



# REPORT OF THE SPD PROJECT LEADER AT JINR

Alexey Guskov, JINR

<u>Alexey.Guskov@cern.ch</u>

13.12.2021



# TASKS FOR 2021

- ► Interaction with SPD DAC
- ► TDR preparation
- Collaboration building

# **SPD DETECTOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE**



Andrea Bressan, INFN/ University of Trieste chair



Peter Hristov, CERN

Pasquale di Nezza, INFN, Frascatti ► Formed by the end of April

- ► 1st meeting: 26.5.21
- ► Questions & answers
- ► 2nd meeting 1.10.21

# MAIN CHANGES IN THE SPD SETUP CONCEPT

- Magnetic system outside ECAL
- No DSSD+MAPS combination for the vertex detector (rejected by DAC)
- No aerogel detector in barrel
- New DAQ concept

## FIRST PHASE OF THE SPD OPERATION

It seems, we will not have money for the whole detector at once. So, we should think about the minimal configuration of the SPD setup to start.

Absolutely needed: Range system, magnet and tracker.

It would be nice to have: BBC, ZDC, ECAL at least in one end-cap.

We need to put something to the central part instead of the silicon vertex detector to improve momentum reconstruction. Now we discuss 2 or 3 layers of Micromegas detector.

We need to compensate the absence of the ECAL for muon filtering.

During the first phase other groups continue R&D and construction work on their detectors.

# SPD TECHNICAL BOARD 2.12.21





# **EXPECTATIONS FROM POLARIZED INFRASTRUCTURE AT NICA**

#### Till 2028 NICA will have the following modes:

1) A-A

2) polarized p-p (spin transparency mode) with beam kinetic energy up to 3.75 GeV

3) polarized d-d (spin transparency mode) with beam kinetic energy up to 1.3 GeV

# When 2 Sibirian snakes will be installed in each ring (8-10 M\$) and electron cooling in booster, the following modes will be available:

- 1) Transversely polarized p-p with any beam kinetic energy up to 12.6 GeV
- 2) Longitudinally polarized p-p with beam kinetic energy up to 12.6 GeV with a step 0.5 GeV
- 3) Transversely polarized d-d at any energy till 6.3 GeV/u
- 4) Longitudinally polarized d-d at any energy till 4.2 GeV/u





### 2ND MEETING WITH SPD DAC: 1.10.21



## SPD DAC CONCLUSIONS

... of this and on the previous discussion we will propose the PAC to approve the SPD CDR and move forward to the TDR preparation.

We advise the collaboration to be more pro-active with respect to the NICA management, in particular for what concerns the decision/planning of the installation of the Siberian snakes needed by the experiment.

Looking forward to the TDR preparation, we anticipate that we will scrutinize deeply both the organization and the share of responsibilities within the collaboration, as well as the expected performance of the detector, the computing model and the software tools...

A. Bressan, 22.10.21

## **TECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT PREPARATION**

Presentation at the summer session of the PAC for Particle Physics: ~20.6.2022

All the materials should be provided 2 months before: ~20.4.2022

The TDR should pass through the Scientific & Technical Board(s) ~10.4.2022

### **TECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT PREPARATION**

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH





December 9, 2021

Technical design Report of the Spin Physics Detector

There is some internal draft.

. . . . . . . . .

More advanced drafts will be available in January

Draft version 2021.003 This draft is the internal document of the SPD collaboration and must not be shown in public!

- The general part is written and agreed by the participating institutions
- The Annexes containing specific obligations of each institution are under preparation
- ► We hope to start the MoU signing procedure in February-March of 2022

	VD	Straw	TOF	Aerogel	ECAL	RS	Magnet	BBC	ZDC	MM	DAQ+F	SlowC	Comp/soft	MC&phys
YerPhI, Erevan					?									?
IAP NAS, Minsk														
INP BSU, Minsk											?			
Chilie								?			?			
Tsinghua Univ, Beijing					?									
CIAE, Beijing														
InsTec, Havana														
Charles Univ., Prague														
CTU, Prague														
Cairo Univ, Cairo														
Turin Univ,, Turin														
WUT, Warsaw											?			
JINR														
Lebedev Inst, Moscow														
PNPI, Gatchina														
NPI MSU, Moscow														
ITEP, Moscow														
INR, Troitsk														
IHEP, Protvino														
Samara Univ., Samara														
SPbPU, St. Petersburg														?
SPbSU, St. Petersburg														
TSU, Tomsk														
BSU, Belgorod														
iThemba LABS, SA											?		?	
IoP, Belgrade Univ., Belgrade														?
KhNU, Kharkiv														
InSMA, Kharkiv														
SACLAY, Paris														?
INP, Novosibirsk													?	?

### SUMMARY

- ► We are in good shape.
- ► We have a long way to go. It will be difficult but interesting
- ► We are open for new collaborators.

