

One-loop divergences in the six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ hypermultiplet self-coupling model

Boris Merzlikin

Tomsk State Pedagogical University

Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries
Dubna, 2022

Aims:

- to study the gauge-invariant $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetric sigma-model in six dimensions
- to develop the manifest gauge invariant formulation of quantum corrections in the model
- to calculate the one-loop divergent contribution to the effective action
- to obtain the leading low-energy contributions to the effective action

The talk is based on:

A.S. Budekhina, BM, *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021).

The modern interest to $6D$ field theories is stipulated by the following reasons:

- ▶ The problem of describing the quantum structure of six-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories dimensionally reduced from superstrings and the connection of effective action for the D5-branes at low energies with maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in six dimensions. [N.Seiberg (1996), E. Witten (1996); N. Seiberg, (1997)].
- ▶ Lagrangian description of the interacting multiple $M5$ -branes is related to $6D$, $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supersymmetric gauge theory. The theory includes self-dual non-Abelian antisymmetric tensor and it is not constructed still (see e.g. reviews [J. Bagger, N. Lambert, S. Mikhu, C. Papageorgakis (2013), G. Moore (2012)]).
- ▶ Revised critical $O(N)$ behavior in six dimensions [L. Fei, S. Giombi, I. Klebanov (2014), S. Giombi, I. Klebanov, S. Pufu, G. Tarnopolsky (2020)] and UV completion of the $CP(N)$ sigma-model [J.Gracey (2020), H. Khachatryan (2019)].

- ▶ The six-dimensional gauge-invariant $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetric sigma-model possess by hyper-Kähler manifold as a target space and was studied in terms of physical components in [G. Sierra, P.K. Townsend, (1983)].
- ▶ The off-shell formulation of the model is possible using the harmonic superspace [A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitsyn, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, (1984)]. Classification hyper-Kähler metrics in such approach is provided in accordance with the analytic superfield self-interactions potential in general $d = 4, \mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet theory with self-interaction [A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, (1985)].
- ▶ The study $d = 1$ hyper-Kähler sigma-models with extended supersymmetry with hypermultiplet (see, e.g., [S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, A. Smilga, (2014), (2018)] and also for a review [A. Smilga, *Differential Geometry Through Supersymmetric Glasses*, World Scientific (2020)]) and tensor multiplet (see, e.g., [S. Krivonos, A. Shcherbakov (2008)] and [G. Moore, (2012)] for a review).

Our aim is to develop gauge invariant technique to study quantum corrections to the effective action in the theory.

P.S. Howe, G. Sierra, P.K. Townsend, (1983).

6D Minkowski space

- Coordinates x^M , $M = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$
- Metric $\eta_{MN} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$
- Proper Lorentz group $SO(1, 5)$

Two types of 6D Spinors

- Left $(1, 0)$ spinors ψ_a , $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$
- Right $(0, 1)$ spinors ϕ^a , $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$

Dirac matrices

- 8×8 Dirac matrices Γ_M ,

$$\Gamma_M \Gamma_N + \Gamma_N \Gamma_M = 2\eta_{MN}$$

- Representation of the Dirac matrices

$$\Gamma_M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\gamma}_M \\ -\gamma_M & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

- Antisymmetric 4×4 Weyl-type matrices γ_M and $\tilde{\gamma}_M$,

$$\gamma_M \tilde{\gamma}_N + \gamma_N \tilde{\gamma}_M = -2\eta_{MN}$$

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_M)^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} (\gamma_M)_{cd}$$

- Spinor representation of the vectors, $V_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^M)_{ab} V_M$.

6D superalgebra

- Two types of independent supercharges
 $Q_a^I, Q_J^a, I = 1, \dots, 2m; J = 1, \dots, 2n$
- $\mathcal{N} = (m, n)$ supersymmetry
- Anticommutational relations for supercharges

$$\{Q_a^I, Q_b^K\} = 2\Omega^{IK} P_{ab}$$

$$\{Q_J^a, Q_L^b\} = 2\Omega_{JL} P^{ab}$$

Matrix Ω_{IK} belongs to $USp(2n)$ group (R-symmetry group), $\Omega_{IK}\Omega^{KJ} = \delta_I^J$

- $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superspace, $I = i$, coordinates $z = (x^M, \theta_i^a), i = 1, 2$
- Basic spinor derivatives

$$D_a^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^a} - i\theta^{ib} \partial_{ab}, \quad \{D_a^i, D_b^j\} = -2i\Omega^{ij} \partial_{ab}$$

Harmonic superspace

4D

A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitsyn, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, (1984).

A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, *Harmonic Superspace*, (2001).

General purpose:

to formulate $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models in terms of unconstrained $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields.

General idea:

to use the parameters $u^{\pm i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) (harmonics) related to $SU(2)$ automorphism group of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra and parameterizing the 2-sphere, $u^{+i}u_i^- = 1$.

6D

P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle, P.C. West, (1985).

B.M. Zupnik, (1986); (1999).

G. Bossard, E. Ivanov, A. Smilga, (2015).

Note! Pure spinor approach to describe 6D SYM theories, [M. Cederwall, (2018)].

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ harmonic superspace

- $USp(2) \sim SU(2), I = i$ The same harmonics $u^{\pm i}$ as in $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry
- Harmonic $6D, (1, 0)$ superspace with coordinates $Z = (x^M, \theta_i^a, u^{\pm i})$
- Analytic basis $Z_{(an)} = (x_{(an)}^M, \theta^{\pm a}, u_i^{\pm})$,
 $x_{(an)}^M = x^M + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{-a} (\gamma^M)_{ab} \theta^{+b}, \quad \theta^{\pm a} = u_i^{\pm} \theta^{ai}$
 The coordinates $\zeta = (x_{(an)}^M, \theta^{+a}, u_i^{\pm})$ form a subspace closed under $(1, 0)$ supersymmetry
- The harmonic derivatives

$$D^{++} = u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} + i \theta^{+a} \theta^{+b} \partial_{ab} + \theta^{+a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{-a}},$$

$$D^0 = u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}} - u^{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} + \theta^{+a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{+a}} - \theta^{-a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{-a}}$$

- Spinor derivatives in the analytic basis

$$D_a^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{-a}}, \quad D_a^- = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{+a}} - 2i \partial_{ab} \theta^{-b}, \quad \{D_a^+, D_b^-\} = 2i \partial_{ab}$$

- Analytic superfields ϕ do not depend on $\theta^{-\alpha}, D_{\alpha}^+ \phi = 0$

Hypermultiplet and Vector multiplet in $6D$

- On-shell hypermultiplet:

$$q^+ = f^+ + \theta^{a+} \psi_a + \dots,$$

- On-shell vector multiplet:

$$V^{++} = \theta^{+a} \theta^{+b} A_{ab} + 2(\theta^+)_a \lambda^{-a} + \dots$$

The **action** for the model is

$$S_0[q^+, V^{++}] = \frac{1}{4f^2} \int d^{14}z \frac{du_1 du_2}{(u_1^+ u_2^+)^2} V^{++}(z, u_1) V^{++}(z, u_2) - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \left(\tilde{q}^+ D^{++} q^+ + i \tilde{q}^+ V^{++} q^+ + L^{(+4)} \right), \quad (1)$$

where the coupling constant f has the dimension of the inverse mass. The gauge transformations

$$\delta V^{++} = -D^{++} \lambda, \quad \delta q^+ = i \lambda q^+, \quad \delta \tilde{q}^+ = -i \lambda \tilde{q}^+, \quad (2)$$

with a real analytic gauge parameter $\lambda = \lambda(\zeta, u)$.

Gauge invariance restrict the structure of the potential

$$L^{(+4)} = L^{(+4)}(\tilde{q}^+ q^+).$$

Aim: construction of gauge invariant effective action, (see, e.g., [B.DeWitt (1965)]).

Realization:

- The superfields V^{++}, q^+ are splitting into the sum of the background V^{++}, Q^+ and the quantum v^{++}, q^+ superfields

$$V^{++} \rightarrow V^{++} + f v^{++}, \quad q^+ \rightarrow Q^+ + f q^+$$

- The action is expanding in a power series in quantum fields.
- The gauge-fixing function are imposed only on quantum superfield

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau^{(+4)} = D^{++} v_\tau^{++} = e^{-ib} (\nabla^{++} v^{++}) e^{ib} = e^{-ib} \mathcal{F}^{(+4)} e^{ib},$$

- Faddeev-Popov procedure is used. The effective action is constructed analogous to one in $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory [I.L.Buchbinder, E.I. Buchbinder, S.M. Kuzenko, B.A. Ovrut, (1998)].

The gauge fixing action for the 'quantum' field v^{++}

$$S_{\text{gf}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^{14}z \frac{du_1 du_2}{(u_1^+ u_2^+)^2} v^{++}(z, u_1) v^{++}(z, u_2) + \frac{1}{8} \int d^{14}z du v^{++} (D^{--})^2 v^{++} .$$

Introducing the covariant harmonic derivative

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} = D^{++} + iV^{++} + i\Psi^{++} \quad (4)$$

and use the notations

$$\Psi^{++} = -i \frac{\partial L^{(+4)}(\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)}{\partial(\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)}, \quad \Psi = \frac{\partial^2 L^{(+4)}(\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)}{\partial(\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)^2}. \quad (5)$$

We obtain the quadratic part of the action into the matrix form

$$S_2[Q^+; V^{++}] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \left(\begin{array}{c} q^+ \\ \tilde{q}^+ \end{array} \right) (\mathcal{D}^{++} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Q}^{++}) \left(\begin{array}{c} q^+ \\ \tilde{q}^+ \end{array} \right), \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{Q}^{++} is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{Q}^{++} = \Psi \left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{Q}^+ Q^+ & (Q^+)^2 \\ -(\tilde{Q}^+)^2 & -\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+ \end{array} \right) \quad (7)$$

We remove such terms by the change of the quantum hypermultiplet variables in the path integral (see, e.g., [I.L. Buchbinder, E.A. Ivanov, B.S. Merzlikin, K.V. Stepanyantz, (2017)])

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^+ \\ \tilde{q}^+ \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} q^+ \\ \tilde{q}^+ \end{pmatrix} - f \int d\zeta_2^{(-4)} \mathbf{G}^{(1,1)}(1|2) \begin{pmatrix} iQ^+ \\ -i\tilde{Q}^+ \end{pmatrix}_2 v_2^{++}. \quad (8)$$

The Green function $\mathbf{G}(1|2)$ satisfies the equation

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^{++} \cdot \mathbf{1} + Q^{++} \right) \mathbf{G}^{(1,1)}(1|2) = \delta^{(3,1)}(1|2) \cdot \mathbf{1}. \quad (9)$$

A formal solution of the equation (9) has a form

$$\mathbf{G}^{(1,1)} = \mathcal{G}^{(1,1)} \cdot \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{G}^{(1,1)} Q^{++} \mathcal{G}^{(1,1)} + \dots, \quad (10)$$

where the Green function $\mathcal{G}^{(1,1)}$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{G}^{++} \mathcal{G}^{(1,1)}(1|2) = \delta^{(3,1)}(1|2). \quad (11)$$

One-loop quantum correction to the classical action (1)

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}_{(3,1)} \ln \left(\mathcal{D}^{++} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Q}^{++} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}_{(2,2)} \ln \left(\partial^2 - 4f^2 \tilde{Q}^+ \mathcal{G}^{(1,1)} Q^+ + \dots \right),$$

reduced to

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = i \text{Tr}_{(3,1)} \ln \mathcal{G}^{++} + \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}_{(2,2)} \ln \left(\partial^2 - 4f^2 \tilde{Q}^+ \mathcal{G} L^{(1,1)} Q^+ + \dots \right). \quad (12)$$

For convenience, we introduce a notation

$$\mathcal{V}^{++} = V^{++} + \Psi^{++}. \quad (13)$$

Then we consider the non-analytic gauge connection \mathcal{V}^{--} by the rule

$$D^{--}\mathcal{V}^{++} = D^{++}\mathcal{V}^{--}, \quad (14)$$

which can be solved exactly in the abelian case

$$\mathcal{V}^{--} = \int du_1 \frac{\mathcal{V}^{++}(u_1)}{(u^+ u_1^+)^2}, \quad (15)$$

and introduce the gauge field strength \mathcal{W}^{+a}

$$\mathcal{W}^{+a} = -\frac{i}{6}\varepsilon^{abcd} D_b^+ D_c^+ D_d^+ \mathcal{V}^{--}, \quad \mathcal{W}^{-a} := \nabla^{--}\mathcal{W}^{+a}. \quad (16)$$

and the analytic superfield $\mathcal{F}^{++} = D_a^+ \mathcal{W}^{+a}$ with the properties

$$D^{++}\mathcal{F}^{++} = 0, \quad D^{++}\mathcal{W}^{+a} = 0, \quad D^{++}\mathcal{W}^{-a} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{W}^{-a} = D^{--}\mathcal{W}^{+a}$. Also we separate the contributions

$$\mathcal{W}^{+a} = \mathcal{W}_V^{+a} + \mathcal{W}_Q^{+a}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{++} = \mathcal{F}_V^{++} + \mathcal{F}_Q^{++}. \quad (18)$$

The divergent contributions from quantum hypermultiplet

$$\Gamma_{q, \text{div}} = \frac{1}{6(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d^{14}z du \mathcal{V}^{--} \partial^2 \mathcal{V}^{++} = \frac{1}{6(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (\mathcal{F}^{++})^2 \quad (19)$$

taking into account the connection of the variation $\delta\mathcal{V}^{++}$ with $\delta\mathcal{V}^{--}$

$$\delta\mathcal{V}^{--} = \frac{1}{2}(D^{--})^2 \delta\mathcal{V}^{++} - \frac{1}{2}D^{++}(D^{--} \delta\mathcal{V}^{--}), \quad (20)$$

and the properties (17) for the superfield \mathcal{F}^{++} we can rewrite (19) in the form

$$\Gamma_{q, \text{div}} = \frac{1}{6(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (\mathcal{F}_V^{++} + \mathcal{F}_Q^{++})^2. \quad (21)$$

The divergent contributions from quantum gauge multiplet reads

$$\Gamma_{v, \text{div}} = \frac{2if^2}{(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \tilde{Q}^+ \mathcal{F}^{++} Q^+. \quad (22)$$

Finally, we combine two contributions (19) and (22)

$$\Gamma_{\text{div}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (\mathcal{F}_V^{++} + \mathcal{F}_Q^{++}) (\mathcal{F}_V^{++} + \mathcal{F}_Q^{++} + 12if^2 \tilde{Q}^+ Q^+), \quad (23)$$

Let us discuss the final result (23). The classical equations of motion for the background superfields have the form

$$\mathcal{F}_V^{++} - 2if^2 \tilde{Q}^+ Q^+ = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^{++} Q^+ = 0, \quad (24)$$

where the covariant harmonic derivative \mathcal{D} was introduced in (4).

As an example, we consider the case [A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, (1985)]

$$L^{(+4)} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)^2,$$

$$\Psi^{++} = -i\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+, \quad \Psi^{--} = \int du_2 \frac{\Psi_2^{++}}{(u_1^+ u_2^+)^2} = -i\tilde{Q}^- Q^-. \quad (25)$$

The divergent contributions reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{div}}^{(1)}[Q^+] &= \frac{1}{6(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d^{14}z du \left(\tilde{Q}^- Q^- \partial^2 (\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+) + 16f^2 \tilde{Q}^- Q^- \tilde{Q}^+ Q^+ \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{14f^4}{3(4\pi)^3 \varepsilon} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (\tilde{Q}^+ Q^+)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The leading low-energy finite contributions in case of constant background is

$$\Gamma_{\text{lead}}^{(1)}[Q^+] = \frac{1}{32\pi^3 m^2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} (W_Q^+)^4 = 0. \quad (27)$$

However, in four dimensions the one-loop effective action of the hypermultiplet with gauge-invariant self-interacting term contains the divergent contribution of the following form

$$\Gamma_{4D, \text{div}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2\varepsilon} \int d^{12}z du \mathcal{V}^{--}\mathcal{V}^{++}. \quad (28)$$

and leading finite contribution

$$\Gamma_{4D, \text{lead}}^{(1)} \sim \int d^{12}z du \mathcal{W}^2 \ln \mathcal{W}^2. \quad (29)$$

- We developed the manifest covariant superfield approach to study the gauge-invariant $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ hyper-Kähler sigma-model in six-dimensions
- We calculate the divergent contribution to one-loop effective action and simply demonstrate that leading low-energy contribution do not appear in the model
- The procedure can straightforwardly be applied to the hypermultiplet models in divers dimensions
- The procedure can be generalized to the arbitrary number of hypermultiplets [I.L. Buchbinder, A.S. Budekhina, BM, *Eur.Phys.J.C* 82 (2022)]
- The question of the dimension reduction of the model from six dimensions to lower ones is open