Centrality determination in MPD at NICA

AYSS-2021

Pedro Antonio Nieto Marín
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, México
Dr. Alexey Aparin
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna



Centrality Determination / MC-Glauber Approach



Definition

Number of ancestors parameterization:

$$N_a(f) = fN_{part} + (1-f)N_{coll} \tag{1}$$

Negative Binomial Distribution ($\mu = M^{max}/N_a^{max}$):

$$P(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(k)} \frac{(\mu/k)^n}{(\mu/k+1)^{n+k}} \tag{2}$$

Glauber-based fit function:

$$F_{fit}(f,\mu,k) = \sum_{1}^{N_a} N_a \times P(N_{ch}) \tag{3}$$



Figure: Relation between the parameters f and k with their corresponding χ^2 with Bi+Bi collisions at 7.7, 9 and 9.46 GeV.

Parfenov, P., Idrisov, D., Luong, V., Taranenko, A. (2021). Relating Charged Particle Multiplicity to Impact Parameter in Heavy-Ion Collisions at NICA Energies. Particles, 4(2), 275–287. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/particles4020024

JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Definition

Centrality classes based on the multiplicity distribution:

$$c[\%] = \frac{\int_{N_{max}}^{N_i} \frac{dN_{ev}}{dN_{ch}} dN_{ch}}{\int_{N_{max}}^{0} \frac{dN_{ev}}{dN_{ch}} dN_{ch}} \quad (4)$$



JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Multiplicity selection

- $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c
- $|\eta| < 0.5$ and $|\eta| < 1.3$
- Only charged particles
- $N_{hits} > 16$
- Primary particles.
- $\sim 600,000$ reconstructed events in MpdRoot Framework.
- Bi+Bi collisions at 7.7, 9 and 9.46 GeV using UrQMD.







Multiplicity distribution



Figure: Comparison of the multiplicity distributions at the two η ranges ($|\eta| < 0.5$ and $|\eta| < 1.3$) at $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV.

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

b, N_{coll} and N_{part} vs centrality ($|\eta| < 0.5$)



Figure: Comparison of the relation of impact parameter, N_{coll} and N_{part} with centrality of the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV ($|\eta| < 0.5$).

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

b, N_{coll} and N_{part} vs centrality ($|\eta| < 1.3$)



Figure: Comparison of the relation of impact parameter, N_{coll} and N_{part} with centrality of the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV ($|\eta| < 1.3$).

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

$|\eta| < 0.5$ and $|\eta| < 1.3$ comparision



Figure: Pseudorapidity comparison of the relation of impact parameter with centrality at the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV.

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Centrality ranges comparison

- $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c
- $|\eta| < 0.5$
- 5% centrality range vs 1% centrality range.



JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Centrality ranges comparison



Figure: 5% and 1% centrality ranges comparison of the impact parameter vs centrality at the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV.

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

UrQMD model

- $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c
- $|\eta| < 0.5$
- Only charged particles
- $\sim 600,000$ events.
- Bi+Bi collisions at 7.7, 9 and 9.46 GeV using UrQMD test.f14 output files.





UrQMD model ($|\eta| < 0.5$)



Figure: UrQMD model and TPC results comparison of the impact parameter vs centrality at the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV ($|\eta| < 0.5$).

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

UrQMD model ($|\eta| < 1.3$)



Figure: UrQMD model and TPC results comparison of the impact parameter vs centrality at the three energies $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV ($|\eta| < 1.3$).

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Parameterization comparision

- "Default"
  $N_a(f) = fN_{part} + (1-f)N_{coll}$
- "PSD"
  $N_a(f) = f - N_{part}$
- "Npart"
  $N_a(f) = (N_{part})^f$
- "Ncoll"
  $N_{coll}(f) = (N_{coll})^f$
- "STAR"
  $N_a(f) = \frac{(1-f)}{2} N_{part} + fN_{coll}$



- Better agreement with STAR and Default (0-40%).
- Problem with PSD parameterization.

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Different models comparision

- $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/c
- $|\eta| < 0.5$
- $N_{hits} > 16$
- **DCA cut:**
  $|DCA| < 0.5$
- **"Default"**
  $N_a(f) = fN_{part} + (1 - f)N_{coll}$



- Obtain PHSD model to compare.
- Better agreement with **PHSD, DCA correction and cut,** $|\eta| < 1.3$.

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Future work

- Compare and explore Γ – *Fit* method.
- Finish analysis of new obtained reconstructed data from Bi+Bi collisions at 9.2 GeV.
- Compare results with other Monte Carlo generators (LAQGSM, PHSD and PHQMD) for Bi+Bi collisions at the four different energies (7.7, 9, 9.2 and 9.5 GeV).
- Fix PSD parameterization.

JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Thank you!

JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Backup slides

JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Abstract for AYSS-2021

We present a study of centrality class determination based on number of charged particles registered with Time Projection Chamber in Multi-Purpose Detector at NICA complex. Precise determination of centrality classes will allow to select the ion collisions within a certain class of initial conditions in order to study behaviour of some variables on the mean energy densities reached.
It will be important to study the QCD matter with respect to the geometric properties of the collision between nuclei, but these properties cannot be experimentally measured. Therefore, we compare in this work the centrality classes which are obtained using different sets of observables as proxies for centrality, They include the number of hits in the TPC and transverse momentum of registered particles in the TPC sub-detector for Bi+Bi collisions at $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 7.7$, 9 and 9.46 GeV, Data generated by several Monte Carlo models are used. Results are discussed.

JOINT INSTITUTE
FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH