S-MATRIX APPROACH TO HADRON GAS

POK MAN LO

University of Wroclaw

HELMHOLTZ INTERNATIONAL SUMMER SCHOOL 20 AUG-02 SEPT 2017, DUBNA, RUSSIA

CONTENT

- QCD equation of state
- S-matrix approach to broad resonances
- extension to N-body

QCD EQUATION OF STATE

HADRON RESONANCE GAS MODEL

Confinement



 $Z = \sum \langle \alpha | e^{-\beta H} | \alpha \rangle$ $\alpha = B, M$



HADRON RESONANCE GAS MODEL

• Ground states $\pi, K, P, N...$

- Resonance formation dominates thermodynamics
- Resonances treated as point-like particles

$$P = T \sum_{\alpha = M, B} g_{\alpha} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \mp \ln(1 \mp e^{-\beta\sqrt{k^{2} + M_{\alpha}^{2}}})$$

an effect on the dissipative evolution; the frame describe these effects has been developedants+ME

be addressed in future work. Other rapidity dependent initial conditions are discussed in Ref. [36]

In Fig. 1 we show the prevent-by the initial energy per unit rapidit justed to reproduce pastible multi dynamic evolution. This and all for Au+Au collisions at RH100 checgies midrapidity. The best fit is given b (NBD) distribution, as predicted in framework [37]; our result adds fur previous non-perturbative study [Glasma NBD distribution (Hts) tions over RHIC and LHC energies that our picture includes fluctuation

We now show the energy densi transverse plane in Fig. 2. We com model and to an MC-Gomber mo reproduce experimental data [4.8] In the latte ery participant nucleon? A Gaussianer Hst. 1851-1851-1654 model.

triangularity ε_3 of all models. Final flow of hadrons v_n is to good approximation proportional to the respective ε_n [39], which makes these eccentricities a good indicator of what to expect for v_n . We define

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\sqrt{\langle r^n \cos(n\phi) \rangle^2 + \langle r^n \sin(n\phi) \rangle^2}}{\langle r^n \rangle},$$

(6)

with the plaquette given extremely unit is a combination of CMB data only <math>- Planck temperature The explicit lattice expression for the boast attained data plus high-resolution data from ACT tric field in the second termanansperfound inertifier search down adds BAO data from the SDSS. We note that the boost-in BOSS, 6 dF, and WiggleZksurveys. For comparison the last column glects fluctuations in the repodist the final nine-year resplts from the WMAP satellite, combined flow at mid-rapdity is donwithtedelsaufe BAO idata and high-resolution CMB data (which they transverse plane but flucturation GMB) a Universitaties have shown at 68% confidence. -2



d distribution of galaxies in the same corresponding times obtained by ni-analytic techniques to simulate ation in the Millennium simulation⁵ is weighted by its stellar mass, and ale of the images is proportional to n of the projected total stellar mass. atter evolves from a smooth, nearly tribution into a highly clustered state, the galaxies, which are strongly m the start.

particle data group July 2014 PARTICLE PHYSICS

y[fm]

Extracted from the Review of Particle Physics K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014) See http://pdg.lbl.gov/ for Particle Listings, complete reviews and pdgLive (our interactive database)

BOOKLET

Chinese Physics C

Available from PDG at LBNL and CERN

idence

 α

19/4/06 10:25:46 am

ICS

 \bigcirc

density is added. Its parameters are the same to ne 40 orders dienagestude. Ato the logice Sertin stelle destudent in stelle destudent account of observations of

density is added. The parameters are an expanded of the 40 orders dimages with the base of the standard and odel. We next determine the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to sense the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to somological parameter values. Further data releases from WMAP We next determine the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to somological parameter values. Further data releases from WMAP with binary compared to the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to somological parameter values. Further data releases from WMAP we next determine the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to somological parameter values. Further data releases from WMAP we next determine the participant entropy of the sense that the more luminous supernovae to some of the sense to some of is no parameter dependence of eccentricities and trian-1141

gularities in the Instance has management of the shown in Fig. 3. It is reassuring that both are close to those from the MC-Glauber model because the latter is tuned to reproduce data even though it does not have dynamical QCD fluctuations.

We have checked that our results for cause are inconsi







FLUCTUATIONS

 studying the system by linear response

$$\mu = \mu_B B + \mu_Q Q + \mu_S S$$

$$\chi_{B,S,\dots} = \frac{1}{\beta V} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{\mu}_B \partial \bar{\mu}_S \dots} \ln Z$$



 μ_B



 μ_Q



 m_q

FLUCTUATIONS

Baryon sector

$$P = T \sum_{\alpha = \mathcal{M}, B} g_{\alpha} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \mp \ln(1 \mp e^{-\beta\sqrt{k^2 + M_{\alpha}^2}})$$

or introduce the chemical potential

$$P = T \sum_{\alpha=B,\bar{B}} g_{\alpha} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \ln(1 + e^{-\beta\sqrt{k^{2} + M_{\alpha}^{2}} \pm \bar{\mu}_{B}})$$

FLUCTUATIONS

taking derivative

$$\chi_{B} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{B} \partial \bar{\mu}_{B}} P \quad \text{at the limit} \quad \mu_{B} \to 0$$
probes fluctuations
$$\chi_{B} = \frac{1}{\beta V} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{B} \partial \bar{\mu}_{B}} \ln Z$$

$$= T^{2} \langle \langle \int d^{4}x \, \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^{0} \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^{0} \psi(0) \rangle \rangle_{c}$$



Time: 0.10

red: Baryons blue: Mesons light: Antiparticles



yellow: strange mesons green: strange baryons

Central Au+Au 200 GeV/nucleon MADAI Simulation with UrQMD



Andronic, A. et al. Nucl.Phys. A904-905 (2013)







Courtesy of Brookhaven National Laboratory



TOWARDS REAL HADRON GAS

- Hadron contents in individual sectors
 - -> the case of missing strange baryons

• Question the assumption of HRG treatment for resonances: non-interacting and point-like.

Missing resonances in the strange sector



strange mesons to be discovered...



PML, M. Marczenko, K. Redlichand C. Sasaki Phys.Rev. C92 (2015) no.5, 055206

THERMODYNAMICS OF BROAD RESONANCES

unconfirmed light resonances in the strange sector





THERMODYNAMICS OF BROAD RESONANCES

- The κ meson has the right mass range.
- But it also has a broad width!

WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF RESONANCE'S WIDTH ON THERMODYNAMICS?

S-MATRIX APPROACH

R. Dashen, S. K. Ma and H. J. Bernstein, Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 345.





FORMULATION

• Starting point: hard-core potential in QM



r < a $V = \infty$ r > a= 0 $=\frac{j_l(qa)}{n_l(qa)}$ $\tan(\delta_l)$ $(\rightarrow \infty) \longrightarrow e^{iqr\cos(\theta)} + \frac{e^{iqr}}{r} \sum (2l+1) P_l \frac{e^{i\sigma_l}}{a} \sin(\delta_l)$

Momentum \boldsymbol{Q} enters through the scattering Schroedinger equation with a centrifugal term (*l* -dependence)

FORMULATION

$$\tan(\delta_l) = \frac{j_l(qa)}{n_l(qa)}$$

for small x = qa (near threshold)

$$\tan(\delta_l) \to \frac{-x^{2l+1}}{(2l+1)((2l-1)!!)^2}$$

$$\delta_l \propto (q a)^{2l+1}$$

(near threshold)

PHASE SHIFT AND DENSITY OF STATES

particle in a box

$$\psi \sim \sin(k^{(0)}x) \qquad k^{(0)} = \frac{n\pi}{L}$$



PHASE SHIFT AND DENSITY OF STATES

particle in a box

$$\psi \sim \sin(k^{(0)}x) \qquad k^{(0)} = \frac{n\pi}{L}$$

in the presence of a scattering potential

$$\psi \sim \sin(kx + \delta(k))$$

$$kL + \delta(k) = n\pi$$

$$\frac{dn(k)}{dk} = \frac{L}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\delta}{dk}$$

n=1

 a_s



phase shift and d.o.s. (schematics)



phase shift and d.o.s. (schematics)

S-MATRIX FORMULATION OF THERMODYNAMICS

$$\Delta \ln Z = \int dE \, e^{-\beta E} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \, \mathrm{tr} \left\{ S_E^{-1} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial E} S_E \right\}_c$$

R. Dashen, S. K. Ma and H. J. Bernstein, Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 345.
A SIMPLE TRICK

 $S_E = e^{2i\delta_E}$ $\frac{1}{4\pi i} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ S_E^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} S_E \right\}_{\mathcal{C}}$ $= \frac{1}{2\pi} \times 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr} \{ \ln S_E \}$ $\Delta \ln Z = \int dE \, e^{-\beta E} \times \frac{1}{\pi} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \, \mathrm{tr} \, (\delta_E) \, .$

E. Beth and G. Uhlenbeck, Physica (Amsterdam) 4, 915 (1937).

A SIMPLE TRICK

 $S_E = e^{2i\delta_E}$ $\frac{1}{4\pi i} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ S_E^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} S_E \right\}_{\mathcal{C}}$ $= \frac{1}{2\pi} \times 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr} \{ \ln S_E \}$ Generalised $\mathcal{Q}(E) \longrightarrow$ phase shift Generalised $B = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \mathcal{Q}(E) \longrightarrow$ spectral function

EXERCISE: QM SCATTERING OPERATOR

SCATTERING

The Quantum Theory of Nonrelativistic Collisions

THERORY

JOHN R. TAYLOR

show that

$$S_E = G_0^* G^{*-1} G G_0^{-1}$$

= $1 - 2\pi i \times \delta (E - H_0) \times T_E$
$$G = \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon}$$

Verify
$$\Delta \ln Z = \int dE \, e^{-\beta E} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ S_E^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} S_E \right\}_c$$

Alternative way to obtain the Beth-Uhlenbeck result!

ILLUSTRATION: S-MATRIX FOR RELATIVISTIC RESONANCES



$$\int d\phi_2 \, i\mathcal{M}_E = \frac{-i\,2\,E\,\gamma_E}{E^2 - m_{\rm res}^2 + iE\,\gamma_E}$$
$$= 2\,i\,\sin\delta_E\,e^{i\delta_E}$$
then...
$$\mathcal{Q}(M) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\left[\ln\left(1 + 2\,i\sin\delta_E e^{i\delta_E}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\ln e^{2i\delta_E}$$
$$= \delta_E \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_E = \tan^{-1}\,\frac{-E\gamma_E}{E^2 - m_{\rm res}^2}$$



HRG approx. $\delta_E = \pi \times \theta(E - m_{\rm res})$



FORMULATION

dynamical statistical (thermal weight)

$$\Delta P^{\text{B.U.}} = (2l+1) \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} B_l(q)$$

$$\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}T\ln(1+e^{-\beta E(k,q,m_i)})$$

$$E = \sqrt{k^2 + M(q)^2}$$

$$M(q) = \sqrt{q^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{q^2 + m_2^2}$$
$$B_l = 2\frac{d}{dq}\delta_l$$



PI PI SCATTERING (S-WAVE)









Chiral partners

 $\sigma \leftrightarrow \pi \qquad \kappa \leftrightarrow K$

NJL model offers a good description for low mass spectrum

 $m_{\sigma} \approx 2M_q$ $m_{\pi}^2 \approx -\frac{m_q}{f_{\pi}^2} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$

but fails to explain the threshold.

 $\sigma
ightarrow ar{q} q$ instead of $\sigma
ightarrow \pi\pi$

lack of confinement

?? to be cured by pion /
other loop corrections ??

P-wave

scattering length constrained by PCAC

Weinberg

Linear sigma model

 $U_{eff}(\sigma, \pi) = -\mu^2 (\sigma^2 + \pi^2) + \lambda (\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^2$



 $m_{\sigma} \neq 0$

• Linear sigma model

 $U_{eff}(\sigma, \pi) = -\mu^2 (\sigma^2 + \pi^2) + \lambda (\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^2$



 Width => particle can decay => existence of an imaginary part in the self energy

$$G(t) \propto e^{-i\Sigma_R t + \Sigma_I t}$$
$$|G(t)|^2 \propto e^{2\Sigma_I t} \Longrightarrow e^{-\Gamma t}$$

N.R.
$$\Gamma = -2\Sigma_I$$

- Width comes from interactions.
- illustration:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -g\sigma\phi_{\pi}^2$$



$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(k^{2}) = 2g^{2} i \times \int \frac{d^{4}l}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{l^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2} + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(l-k)^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2} + i\epsilon}$$

$$\mathsf{Dim. Reg.} = -\frac{2g^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \left(\frac{2}{4-d} - \gamma_{\mathrm{Euler}} + \ln(4\pi) - \ln\frac{\Delta(k^{2})}{\mu^{2}}\right)$$

$$\Delta = m_{\pi}^{2} - x(1-x)k^{2} - i\epsilon$$

$$\mathsf{develops an imaginary part if}$$

$$k^{2} \ge (2m_{\pi})^{2} \quad \mathsf{threshold}$$

$$\ln(-1) = \pm i\pi$$

$$\mathsf{Rel.} \quad \Gamma = \frac{-\mathrm{Im}\Sigma_{\sigma}}{M}$$



- Field theory knows about the kinematics and phase space
- Width arises from interaction
- Angular momentum dependence $\propto k^{2l+1}$





NJL description



box diagram

negative scattering length -> B.G.?









WHAT'S IN A NAME? THAT WHICH WE CALL A RESONANCES?

A resonance is MORE than a MASS and a WIDTH

$$\rho(770)^{[h]} \qquad I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{+}(1^{--})$$
Mass $m = 775.26 \pm 0.25$ MeV
Full width $\Gamma = 149.1 \pm 0.8$ MeV
 $\Gamma_{ee} = 7.04 \pm 0.06$ keV







BETH-UHLENBECK APPROXIMATION	
$\delta = -\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{Tr}\mathrm{ln}G_{\rho}^{-1}$	physical interpretation:
$B = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \delta$	contribution from correlated pi pi pair
$= -2 \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \ln G_{\rho}^{-1}$ $= -2 \operatorname{Im} [G_{\rho}](2E) + 2 \operatorname{Im} [\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\rho}}{\partial E} G_{\rho}]$	
$=>\rho_{\rho}(E)+\delta\rho_{\rho}(E)$	$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\rho}}{\partial E}$


M(GeV)















PI-N SYSTEM

PML, B. Friman, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, in preparation







COUPLED-CHANNEL PROBLEM

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \eta e^{2i\delta_I} & i\sqrt{1-\eta^2} e^{i(\delta_I+\delta_{II})} \\ i\sqrt{1-\eta^2} e^{i(\delta_I+\delta_{II})} & \eta e^{2i\delta_{II}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q(M) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} (\operatorname{tr} \ln S)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} (\ln \det [S])$$
$$= \delta_I + \delta_{II}.$$

 πN system

$$\pi N \to \left(\begin{array}{c} \pi N \\ \eta N \end{array}\right) \to \pi N$$
$$\pi \eta \to \left(\begin{array}{c} \pi N \\ \eta N \end{array}\right) \to \pi \eta$$



N-BODY SCATTERING

PML, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 no.8 533 (2017)

WHY N-BODY?

- EOS for dense system

 > need higher coefficients of quantum cluster / virial expansion (three-body forces, etc.)
- Explore the influence of N-body scatterings on heavy ion collision observables: pT-spectra, flow etc.
- phenomenology
 -> model S-matrix element instead...

RECIPE

Feynman amplitude

 generalized phase shift $Q_N(M) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\ln \left(1 + \int d\phi_N \, i \mathcal{M} \right) \right]$ $d\phi_N = \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_2} \cdots \frac{d^3 p_N}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_N} \times$ $(2\pi)^4 \,\delta^4(P - \sum p_i).$ phase space approach

PHASE SPACE DOMINANCE

$$Q_N(M) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\ln \left(1 + \int d\phi_N \, i\mathcal{M} \right) \right]$$

• structureless scattering

$$i\mathcal{M} = i\lambda_N$$

 $\phi_N(s) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 s} \int_{s'_-}^{s'_+} ds' \sqrt{\lambda(s,s',m_N^2)} \times \phi_{N-1}(s',m_1^2,m_2^2,...,m_{N-1}^2)$

TRIANGLE DIAGRAM

• 3-body diagram



Explicit calculation



$$i\mathcal{M}^{\Delta}(Q_{1},Q_{2},Q_{3}) = \int \frac{d^{4}l}{(2\pi)^{4}} \times (-i\lambda)^{3} \times iG(l) \times iG(l+Q_{1}) \times iG(l-Q_{2})$$

$$Feynman's trick + dim reg.$$

$$i\mathcal{M}^{\Delta}(Q_{1}^{2},Q_{2}^{2},s=P_{I}^{2}) = -i\frac{\lambda^{3}}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{0}^{1}dx\int_{0}^{1-x}dy\frac{1}{\Delta(x,y)}$$

$$\Delta(x,y) = m_{\pi}^{2} - x(1-x)Q_{1}^{2} - y(1-y)Q_{2}^{2}$$

$$-2xyQ_{1} \cdot Q_{2} - i\epsilon.$$

• to lowest order $Q(s) \approx \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\int d\phi_3 \, i \mathcal{M}^{\text{triangle}} \right],$

=> only need to deal with on-shell condition

$$k_i' = k_i$$

analytic result:

$$i\mathcal{M}^{\Delta,o.s.}(Q_1^2,s) = -i\frac{\lambda^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{z}{Q_1^2} \ln\frac{1-z}{1+z}$$
$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_\pi^2}{Q_1^2}}}.$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(s) \approx \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\int d\phi_3 \, i \mathcal{M}^{\text{triangle}} \right],$$

Limits:

$$s \to 9m_{\pi}^2 \qquad \mathcal{Q}(s) \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \lambda_3^{\text{eff}} \times \phi_3(s).$$

$$s \gg 9m_{\pi}^{2} \qquad \mathcal{Q}(s) \approx \frac{\lambda^{3}}{8192 \, \pi^{5}} \, \int_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d\xi \, (\frac{1}{\xi} - 1) \, \left[-z \, \ln \left| \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right| \right]$$
$$\approx \frac{\lambda^{3}}{4096 \, \pi^{5}} \times \left[1 + \ln \frac{\xi_{0}}{4} + (\ln \frac{\xi_{0}}{4})^{2} \right]$$
where
$$\xi_{0} = \frac{4m_{\pi}^{2}}{s}.$$



BOX DIAGRAM

4-body diagram



Explicit calculation



$$i\mathcal{M}^{\text{box}}(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, Q_{4}) = \int \frac{d^{4}l}{(2\pi)^{4}} (-i\lambda)^{4} \times i G(l) \times i G(l+Q_{1} + Q_{1} + Q_{1} + Q_{2})$$

$$\times i G(l+Q_{1} - Q_{3}) \times i G(l-Q_{2})$$
Feynman's trick + dim reg.
$$i\mathcal{M}^{\text{box}}(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, Q_{4}) = i \frac{\lambda^{4}}{16\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1-x} dy \times \int_{0}^{1-x-y} dz \times \left(\frac{1}{\Delta(x, y, z)}\right)^{2}$$

1

$$\mathcal{Q}(s) \approx \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\int d\phi_4 \, i \mathcal{M}^{\text{box,o.s.}} \right]$$

Limits: $s \rightarrow 16 m_{\pi}^2$

Im
$$(i\mathcal{M}^{\text{box,o.s.}}(q_1^2, q_2^2, s)) \approx \lambda_4^{\text{eff}}$$

 $\lambda_4^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\lambda^4}{256\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_\pi^4} \times (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ln (7 - 4\sqrt{3}) + 2)$ Negative!
 $\mathcal{Q}(s) \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \lambda_4^{\text{eff}} \times \phi_4(s).$
 $s >> 16 m_\pi^2$???

•



SUNSET DIAGRAM



$$\operatorname{Im} I \propto \frac{1}{2} \int d\phi_3 \, |\Gamma_{s \to \pi \pi \pi}|^2$$

SUMMARY

 change in density of state / time delay due to interaction

 $2\frac{d\delta}{dE}$

- S-matrix approach to thermodynamics
- Extend to N-body with phase space expansion

TO DO LIST...

- Exotics, cusp effects ...
- For dense(r) medium

END OF LECTURE I & II

LECTURE III

INTRODUCTION TO FUNCTIONAL METHOD AND SCHWINGER DYSON EQUATIONS

ON THE BOARD...

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4$$

$$Z[j(x)] = \int D\phi \, e^{i \int (\mathcal{L} + j(x)\phi(x))}$$

 $W[j] = -i \ln Z[j]$

$$\Gamma[\phi] = W - \int j\phi$$

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Master equation

$$0 = \int D\phi \, \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi} e^{i \int (\mathcal{L} + j\phi)}$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} + j\right) Z[j] = 0$$
 with $\phi \to -i\frac{\delta}{\delta j}$

 $\left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} + j\right)I = 0$ with $\phi \to -i\frac{\delta}{\delta j} + \frac{\delta W}{\delta j}$

 $\left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} + j\right) I = 0 \qquad \text{with} \qquad \phi \to \int i G \, \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} + \phi$
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

$$G^{-1} = -(\partial^2 + m^2)\delta - \frac{\lambda}{2}iG(x,x)\delta + -\frac{\lambda}{6}\int G(x,z)G(x,z)G(x,z)\Gamma_4(z,z,z,y)$$



THANK YOU

T-MATRIX REPRESENTATION

$$\frac{1}{4i} \operatorname{tr} \left[S^{-1} \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\partial}_{\partial E} S \right]_{c} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\partial \delta_{E}}{\partial E}$$
$$\frac{1}{4i} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \operatorname{tr} \left[T + T^{\dagger} \right]_{c} \longleftrightarrow (1 - 2\sin^{2} \delta_{E}) \times \frac{\partial \delta_{E}}{\partial E}$$
$$\frac{1}{4i} \operatorname{tr} \left(T^{\dagger} \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\partial}_{E} T \right)_{c} \longleftrightarrow 2\sin^{2} \delta_{E} \times \frac{\partial \delta_{E}}{\partial E}.$$

Landau Lifshitz classification

T-MATRIX REPRESENTATION

 $B(M) = A(M) + \delta\rho(M).$

$$B(M) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M} Q(M)$$

$$A(M) = -2M \frac{\sin 2Q(M)}{M^2 - \bar{m}_{\rm res}^2}$$

Modern

T-MATRIX REPRESENTATION

