THREE-LOOP SCATTERING AMPLITUDES IN QCD

Advances in Quantum Field Theory Dubna – 13/10/2021

Lorenzo Tancredi – Technical University Munich





Technische Universität München



Congratulations!

And thank you, in particular **V. Smirnov** and **K. Chetyrkin**, to for paving the way for much of the research I am doing today...!

LOOPS ~ **PRECISION PHYSICS** . . : HOW FAR CAN/SHALL WE GO?

PRECISION PHYSICS AT THE LHC: HOW FAR CAN WE GO?

 $pp \to HX \to l_1\bar{l}_1 + l_2\bar{l}_2 + X$



PRECISION PHYSICS AT THE LHC: HOW FAR CAN WE GO?

 $pp \to HX \to l_1\bar{l}_1 + l_2\bar{l}_2 + X$

p

Ro

X

Factorisation theorems, PDFs...?

Loops allow us to compute central part of this picture ("hard" scattering) more and more precisely...

p

Parton Shower, Hadronisation, Fragmentation ...

Detector simulation matching, etc...

PRECISION PHYSICS AT THE LHC: HOW FAR CAN WE GO?

 $pp \to HX \to l_1\bar{l}_1 + l_2\bar{l}_2 + X$

p

2 o

X

Factorisation theorems, PDFs...?

Today's goal: push all these ingredients to % level precision!

including HARD SCATTERING!

p

Parton Shower, Hadronisation, Fragmentation ...

Detector simulation matching, etc...

FIXED ORDER CALCULATIONS

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}\to gg} = \int [dPS] \left| \mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg} \right|^2$$

.

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}\right|^{2} = \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{LO}\right|^{2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)\left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NLO}\right|^{2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)^{2}\left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NNLO}\right|^{2} + \dots$$

FIXED ORDER CALCULATIONS

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}\to gg} = \int [dPS] \left| \mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg} \right|^2$$

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}\right|^2 = \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{LO}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right) \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NLO}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NNLO}\right|^2 + \dots$$

Past two decades have seen impressive effort to reach NNLO for $2 \rightarrow \{2,3\}$

FIXED ORDER CALCULATIONS

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}\to gg} = \int [dPS] \left| \mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg} \right|^2$$

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}\right|^2 = \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{LO}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right) \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NLO}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left|\mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q}\to gg}^{NNLO}\right|^2 + \dots$$

Past two decades have seen impressive effort to reach NNLO for $2 \rightarrow \{2,3\}$



BEYOND NNLO FOR 2->2 THERE IS STILL A LOT TO LEARN

We are just scratching the surface...!



[Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger '20]

Non trivial uncertainty patterns observed going from NNLO to N3LO for W,γ Drell-Yan

We are far from being able to do N^3LO pheno for generic processes...

BEYOND NNLO FOR 2->2 THERE IS STILL A LOT TO LEARN

We are just scratching the surface...!

We are far from being able to do N³LO pheno for generic processes...

IR singularities and new sources for possible **factorisation breaking** (di-jet / *tī* @ N³LO...)

New challenges from pushing methods to compute **scattering amplitudes** from two to <u>three loops</u>:

Higher combinatorial complexity, <u>new special</u> <u>functions and new geometries</u>, discontinuities (bootstrap?)...



Particularly interesting

di-jet production @ N3LO!

TOWARDS DI-JET AT N3LO

First step is <u>3 loop scattering amplitudes</u>:

- Informs on complexity of functions involved
- Informs on **IR structure** in three-loop QCD: **quadrupole correlations**!

3 main channels: $gg \to gg$, $q\bar{q} \to gg$, $q\bar{q} \to Q\bar{Q}$

- Number of Feynman diagrams *explodes*: $gg \rightarrow gg @ 3 \text{ loops} \sim 50 \text{k}$



- Each diagram produces *thousands of terms*, compute ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ integrals

QQQQ SCATTERING UP TO 3 LOOPS

 $q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4)$, with $p_i^2 = 0$



MULTILOOP SCATTERING AMPLITUDES: THE STANDARD WAY

One way to go about it: **standard approach** (divide et impera)



MULTILOOP SCATTERING AMPLITUDES: THE STANDARD WAY

One way to go about it: **standard approach** (divide et impera)



Standard steps:

- 1) Obtain the **integrand** (From Feynman diagrams, Unitarity, ...)
- 2) Reduce this integrand to a **basis** of **master integrals** (IBPs, Finite Fields etc...)
- 3) Compute the master integrals (Diff Equations, Canonical bases, polylogs etc...)

THE HELICITY AMPLITUDES IN 'THV

Helicity amplitudes for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$, start from generic tensor decomposition in d-dim

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{F}_{i}(s,t) D_{i}$$

+ when do I stop ? \rightarrow in **d-dimensions** it depends on the perturbative order!

+ the γ -algebra in d-dimensions is not closed

THE HELICITY AMPLITUDES IN 'THV

To compute helicity amplitudes, start from generic tensor decomposition in d-dim

$$\mathscr{A}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathscr{F}_{i}(s,t) D_{i}$$

Helicity amplitudes in tHV imply external states in d=4

N-2 of N tensors in d-dim are not independent in d=4

$$\lim_{d \to 4} \left(D_3 - \frac{24}{s_{12}} D_2 + \left(8 - 3d + \frac{12s_{13}}{s_{12}} \right) D_1 \right) = 0 \quad \text{And similarly for others}$$

THE HELICITY AMPLITUDES IN 'THV

Let then pick first 2: $\overline{T}_j = D_j$, j = 1,2 Define 2 projectors $\overline{P}_i \cdot \overline{T}_j = \delta_{ij}$

$$M_{ij}^{2\times2} = T_i^{\dagger} T_j, \qquad \overline{P}_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(M_{ij}^{(2\times2)} \right)^{-1} \overline{T}_j^{\dagger}$$

$$(M^{2\times2})_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{d-3} X_{ij} \qquad \text{with} \qquad X_{ij} = \frac{1}{4s_{12}^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{s_{12} + 2s_{23}}{s_{23}(s_{12} + s_{23})} \\ \frac{s_{12} + 2s_{23}}{s_{23}(s_{12} + s_{23})} & \frac{(d-2)s_{12}^2 + 4s_{23}(s_{12} + s_{23})}{s_{23}^2(s_{12} + s_{23})^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{the matrix is smooth in } d \to 4$$

and perform a rotation in the space of the remaining tensors:

$$\overline{T}_i = D_i - \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\overline{P}_j D_i\right) \overline{T}_j, \quad i = 3, \dots, N$$

PROJECTORS IN 'T HOOFT-VELTMAN

In new basis of tensors, **by definition** only **first two contribute to hel amplitudes**

.

$$\mathscr{A}_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\mathscr{F}}_i(s,t) \left[\overline{T}_i\right]_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q, d=4} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \overline{\mathscr{F}}_i(s,t) \left[\overline{T}_i\right]_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q, d=4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

PROJECTORS IN 'T HOOFT-VELTMAN

In new basis of tensors, **by definition** only **first two contribute to hel amplitudes**

$$\mathscr{A}_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\mathscr{F}}_i(s,t) \left[\overline{T}_i\right]_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q, d=4} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \overline{\mathscr{F}}_i(s,t) \left[\overline{T}_i\right]_{\lambda_q \lambda_Q, d=4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

Natural basis to derive helicity amplitudes

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{F}_{i} T_{i}$$

$$T_{1} = \bar{u}(p_{2}) \gamma_{\alpha} u(p_{1}) \times \bar{u}(p_{4}) \gamma^{\alpha} u(p_{3})$$

$$T_{2} = \bar{u}(p_{2}) \not p_{3} u(p_{1}) \times \bar{u}(p_{4}) \not p_{2} u(p_{3})$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{+-+-}^{q\bar{q}\to\bar{Q}Q} = \mathcal{H}_1 \frac{\langle 13 \rangle}{\langle 24 \rangle}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{+--+}^{q\bar{q}\to\bar{Q}Q} = \mathcal{H}_2 \frac{\langle 14 \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle},$$

 $\mathcal{H}_1 = 2t\mathcal{F}_1 - tu\mathcal{F}_2, \quad \mathcal{H}_2 = 2u\mathcal{F}_1 + tu\mathcal{F}_2.$

SIMPLIFYING THE INTEGRAND

Apply this to $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$, write scattering amplitudes as ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ Feynman integrals!

Thanks, in particular, to **Chetyrkin** and **Tkachov** we know that these integrals are not independent: **Integration by parts identities augmented by Laporta Algorithm**



From $\sim 10^5$ down to $\sim 10^2$ independent integrals !

Completed thanks to various (new) techniques:

- extensive use of **symmetry relations** to simplify amplitude before reduction
- <u>finred</u> by A. von Manteuffel, Finite Fields and Syzygy techniques

[Manteuffel, Schabinger 2014] [Peraro 2015]

COMPUTING THE INTEGRALS

Consider the production of 2 quarks in quark-antiquark annihilation

 $q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \to Q(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4)$, with $p_i^2 = 0$

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$$
, $t = (p_1 - p_3)^2$, and $x = -t/s \longrightarrow s > 0$, $t < 0 \quad 0 < x < 1$



Interesting analytic structure, no Euclidean region

V. Smirnov one of the pioneers in studying the analytic properties of these objects:

[<u>Smirnov</u> '99; <u>Smirnov</u>, Veretin '00; Tausk '00] [Anastasiou, Gehrmann, Oleari, Remiddi, Tausk '00]

COMPUTING THE INTEGRALS

Master integrals very non-trivial, despite "just" HPLs

$$H(0;x) = \ln x ,$$

$$H(1;x) = \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{1-x'} = -\ln(1-x)$$

[Remiddi, Vermaseren '99]

- Many master integrals (~ 500), single sectors with ~ $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MIs



Approached by differential equations method [Kotikov '97; Remiddi '99; Gehrmann Remiddi '00]

$$d\vec{I} = \epsilon A(x)\vec{I}$$
 [Arkani-Hamed '10; Kotikov '07 '10; Henn '13, Lee '15]

- Finding a canonical basis is *very non-trivial*. Solved by [Henn, Mistlberger, Smirnov, Wasser, 2020]
- Boundaries trivialised by regularity conditions and UV properties: [Henn, Mistlberger, Smirnov, Wasser, 2020]

RESULTS AND IR STRUCTURE FOR $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ **@ 3 LOOPS**

$$\mathcal{H}_{i} = \mathcal{H}_{i}^{(0)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s,b}}}{4\pi}\right) \mathcal{H}_{i}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s,b}}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \mathcal{H}_{i}^{(2)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s,b}}}{4\pi}\right)^{3} \mathcal{H}_{i}^{(3)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s,b}}^{4}\right)$$

UV renormalisation is straightforward

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i,\mathrm{ren}}^{(0)} &= \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(0)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i,\mathrm{ren}}^{(1)} &= \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(1)} - \frac{\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(0)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i,\mathrm{ren}}^{(2)} &= \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(2)} - \frac{2\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{4\pi}\right) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{\left(2\beta_{0}^{2} - \beta_{1}\epsilon\right)}{2\epsilon^{2}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(0)} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i,\mathrm{ren}}^{(3)} &= \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(3)} - \frac{3\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(2)} + \frac{\left(3\beta_{0}^{2} - \beta_{1}\epsilon\right)}{\epsilon^{2}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{\left(7\beta_{1}\beta_{0}\epsilon - 6\beta_{0}^{3} - 2\beta_{2}\epsilon^{2}\right)}{6\epsilon^{3}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{i}^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \beta_0 &= \frac{11}{3} C_A - \frac{2}{3} n_f ,\\ \beta_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(34 C_A^2 - 10 C_A n_f \right) - 2 C_F n_f ,\\ \beta_2 &= -\frac{1415 C_A^2 n_f}{54} + \frac{2857 C_A^3}{54} - \frac{205 C_A C_F n_f}{18} + \frac{79 C_A n_f^2}{54} + C_F^2 n_f + \frac{11 C_F n_f^2}{9} \end{split}$$

RESULTS AND IR STRUCTURE FOR $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ **@ 3 LOOPS**

IR structure instead is very non trivial: first verification of quadrupole structure in QCD

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{fin}}(\epsilon,\{p\}) &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(\epsilon,\{p\},\mu) \ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}(\epsilon,\{p\}) & \Gamma(\{p\},\mu) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{dipole}}(\{p\},\mu) + \Delta_{4}(\{p\}) \\ \mathcal{Z}(\epsilon,\{p\},\mu) &= \mathbb{P}\exp\left[\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu'}{\mu'} \Gamma(\{p\},\mu')\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{4\pi}\right)^{n} \mathcal{Z}_{n} & \Delta_{4}(\{p\}) = \sum_{L=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{4\pi}\right)^{L} \ \Delta_{4}^{(L)}(\{p\}) \\ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{fin}}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(1)} - \mathcal{I}_{1} \ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(0)} , & \mathcal{I}_{1} = \mathcal{Z}_{1} , \\ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{fin}}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(2)} - \mathcal{I}_{2} \ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(0)} - \mathcal{I}_{1} \ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(1)} - \mathcal{I}_{1} \ \mathcal{H}_{i,\,\mathrm{ren}}^{(2)} , & \mathcal{I}_{3} = \mathcal{Z}_{3} - 2\mathcal{Z}_{1}\mathcal{Z}_{2} + \mathcal{Z}_{1}^{3} + \Delta_{4}^{(3)} \end{aligned}$$

NUMERICAL RESULTS

Extremely compact results (~ 100 kb)

Expressed in terms of **simple functions**

14 classical polylogs

 $Li_n(r(x))$



0.02 $- (\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi})^3 \operatorname{Re}[\mathcal{H}_1^{[2],(3)}]$

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.01

0.00

-0.01

0.0

9 extra MPLs

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Li}_{3,2}(x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{3,2}(1-x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{3,2}(1,x), \\ & \operatorname{Li}_{3,3}(x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{3,3}(1-x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{3,3}(x/(x-1),1), \\ & \operatorname{Li}_{4,2}(x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{4,2}(1-x,1), \ \operatorname{Li}_{2,2,2}(x,1,1), \end{split}$$



Evaluated numerically fast and reliably

CONCLUSIONS

- ► N3LO for 2->2 processes is the frontier in QCD
- Handling complexity requires advances in all directions: IR and Amplitudes
- First step is results for 3 loop amplitudes. Di-jet production provides us also with first glimpse on new IR structures in QCD
- Require many new tools: new methods to extract helicity amplitudes, finite field arithmetic, modern techniques to manipulate special functions
- Results are extremely simple!
- ► N3LO journey ready to begin?