Adler function, Bjorken Sum Rule, the Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation and the $\{\beta\}$-expansion with different fermion representations of the gauge group of order $O(\alpha_s^4)$

P. A. Baikov[1], K. G. Chetyrkin[2], S. V. Mikhailov[3]

based on

PRL 104, 132004 (2010), JHEP 04(2017)169, [B.Ch.M] in preparation

[1]Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

[2]Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, KIT, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

[3]Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Advances in Quantum Field Theory 2021, BLTP

What are Adler function, Bjorken Sum Rule, the Crewther relation

There are renorm-group invariant single scale Q^2 quantities $\boldsymbol{D}$, $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{Bjp}}$:

Adler function

$$d_R \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{a_s}) = D_A = -12\pi^2 Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2}\boldsymbol{\Pi}(\boldsymbol{Q^2});\quad Q^2 = -q^2$$

Bjorken polarized Sum Rule

$$\frac{1}{6}\left|\frac{g_A}{g_V}\right| \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{Bjp}}(\boldsymbol{a_s}) + \text{high twist} = \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathrm{Bjp}}_{\mathrm{NS}}(\boldsymbol{Q^2}) = \int_0^1 \left[\boldsymbol{g_1^{lp}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{Q^2}) - \boldsymbol{g_1^{ln}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{Q^2})\right]\boldsymbol{dx}$$

Crewther relation

–a plausible **conjecture** **[Crewther 1972,1997]** inspired by conformal symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{a_s})_{\boldsymbol{ns}} \cdot C^{\mathrm{Bjp}}(a_s) = \mathbb{1} + \boldsymbol{\beta(a_s)K}(\boldsymbol{a_s}), \text{where } K(a_s) - \text{polynom in } a_s = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$$

[D.Broadhurst,A.Kataev,PLB1993]-**Crucial** 3-loop analysis in $\overline{\textbf{MS}}$-scheme

———————————————————————————————

[P.Baikov,K.Chetyrkin,J.Kühn, PRL2010]- confirmation in $O(a_s^4)$.

OUTLINE

1. **Intro**: What is the $\{\beta\}$**-expansion** for RG-invariants
   and what does it express?

2. How to apply the $\{\beta\}$**-expansion**?
   To understand and to control the corresponding PT series in each expansion order, etc.

3. How to obtain the $\{\beta\}$**-expansion** from RQCD
   (QCD with different fermion Representations of gauge group),
   results for **Adler** $\boldsymbol{D_{ns}(a_s)}$ and **Bjorken** $C^{\mathbf{Bjp}}(a_s)$.

4. **Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation** and its corollaries
   from $\{\beta\}$**-expansion** point of view.

5. **Conclusions**

STORE

Motivation for the revision of series representation

we consider 1-scale Q^2 RG-INVARIANT quantities at $Q^2 = \mu_R^2$, e.g., D_{ns}

"**Wild**" approach: $\forall d_n$ - numbers, taken **wholly**

$$D(a_s) \sim 1 + a_s d_1 + a_s^2 d_2 + a_s^3 d_3 + \ldots$$

$$d_2 = 31.77 - 1.84 n_f;$$
$$d_3 = 1164.8 - 270.1 n_f - 5.5 n_f^2;$$
$$d_4 = 34765 - 8806.4 n_f + 481.3 n_f^2 - 2.56 n_f^3.$$

Delicate approach: $\forall d_n$ has an intrinsic structure due to a_s-renorm.

$$D(a_s) \sim 1 + \hat{M}(a_s, \{\beta_i\}) \leftarrow \text{2D matrix}$$



$$d_2 = \beta_0 d_2[1] + d_2[0];$$
$$d_3 = \beta_0^2 d_3[2] + \beta_1 d_3[0,1] + \beta_0 d_3[1] + d_3[0]$$
$$d_4 = \beta_0^3 d_4[3] + \beta_2 d_3[0,0,1] + \ldots$$

$\rightarrow$ series becomes "thick"

the decomposition is named $\{\beta\}$-**expansion[MS2005-7]**

it shows the **dynamic** knowledge of D exhibited as a_s-renorm.

How to apply the $\{\beta\}$-expansion? 1.(If we already have it)

Different pieces are appropriate for miscellaneous and can be cooked differently



(a)



Evident usage of the $\{\beta\}$**-expansion** is the different kinds of **optimization**: one can change the contributions of different origins of a_s-renorm playing with the choice of μ_R^2. E.g., well-known **BLM approach [Brodsky et al 1983]**:

$$d_2 = \underline{\beta_0 d_2[1]} + d_2[0] \to \mathbf{d_2}[\mathbf{0}] \text{ at } \mu_R^2 \to \mu_{BLM}^2 = \exp(-d_2[1]/d_1)\mu_R^2$$

$$\beta_0 = 11/3 C_A - 4/3 T_R \mathbf{n_f} \,\Big|\, \text{profit at } \underline{\beta_0 d_2[1]} \gg \mathbf{d_2}[\mathbf{0}]$$

$$d_3 = \underline{\beta_0^2 d_3[2]} + \beta_1 d_3[0,1] + \beta_0 d_3[1] + d_3[0] \to \mathbf{d_3}[\mathbf{0}]$$

$$d_n = \underline{\beta_0^{n-1} d_n[n-1]} + \ldots + d_n[0] \to \mathbf{d_n}[\mathbf{0}]$$

generalized BLM: $D = 1 + \sum_1^N a_s^n(\mu_R^2)\mathbf{d_n} \to D_0 = 1 + \sum_1^N a_s^n(\mu_{opt}^2)\mathbf{d_n}[\mathbf{0}]$

But this "conformal limit" may be not an **optimized series** in any sense, for $R(s)$ it makes the PT convergence **worse** in $O(a_s^4)$ **[A. Kataev&MS PRD2015]**

How to apply the $\{\beta\}$-expansion 2.(If we already have it)

[MS2007, A.Kataev&MS PRD2015] $(a_s, \mu^2) \to (a'_s, \mu'^2)$

$$\ln(\mu^2/\mu'^2) = t - t' \equiv \Delta(a') = \Delta_0 + a'\beta_0\Delta_1 + (a'\beta_0)^2\Delta_2 + \ldots, \quad \boxed{\Delta_0 = d_2[1]/d_1}$$

↑ BLM

$$a^2 \cdot d_2 \to a'^2 \cdot \left[d'_2 = \beta_0\,(d_2[1] - \Delta_0) + d_2[0]\right];$$

$$a^3 \cdot d_3 \to a'^3 \cdot \Big[d'_3 = \beta_0^2\Big(d_3[2] - 2d_2[1]\Delta_0 + \Delta_0^2 - \Delta_1\Big) + \beta_1\,(d_3[0,1] - \Delta_0) + \beta_0\,(d_3[1] - 2d_2[0]\Delta_0) + d_3[0]\Big];$$

$$a^4 \cdot d_4 \to a'^4 \cdot \left[d'_4 = \beta_0^3(d_4[3] - 3d_3[2]\Delta_0 \ldots - \Delta_2) + \ldots\right]$$

Fitting components $\Delta_0,\ \Delta_1,\ \Delta_2, \ldots$ of the normalization scale μ'^2 to adjust the elements $d'_2, d'_3, d'_4, \ldots$ following to any **optimization procedure**.
The practice impact of the $\{\beta\}$-expansion reveals at $\beta_0 \gg 1$,
different cases of optimization in $O(a_s^3)$ [A.Kataev&MS2015].

An optimization as **numerical minimum** of all QCD corr. to $C^{\text{Bjp}}(a_s)$,
$a_s c_1 + a_s^2 c_2 + a_s^3 c_3 + a_s^4 c_4$, up to $O(a_s^4)$ was realized in [D.Kotlorz&MS2019].
The effect is about -20% at $\mu^2 \approx 3\text{GeV}^2$.

How to obtain the $\{\beta\}$-expansion? 1. RQCD

We need in QCD with additional degrees of freedom - **d.o.f.**,e.g., fermion multiplets
These **d.o.f.** $\{R\}$ **interact following the universal gauge principle** entering only in intrinsic loops **[K.Chetyrkin PLB1997**, D **with MSSM gluinos** $n_{\tilde{g}}$**]**.

$$T_R n_f,\ \frac{C_A}{2} n_{\tilde{g}}, \ldots \xrightarrow{general} \{R\} \quad \text{[M.Zoller 2016] for } \beta(a_s, \{R\}),$$

$$D(a_s, \{R\}) \text{ or } C^{\text{Bjp}}(a_s, \{R\}) : \textbf{RQCD} \text{ [P.A.B.\&K.G.Ch.\&S.V.M.]}$$

d.o.f.:
$\{R\}$- any numbers of different quark representations **[K.Chetyrkin&M.Zoller 2017]**

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \ldots + \sum_{r=1}^{N_{\text{rep}}} \sum_{q=1}^{n_{f,r}} \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{q,r} \overleftrightarrow{\hat{\partial}} \psi_{q,r} - m_{q,r} \bar{\psi}_{q,r} \psi_{q,r} + g_s \bar{\psi}_{q,r} \hat{A}^a T^{a,r} \psi_{q,r} \right\},$$

$$\mathrm{R} = (q - \text{flavors}, r - \text{Representation})$$

Lie Algebra: $$\left[T^{a,r}, T^{b,r}\right] = i f^{abc} T^{c,r};\ T^{a,r}_{ik} T^{a,r}_{kj} = \delta_{ij} C_{F,r};\ T_{F,r} \delta^{ab} = \mathbf{Tr}\left(T^{a,r} T^{b,r}\right);$$

$$d_R^{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\text{perm } \pi} \text{Tr}\left\{ T^{a_{\pi(1)},R} T^{a_{\pi(2)},R} \ldots T^{a_{\pi(n)},R} \right\},$$

In the standard QCD $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{a_s},\boldsymbol{R})$ and $C^{Bjp}(\boldsymbol{a_s},\boldsymbol{R})$ at $O(a_s^4)$ computed in **[P. Baikov, K. Chetyrkin, J. Kühn, 2010]** with the help of

1. reduction of FI's with $1/D$ expansion **[P.Baikov 2000. . .]**
2. **FORM** **[J.Vermaseren 1990 . . .]**
3. **FORM** package **COLOR** for color structures **[T. van Ritbergen, A.Schellekens, J. Vermaseren 1999 . . .]**

Adding more fermions multiplets, $\mathbf{R} \to \{\mathbf{R}\}$,
items 1./2. in the same way as in **[2010]**
item 3. extension of the package **COLOR** on multi-fermion-generation case **[M. Zoller 2016]**

How to obtain the $\{\beta\}$-expansion? 2.

Then one can decompose all β**-terms** explicitly following an algebraic procedure **[MS2017]**: all **7** elements of $\boldsymbol{d_4}$ and $\boldsymbol{c_4}$ were obtained,

$$d_4 = \beta_0^3 d_4[3] + \beta_2 d_4[0,0,1] + \beta_1\beta_0 d_4[1,1] + \beta_0^2 d_4[2] + \beta_1 d_4[0,1] + \beta_0 d_4[1] + d_4[0]$$

$$d_n = \underbrace{\beta_0^{n-1} d_n[n-1] + \ldots + \beta_0 d_n[1] + d_n[0]}_{\boldsymbol{N}(n)}$$

$$\hat{M}(a_s, \{\beta_i\}) = \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & a_s^{n-1} & a_s^n & \ldots \\ & \vdots & \boldsymbol{N}\left\{\begin{matrix} d_n[0] \\ \beta_0 d_n[1] \\ \vdots \end{matrix}\right. & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{N}(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{(n-1)} p(l) = \{\mathbf{1,2,4,7,12,\ldots}\} \sim \frac{\sqrt{6n}}{\pi} \cdot (p(n) \leftarrow \text{ partition of numbers}) + \ldots$$

Hardy-Ramanujan asymptotic for partition of numbers $p(n) \sim \dfrac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(\pi\sqrt{2n/3}\right)$

Important! We need new d.o.f. Only to perform the decomposition, after that we return from RQCD to the standard QCD, $\{\boldsymbol{R}\} \to \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{R}}\boldsymbol{n_f}$.

The marked traces of **the general gauge principle** saved as $\{\beta\}$**-expansion**.

How to obtain the $\{\beta\}$-**expansion**? 3. Solving a set of equations

The key role plays the **set of zeros** of $\beta_0(\{R\}), \beta_1(\{R\}), \beta_2(\{R\}), \ldots$
and **zeros** of sets of these β_k.
E.g., in $O(a_s^4)$ $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are defined on the axes of variables R_0, R_1, R_2:

1) $\exists$ 3D point $\bar{R}_{0,1,2} : \beta_0(\{\bar{R}_{0,1,2}\}) = \beta_1(\{\bar{R}_{0,1,2}\}) = \beta_2(\{\bar{R}_{0,1,2}\}) = 0$,
Then $D(\bar{R}_{0,1,2}) = (a_s^2\, d_2[0], a_s^3\, d_3[0], a_s^4\, d_4[0], \ldots)$
step by step

2) $\exists$ line in 3D $\beta_0(\{\bar{R}_{0,1}\}) = \beta_1(\{\bar{R}_{0,1}\}) = 0$,
Then $d_4(\bar{R}_{0,1}) = \beta_2(\{\bar{R}_{0,1}\})\underline{d_4[0,0,1]} + d_4[0]$

3) $\exists$ curve (line) in 3D $\beta_0(\{\bar{R}_{0,2}\}) = \beta_2(\{\bar{R}_{0,2}\}) = 0$,
Then $d_4(\bar{R}_{0,2}) = \beta_1(\{\bar{R}_{0,2}\})\underline{d_4[0,1]} + d_4[0]$

...
Finally this reduces to the set of equations at non-zero determinant.
In $O(a_s^5)$ at 6-loop we also have single-valued solution of the similar set of equation [MS2017].

Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation and its corollaries 1.

The elements of $\{\beta\}$**-expansion** provide the *appropriate "bricks"* to analyse **C-B-K relation**, which is **our main subject** here.
First time it was applied to C-B-K **[A.Kataev&MS TMP2012]**

$$\boldsymbol{D_{ns}}(\boldsymbol{a_s}) \cdot C^{\text{Bjp}}(a_s) = \mathbb{1} + \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{a_s}) \times \sum_{n=1} a_s^{n-1} K_n$$

$$K_1 = K_1[1], K_2 = K_2[1] + \beta_0 K_2[2], \quad K_3 = K_3[1] + \beta_0 K_3[2] + \beta_0^2 K_3[3] + \beta_1 K_3[1,1]$$

1. The $\boldsymbol{D_0} \cdot C_0^{\text{Bjp}} = \mathbb{1}$ –"conformal" part of the relation, here $d_n \to d_n[0] \in \boldsymbol{D_0}$,

$$\boldsymbol{c_k}[0] + \boldsymbol{d_k}[0] = (-)^k \det[\boldsymbol{D_0^{(k)}}] \equiv (-)^k \begin{vmatrix} d_1 & 1 & 0 & & \dots & 0 \\ d_2 & d_1 & 1 & & \dots & 0 \\ d_3 & d_2 & d_1 & & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \dots & 0 \\ d_{k-1} & \dots & \dots & & d_1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & d_{k-1} & d_{k-2} & \dots & d_2 & d_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

$\boldsymbol{c_k}[0] + \boldsymbol{d_k}[0] = \text{Polynom}(d_{k-1})$, $k = 2, 3, 4$ – **Confirmations!**
$\boldsymbol{c_5}[0] + \boldsymbol{d_5}[0] = \text{Polynom}(d_4)$, $k = 5$ – **Prediction**

Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation and its corollaries 2.

2. The factorization of the $\beta(a_s)$, taken wholly, sets the chain of conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1[1] &= \overset{\beta_0 \downarrow}{d_2[1] + c_2[1]} = \overset{\beta_1 \downarrow}{d_3[0,1] + c_3[0,1]} = \underline{\overset{\beta_2 \downarrow}{c_4[0,0,1] + d_4[0,0,1]}} = 3C_F\left(\frac{7}{2} - 4\zeta_3\right) \\
&= d_n[\underbrace{0,0,\ldots,1}_{n-1}] + c_n[\underbrace{0,0,\ldots,1}_{n-1}] \quad \text{Confirmations/Prediction}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2[1] &= c_3[1] + d_3[1] + d_1(c_2[1] - d_2[1]) = \\
&= \underline{c_4[0,1] + d_4[0,1]} + d_1(c_3[0,1] - d_3[0,1]) \ \text{Confirmation} \\
&= C_F^2\left(-\frac{397}{6} - 136\zeta_3 + 240\zeta_5\right) + C_F C_A\left(\frac{47}{3} - 16\zeta_3\right) \\
&= \underline{c_5[0,0,1] + d_5[0,0,1]} + d_1(c_4[0,0,1] - d_4[0,0,1]) \ \text{Prediction} \\
&= c_n[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] + d_n[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] + d_1(c_{n-1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] - d_{n-1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}]).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3[1] &= c_4[1] + d_4[1] + d_1\big(c_3[1] - d_3[1]\big) + d_2[0]c_2[1] + d_2[1]c_2[0] \ \text{Prediction} \\
&= \underline{c_5[0,1] + d_5[0,1]} + d_1\big(c_4[0,1] - d_4[0,1]\big) + d_2[0]c_3[0,1] + c_2[0]d_3[0,1] = \ldots \\
&= c_{n+1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] + d_{n+1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] + d_1\Big(c_n[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] - d_n[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}]\Big) + \\
&\quad d_2[0]\, c_{n-1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}] + c_2[0]\, d_{n-1}[\underbrace{0,\ldots,1}_{n-2}]
\end{aligned}$$

Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation, the structure of K – term

The universal form of the second term appears due to the cancellation of a_s^1- terms

$$K_{n\geqslant 3}[1] = c_{n+1}[1]+d_{n+1}[1]+d_1\big(c_n[1]-d_n[1]\big)+\sum_{k=2}^{n-2}\big(d_k[0]c_{n+1-k}[1]+c_k[0]d_{n+1-k}[1]\big)\,.$$

Partial results for K-term in order $O(a_s^4)$

$$K_1[1] = d_2[1]+c_2[1] = 3C_F\left(\frac{7}{2}-4\zeta_3\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_2[1] &= c_3[1]+d_3[1]+d_1\,(c_2[1]-d_2[1]) \\ &= C_F^2\left(-\frac{397}{6}-136\zeta_3+240\zeta_5\right)+C_FC_A\left(\frac{47}{3}-16\zeta_3\right) \\ K_2[2] &= c_3[2]+d_3[2] = 3C_F\left(\frac{163}{6}-\frac{76}{3}\zeta_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_3[1] &= c_4[1]+d_4[1]+d_1\big(c_3[1]-d_3[1]\big)+d_2[0]c_2[1]+d_2[1]c_2[0] \\ K_3[2] &= c_4[2]+d_4[2]+d_1\,(c_3[2]-d_3[2])+d_2[1]c_2[1], \\ K_3[3] &= c_4[3]+d_4[3]\,, \\ K_3[1,1] &= c_4[1,1]+d_4[1,1] \end{aligned}$$

CONCLUSIONS

1. The **$\{\beta\}$-expansion** for PT series is invented and analyzed for the **Renormalization Group invariant** quantities.
   This allows to perform different optimizations of the PT series.

2. The elements of **$\{\beta\}$-expansion** can be determined within **RQCD** following to an algebraic procedure.

3. The **Crewther-Broadhurst-Kataev relation** is reproduced in order $O(a_s^4)$. The interesting relations between the elements of Adler $\boldsymbol{D_{ns}}$, and Bjorken polarized SR $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{Bjp}}$ are established in any orders of a_s.

STORE, explicit form of D-elements. 1

$$d_1 = 3C_F;\; d_2[1] = d_1\left(\frac{11}{2} - 4\zeta_3\right);\; d_2[0] = d_1\left(\frac{C_A}{3} - \frac{C_F}{2}\right);$$

$$d_3[2] = d_1\left(\frac{302}{9} - \frac{76}{3}\zeta_3\right);\; d_3[0,1] = d_1\left(\frac{101}{12} - 8\zeta_3\right);$$

$$d_3[1] = d_1\left(C_A\left(-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{80}{3}\zeta_3 - \frac{40}{3}\zeta_5\right) - C_F\left(18 + 52\zeta_3 - 80\zeta_5\right)\right);$$

$$d_4[3] = C_F\left(\frac{6131}{9} - 406\zeta_3 - 180\zeta_5\right);$$

$$d_4[1,1] = C_F\left(385 - \frac{1940}{3}\zeta_3 + 144\zeta_3^2 + 220\zeta_5\right);$$

$$d_4[2] = -C_F\Big[C_F\left(\frac{6733}{8} + 1920\zeta_3 - 3000\zeta_5\right) + C_A\left(\frac{20929}{144} - \frac{12151}{6}\zeta_3 + 792\zeta_3^2 + 1050\zeta_5\right)\Big];$$

$$d_4[0,0,1] = C_F\left(\frac{355}{6} + 136\zeta_3 - 240\zeta_5\right);$$

$$d_4[1] = C_F\Big[-C_F^2\left(\frac{447}{2} - 42\zeta_3 - 4920\zeta_5 + 5040\zeta_7\right) + C_A C_F\left(\frac{3301}{4} - 678\zeta_3 - 2280\zeta_5 + 2520\zeta_7\right) + C_A^2\left(\frac{16373}{36} - \frac{17513}{3}\zeta_3 + 2592\zeta_3^2 + 3030\zeta_5 - 420\zeta_7\right)\Big],$$

$$d_4[0,1] = -C_F\left[C_A\left(\frac{139}{12} + \frac{1054}{3}\zeta_3 - 460\zeta_5\right) + C_F\left(\frac{251}{4} + 144\zeta_3 - 240\zeta_5\right)\right],$$

STORE, explicit form of $D_0,\ C_0$ elements. 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_3[0] &= d_1\left(\left(\frac{523}{36}-72\zeta_3\right)\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{A}^2+\frac{71}{3}\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{A}\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{F}-\frac{23}{2}\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{F}^2\right)\ . \\
d_4[0] &= \tilde{d}_4[0]+\delta d_4 \\
&= C_F^4\left(\frac{4157}{8}+96\zeta_3\right)-C_A C_F^3\left(\frac{2409}{2}+432\zeta_3\right)+C_A^2C_F^2\left(\frac{3105}{4}+648\zeta_3\right)+ \\
&\quad C_A^3C_F\left(\frac{68047}{48}+\frac{8113}{2}\zeta_3-7110\zeta_5\right)+\delta d_4\ , \\
\delta d_4 &= -\frac{16}{dR}\left(d_F^{abcd}n_f d_F^{abcd}(13+16\zeta_3-40\zeta_5)+d_F^{abcd}d_A^{abcd}(-3+4\zeta_3+20\zeta_5)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_3[0] &= c_1\left(\left(\frac{523}{36}-72\zeta_3\right)\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{A}^2+\frac{65}{3}\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{F}\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{A}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_\mathrm{F}^2}{2}\right) \\
c_4[0] &= \tilde{c}_4[0]-\delta d_4 \\
&= -C_F^4\left(\frac{4823}{8}+96\zeta_3\right)+C_A C_F^3\left(\frac{3201}{2}+432\zeta_3\right)-C_A^2C_F^2\left(\frac{2055}{4}+1944\zeta_3\right)- \\
&\quad C_A^3C_F\left(\frac{68047}{48}+\frac{8113}{2}\zeta_3-7110\zeta_5\right)-\delta d_4\ ;
\end{aligned}
$$