.0$	$0.04\pm0.04$
Non-prompt leptons	$9 \pm 4$	$11 \pm 4$	$8.9\pm3.3$	$1.9\pm1.6$	$15 \pm 4$	$0.17\pm0.10$
Charge misreconstruction	$7.2\pm1.4$	$7.6\pm1.8$		$0.25\pm0.03$		
Other	$0.83\pm0.16$	$2.3\pm0.6$	$1.5\pm0.4$	$0.66\pm0.16$	$3.4\pm0.8$	$0.12\pm0.05$
Total background	$22.2\pm3.4$	$39 \pm 5$	$21 \pm 4$	$5.7 \pm 1.7$	$39\pm5$	$1.42 \pm 0.24$
$t\bar{t}H$ (2.5 × SM)	$5.3\pm1.8$	$13 \pm 4$	$7.6\pm2.5$	$4.0\pm1.2$	$16 \pm 5$	$1.5\pm0.5$
Data	26	59	31	14	46	0
		A.Sopo	zak 22 Feb. 2017			16

#### ATLAS ttH (Multi-leptons) Simultaneous Fit Results $\mu = 2.5^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$



#### ATLAS ttH (Multi-leptons) 95% CL Limit µ<4.9 (2.3 Expected)



Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics Czech Technical University in Prague



### **Other ttH Final States**



A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

20



A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017



Physics

Applied

Institute of Experimenta

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017



#### ttH (H→bb)











CMS

2.6 (3.6)

#### ttH (H $\rightarrow$ bb) Run-1 and Run-2



and Applied Physics University in Prague Institute of Experimental Czech Technical

27

#### ATLAS ttH (Multi-lepton, γγ, bb) Results



ATLAS Preliminary vs=13 TeV, 13.2-13.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> -stat. (tot.) (stat., syst.) —total **-0.3**  $^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$  ( $^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$ ,  $^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ ) ttH(H→γγ) (13 TeV 13.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>) **- 2.5**  $^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$   $\begin{pmatrix} +0.7 \\ -0.7 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} +1.1 \\ -0.9 \end{pmatrix}$  $t\bar{t}H(H\rightarrow WW/\tau\tau/ZZ)$ (13 TeV 13.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>) **2.1 +1.0** (+0.5 +0.9 -0.9 (-0.5 , -0.7 ttH(H→bb) (13 TeV 13.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>) **1.8**  $^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$  ( $^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ ,  $^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$ ) ttH combination (13 TeV) **+0.8** ( +0.5 +0.7 -0.8 ( -0.5 , -0.6 1.7 ttH combination (7-8TeV, 4.5-20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> 2 6 10 4 8  $\left( \right)$ best fit  $\mu_{IIII}$  for  $m_{H}$ =125 GeV

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

Analytic functions and fit to the data sidebands to estimate continuum background.

Non-prompt background (heavy-flavour). Mis-reconstruction of el. charge. Irreducible bckg (diboson, ttW, ttZ simulation).

#### Run-1 ttH multi-lepton final state: number of events (CMS) JHEP 09 (2014) 087



	ee	$\mathrm{e}\mu$	$\mu\mu$	$3\ell$	$4\ell$
$t\overline{t}H,H\rightarrow WW$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$3.2\pm0.4$	$2.4\pm0.3$	$3.4\pm0.5$	$0.29\pm0.04$
$t\overline{t}H,H\to ZZ$		$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$0.09\pm0.02$
t T H, H $\rightarrow \tau \tau$	$0.3 \pm 0.0$	$1.0\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.15\pm0.02$
$t\overline{t}W$	$4.3\pm0.6$	$16.5\pm2.3$	$10.4\pm1.5$	$10.3\pm1.9$	
$t\overline{t}Z/\gamma^*$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$4.9\pm0.9$	$2.9\pm0.5$	$8.4\pm1.7$	$1.12\pm0.62$
ttWW	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.04\pm0.02$
$t\overline{t}\gamma$	$1.3\pm0.3$	$1.9\pm0.5$		$2.6\pm0.6$	
WZ	$0.6\pm0.6$	$1.5\pm1.7$	$1.0 \pm 1.1$	$3.9\pm0.7$	
ZZ		$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.47\pm0.10$
Rare SM bkg.	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.6\pm0.4$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$0.01\pm0.00$
Non-prompt	$7.6\pm2.5$	$20.0\pm4.4$	$11.9\pm4.2$	$33.3\pm7.5$	$0.43\pm0.22$
Charge misidentified	$1.8\pm0.5$	$2.3\pm0.7$			
All signals	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.3\pm0.6$	$3.1\pm0.4$	$4.7\pm0.7$	$0.54\pm0.08$
All backgrounds	$18.0\pm2.7$	$49.3\pm5.4$	$27.7\pm4.7$	$59.8\pm8.0$	$2.07\pm0.67$
Data	19	51	41	68	1

#### Run-2 ttH multi-lepton final state: number of events (CMS) CMS-PAS-HIG-16-022



	μμ	ee	еµ	3ℓ
tīW	$18.3\pm0.9$	$6.8\pm0.6$	$24.5\pm1.1$	$12.2\pm0.7$
$t\bar{t}Z/\gamma^*$	$5.8\pm0.6$	$7.4\pm0.6$	$15.3\pm1.3$	$22.6\pm1.0$
Di-boson	$1.4\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$2.6\pm0.3$	$5.7\pm0.4$
tttt	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.1$
tqZ	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.8$
Rare SM bkg.	$1.6\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$1.8\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$
Charge mis-meas.		$6.7\pm0.1$	$10.0\pm0.1$	
Non-prompt leptons	$33.4 \pm 1.2$	$23.1\pm1.1$	$-61.9\pm1.7$	$51.0\pm1.8$
All backgrounds	$61.5\pm1.7$	$46.4\pm1.5$	$118.0\pm2.5$	$95.7\pm2.3$
$t\bar{t}H (H \rightarrow WW^*)$	$6.3\pm0.2$	$2.6\pm0.1$	$8.5\pm0.2$	$8.0\pm0.2$
tīH (H $\rightarrow \tau \tau$ )	$1.6\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$2.5\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm0.1$
$t\bar{t}H (H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.0$
Data	74	45	154	105
Category	Obs.	limit Exp.	limit $\pm 1\sigma$ I	Best fit $\mu \pm 1\sigma$
Same-sign dileptons	4	.6 1.7	+0.9 $-0.5$	$2.7^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$
Trileptons	3	.7 2.3	$+1.2_{-0.7}$	$1.3^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$
Combined categories	3	.9 1.4	$^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	$2.3^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$
Combined with 2015 data	3	.4 1.3	+0.6 - 0.4	$2.0^{+0.8}$ $_{-0.7}$

#### Run-2 CMS: up to August 2016 data **CMS** *Preliminary* 2.3+12.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) $m_{\rm H} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ combined $\mu$ = 2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ ttH, H $\rightarrow$ multilepton +0.831 $\mu(ttH) = 2.0$ $\mu = 2.5^{\,+1.4}_{\,-1.2}$ 21, $1\tau_{\rm h}$ $\mu = 0.0^{+1.4}$ -1.2 ee, no $\tau_{h}$ $\mu = 1.2^{+2.4}$ -2.3 ttH, H→γγ $\mu\mu$ , no $\tau$ +1.5 $\mu = 2.6^{+1.3}$ -1.1 eµ, no $\tau_{h}$ $\mu(ttH) = 1.9$ $\mu = 2.4^{+1.3}$ Best fit $\mu = \sigma/\sigma$ -2 5 6 0 \_\_1 SM A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

and Applied Physics **University in Prague** Institute of Experimental Czech Technical

# Combined ATLAS and CMS ttH Results

Recall Run-1: The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, JHEP 08 (2016) 045

Run-2: work in progress



Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics

**Czech Technical** 

**University in Prague** 

#### Run-1&2 Summary of ttH Coupling and Applied Physics University in Prague $\mu(ttH)$ **Run-1** Run-2 **ATLAS** +0.7echnical $1.8_{-0.7}$ +0.7Czech $2.3_{-0.6}$ CMS +0.8 $2.0_{-0.7}$

Institute of Experimental

+0.42Deviation from 1:  $2.7\sigma$ Simple combination  $\mu(ttH) = 2.03_{-0.38}$ Deviation from 0:  $5.3\sigma$ 

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

#### Interpretation pMSSM



- Idea: testing pMSSM scenarios for overlapping signature with ttH analyses (example ttH multileptons)
- □ G. Aad et al. [ATLAS Collaboration], "Summary of the ATLAS experiments sensitivity to supersymmetry after LHC Run 1 interpreted in the phenomenological MSSM," JHEP 1510 (2015) 134.)  $q = \frac{\nu/\ell}{q}$
- Two pMSSM scenarios identified (same-charge dilepton and tau) which could mimic ttH events. Significant efficiencies.



One scenario similar to the one described in
 P. Huang, A. Ismail, I. Low and C. E. M. Wagner,
 "Same-Sign Dilepton Excesses and Light Top Squarks,"
 Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 7, 075035.



#### **Conclusions and Outlook**

- ttH direct measurement is the key reaction to determine top Yukawa coupling independent of new physics in the gluon-Higgs sector.
- □ Multiple analysis channels contribute sensitivity.
- Excellent LHC Run-2 operation and ATLAS data recording.
- □ About 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> recorded and 13 fb<sup>-1</sup> analysed at 13 TeV.
- □ Increased sensitivity with initial Run-2 data compared to Run-1 results. Focus on systematics and new techniques.
- $\Box \mu = 2.0\pm0.4$  (simple comb.) Run-1 and 13 fb<sup>-1</sup> Run-2 data Outlook:
- Excellent prospects for establishing ttH signal.
- Strong exclusion of models predicting non-SM ttH rates or observing new physics.
- □ Interest in experimental/theory collaboration for ttH.



#### Acknowledgement

The project is supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic under projects number LG 15052 and LG 2015058



## ttH (Multi-leptons) Tight and Loose

	Lo	ose	Tight		
	e	$\mu$	e	$\mu$	
Track isolation	99% eff.	99% eff.	$< 0.06 \times p_{\rm T}$ (*)	$< 0.06 \times p_{\rm T}$ (*)	
Calorimeter isolation	99% eff.	99% eff.	$< 0.06 \times p_{\rm T}$ (*)	99% eff. (*)	
Identification working point	Loose	Loose	$\operatorname{Tight}$	Loose	
Transverse impact parameter $ d_0 /\sigma_{d_0}$	< 5	< 3	< 5	< 3	
$z \text{ impact parameter }  \Delta z_0 \sin \theta_\ell $	$< 0.5 \ \mathrm{mm}$	$< 0.5 \ \mathrm{mm}$	$< 0.5 \ \mathrm{mm}$	$< 0.5 \ \mathrm{mm}$	

Selections for tight leptons are applied on top of the selections for loose leptons

## ttH (Multi-leptons) Selection Signal (SR) and Validation Regions (VR)

SR/VR	Channel	Selection criteria
SR	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had}$	Two tight light leptons with $p_{\rm T} > 25, 25 \text{ GeV}$
		Sum of light lepton charges $\pm 2$
		Any electrons must have $ \eta_e  < 1.37$
		Zero $\tau_{\rm had}$ candidates
		$N_{\rm jets} \ge 5 \text{ and } N_{b- m jets} \ge 1$
$\mathbf{SR}$	$2\ell 1 au_{ m had}$	Two tight light leptons, with $p_{\rm T} > 25$ , 15 GeV
		Sum of light lepton charges $\pm 2$
		Exactly one $\tau_{had}$ candidate, of opposite charge to the light leptons
		m(ee) - 91.2  GeV  > 10  GeV for $ee$ events
		$N_{\rm jets} \ge 4 \text{ and } N_{b- m jets} \ge 1$
$\mathbf{SR}$	$3\ell$	Three light leptons; sum of light lepton charges $\pm 1$
		Two same-charge leptons must be tight and have $p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm ~GeV}$
		$m(\ell^+\ell^-) > 12 \text{ GeV}$ and $ m(\ell^+\ell^-) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  > 10 \text{ GeV}$ for all SFOC pairs
		$ m(3\ell) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  > 10 \text{ GeV}$
		$N_{\text{jets}} \ge 4 \text{ and } N_{b-\text{jets}} \ge 1, \text{ or } N_{\text{jets}} = 3 \text{ and } N_{b-\text{jets}} \ge 2$
$\mathbf{SR}$	$4\ell$	Four light leptons; sum of light lepton charges 0
		All leptons pass "gradient" isolation selection
		$m(\ell^+\ell^-) > 12 \text{ GeV}$ and $ m(\ell^+\ell^-) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  > 10 \text{ GeV}$ for all SFOC pairs
		$100 \text{ GeV} < m(4\ell) < 350 \text{ GeV} \text{ and }  m(4\ell) - 125 \text{ GeV}  > 5 \text{ GeV}$
		$N_{\rm jets} \ge 2 \text{ and } N_{b-\rm jets} \ge 1$
$\overline{VR}$	Tight $ttZ$	$3\ell$ lepton selection % and trigger selection
		At least one $\ell^+\ell^-$ pair with $ m(\ell^+\ell^-) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  < 10 \text{ GeV}$
		$N_{\rm jets} \ge 4 \text{ and } N_{b-\rm jets} \ge 2$
$\overline{\mathrm{VR}}$	Loose $ttZ$	$3\ell$ lepton selection % and trigger selection
		At least one $\ell^+\ell^-$ pair with $ m(\ell^+\ell^-) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  < 10 \text{ GeV}$
		$N_{\text{jets}} \ge 4 \text{ and } N_{b-\text{jets}} \ge 1, \text{ or } N_{\text{jets}} = 3 \text{ and } N_{b-\text{jets}} \ge 2$
$\overline{\mathrm{VR}}$	WZ + 1 b-tag	$3\ell$ lepton selection % and trigger selection
		At least one $\ell^+\ell^-$ pair with $ m(\ell^+\ell^-) - 91.2 \text{ GeV}  < 10 \text{ GeV}$
		$N_{\rm jets} \ge 1 \text{ and } N_{b-\rm jets} = 1$
VR	ttW	$2\ell 0\tau_{\rm had}$ lepton selection % and trigger selection
		$2 \le N_{\text{jets}} \le 4 \text{ and } N_{b-\text{jets}} \ge 2$
		$H_{\rm T,jets} > 220 {\rm ~GeV}$ for $ee$ and $e\mu$ events
		$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $(m(ee) < 75 \text{ or } m(ee) > 105 \text{ GeV})$ for $ee$ events
		A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

#### ttH (Multi-leptons) Signal and Main Background Pre-fit

	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had}~ee$	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had} \ e\mu$	$2\ell 0 au_{ m had}\ \mu\mu$	$2\ell 1\tau_{\rm had}$	$3\ell$	$4\ell$
$t\bar{t}W$	$2.9\pm0.7$	$9.1\pm2.5$	$6.6\pm1.6$	$0.8\pm0.4$	$6.1 \pm 1.3$	
$t\bar{t}(Z/\gamma^*)$	$1.55\pm0.29$	$4.3\pm0.9$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$1.6\pm0.4$	$11.5\pm2.0$	$1.12\pm0.20$
Diboson	$0.38\pm0.25$	$2.5\pm1.4$	$0.8\pm0.5$	$0.20\pm0.15$	$1.8\pm1.0$	$0.04\pm0.04$
Non-prompt leptons	$12 \pm 6$	$12 \pm 5$	$8.7\pm3.4$	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	$20 \pm 6$	$0.18\pm0.10$
Charge misreconstruction	$6.9\pm1.3$	$7.1\pm1.7$		$0.24 \pm 0.03$		
Other	$0.81\pm0.22$	$2.2\pm0.6$	$1.4\pm0.4$	$0.63\pm0.15$	$3.3\pm0.8$	$0.12\pm0.05$
Total background	$25 \pm 6$	$38 \pm 6$	$20 \pm 4$	$4.8 \pm 1.4$	$43 \pm 7$	$1.46\pm0.25$
$t\bar{t}H$ (SM)	$2.0\pm0.5$	$4.8\pm1.0$	$2.9\pm0.6$	$1.43\pm0.31$	$6.2 \pm 1.1$	$0.59\pm0.10$
Data	26	59	31	14	46	0

Other" backgrounds include tZ, tWZ, tHqb, tHW, tttt, ttWW, and triboson production

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

## ttH (Multi-leptons) Effects of Systematic Uncertainties on $\mu$



Uncertainty Source	$\Delta \mu$		
Non-prompt leptons and charge misreconstruction	+0.56	-0.64	
Jet-vertex association, pileup modeling	+0.48	-0.36	
$t\bar{t}W  ext{ modeling}$	+0.29	-0.31	
$t\bar{t}H  ext{ modeling}$	+0.31	-0.15	
Jet energy scale and resolution	+0.22	-0.18	
$t\bar{t}Z$ modeling	+0.19	-0.19	
Luminosity	+0.19	-0.15	
Diboson modeling	+0.15	-0.14	
Jet flavor tagging	+0.15	-0.12	
Light lepton $(e, \mu)$ and $\tau_{had}$ ID, isolation, trigger	+0.12	-0.10	
Other background modeling	+0.11	-0.11	
Total systematic uncertainty	+1.1	-0.9	

Due to correlations between the different sources of uncertainties, the total systematic uncertainty can be different from the sum in quadrature of the individual sources.

#### pMSSM scenarios-1 (dilepton+tau channel)





#### $\mu = (0.5+1.3)/0.5 = 3.6$ (Run-1)

A.Sopczak 22 Feb. 2017

#### pMSSM scenarios-2 (dilepton+tau channel)



