

Recent progress in Λ^0 -reconstruction with argon data

P. Batyuk

Analysis meeting

April 19, 2021

P. Batyuk

Some info on input data used ...

Input: DST's produced by L1-tracking for all targets and trigger conditions available for argon part of the last run and converted to the format already used for Λ^0 analysis before (I. Gabdrakhmanov)



P. Batyuk

Optimization of cuts

P. Batyuk

Algorithm of cut optimization

Used cut values ("source")

Cut	Used values	nValues
DCA0 [cm] (<)	0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6	6
DCA1 [cm] (>)	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5	9
DCA2 [cm] (>)	0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5	9
DCA12 [cm] (<)	0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6	6
PATH $[cm]$ (>)	6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16	6
nPos (>)	2	1
nNeg (>)	2	1
Size of cut grid		17496

0. «Source» cut value: (DCA12s DCA0s DCA1s DCA2s PATHs)

Cut window: ± 0.5 cm for DCA0, 1, 2, 12 around the «source» value (step = 0.1 cm), 5 + 5 checks + 10 cm for PATH around the «source» value (step = 2 cm), 5 checks

Optimization for each cut is done independently, «improved» value is considered to be chosen if the «improved» cut:

- leads to background suppression
- suppresses signal smaller than background

If the conditions not satisfied, the «improved» and «source» cuts are the same

- 1. (DCA12_s DCA0_s DCA1_s DCA2_s PATH_s)
- s DCA2s PATHs) (DCA12s + Δ) DCA0s DCA1s DCA2s PATHs) DCA2s PATHs) (DCA12s (DCA0s + Δ) DCA1s DCA2s PATHs)
- (DCA12, DCA0, DCA1, DCA2, PATH,)
- (DCA12, DCA0, DCA1₅ DCA2₅ PATH₅)
 (DCA12, DCA0, DCA1₅ DCA2₅ PATH₅)
- (DCA12, DCA0, (DCA1, + Δ) DCA2, PATH_s)
- (DCA12; DCA0; DCA1; (DCA2s + Δ) PATHs)
- 5. (DCA12, DCA0, DCA1, DCA2, PATH_s) ---- (DCA12, DCA0, DCA1, DCA2, (PATH_s + Δ))

(DCA12; DCA0; DCA1; DCA2; PATH;) is considered as optimal («improved»)

Main idea

- To search for a wide cut set ("source") for each target aimed at giving max. signal value (with arbitrary background)
- Once the set is defined, to do a 1D optimization over each geom. cut ("improved") to suppress the background with the minimal signal suppression.



P. Batyuk

Result of optimization



Performed optimization allowed one to get the same set of cuts (shown in figs.) for all targets and to see the signal for all targets as well as for each target

P. Batyuk

Estimation of trigger efficiency

P. Batyuk

Trigger efficiency (how to calculate?)



• In nom. is applied a cut for number of counts of trigger which efficiency is estimated (BD > 2, FD > 3...)

P. Batyuk

estimations)

Sig. is calculated via the back. subtraction in the

signal region to avoid possible bias (not by fit!)

Trigger efficiency (estimated by Λ^0 reconstruction)



 $\begin{array}{l} BT + BD(N) \mbox{ means beam trigger included and number of counts in barrel detector greater than N \\ BT + FD(N) \mbox{ means beam trigger included and number of counts in forward silicon detector greater than N \\ BT + BD(M) + FD(N) \mbox{ means beam trigger included and number of counts in barrel detector greater than M and in forward silicon detector greater than N \\ \end{array}$

P. Batyuk

Taking into account obtained trigger efficiencies ...



Trigger efficiencies taken into account allows one to increase number of Λ^0 by factor of two (for all targets)

P. Batyuk

Taking into account obtained trigger efficiencies ...



Target:	Al	Cu	Sn	\mathbf{Pb}
N_{Λ^0} with (without)	626 (363)	1171 (643)	$1912 \ (942)$	$1250\ (556)$
Inc. factor:	1.72	1.82	2.03	2.25

P. Batyuk

Reconstructed spectra in different P_t , Y bins

P. Batyuk

Looking at reconstructed Λ^0 in terms of PtY

- Done for all targets
- Three chosen P_t bins: (0.1, 0.35), (0.35, 0.6) and (0.6, 1.3) GeV/c
- Three chosen Y bins: (0.5, 1.3), (1.3, 1.7) and (1.7, 2.3)

- Lower value of first bin and upper value of last bin were chosen not to suppress signal significantly (done when analyzing all targets)
- For each chosen bin $(\mathbf{S} \pm \Delta S)$ is estimated

P, = [0.1, 0.35] GeV/c P_t = [0.35, 0.6] GeV/c P. = [0.6. 1.3] GeV/c 800 600 600 400 500 400 200 200 1.1 Y = [0.5, 1.3] Y = [1.3, 1.7] Y = [1.7. 2.3] $\Lambda^0 = (1090 + 45)$ of A =(486 + 31)800 F Numb. of -(836 + 33)300 1000 600 200 400 500 100 200 1.1

Target: Sn

P. Batyuk

Looking at reconstructed Λ^0 in terms of PtY



Target / P_t bins:	Al	Cu	Sn	Pb
0.1 0.35	148 ± 13	246 ± 17	361 ± 22	421 ± 26
0.35 0.6	202 ± 16	376 ± 22	879 ± 40	415 ± 26
0.6 1.3	275 ± 21	518 ± 30	651 ± 35	$\textbf{372} \pm \textbf{26}$

Target / Y bins:	Al	Cu	Sn	Pb
0.5 1.3	207 ± 22	355 ± 28	433 ± 30	304 ± 24
1.3 1.7	417 ± 28	730 ± 37	871 ± 41	574 ± 34
1.7 2.3	23 ± 4	110 ± 10	653 ± 30	361 ± 23

Conclusion

- Performed optimization allowed one to "elaborate" the same set of cuts applied to all targets to see the signal from Λ^0
- Estimated trigger efficiency w.r.t. Λ^0 reconstruction showed that triggers containing FD condition have less efficiency if compared with those ones having BD condition
- Produced Λ^0 spectra for different bins in (Y, P_t)-space with estimation of number of Λ^0 for each bin chosen.

Thank you for your attention!

P. Batyuk