

# Example of the 4-pt non-vacuum $\mathcal{W}_3$ classical block

Pavlov Mikhail (LPI RAS)

August 10, Dubna, SQS-2022



**Russian Science  
Foundation**

Based on work arXiv: 2205.06208 [hep-th]

## Motivation:

1. Explicit results for non-vacuum 4-pt blocks associated with the  $\mathcal{W}_3$  symmetry beyond known [Fateev, Litvinov, '07], [Fateev, Ribault, '11]
2. AdS/CFT in terms of Wilson lines

1.  $\mathcal{W}_3$  algebra and conformal blocks
2. Classical and heavy-light (HL) approximations
3. Monodromy method: BPZ equation
4. Monodromy method: fusion rules
5. Accessory parameter, functional arbitrariness fixing and the 4-pt block

The mode expansion of spin-2 and spin-3 currents is

$$T(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_m z^{-m-2}, \quad W(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_n z^{-n-3}. \quad (2.1)$$

The modes satisfy commutation relations

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{n+m,0},$$

$$[L_n, W_m] = (2n - m)W_{n+m},$$

$$[W_n, W_m] = \frac{c}{3 \cdot 5!}(n^2 - 1)(n^2 - 4)n\delta_{n+m,0} + \frac{16}{22 + 5c}(n - m)\Lambda_{n+m} + \frac{(n - m)}{30}(2m^2 + 2n^2 - mn - 8)L_{n+m}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Lambda_m = \sum_{p \leq -2} L_p L_{m-p} + \sum_{p \geq -1} L_{m-p} L_p - \frac{3(m+2)(m+3)}{10} L_m. \quad (2.3)$$

# The highest-weight vectors

A  $\mathcal{W}_3$  highest-weight vector  $|\Delta, Q\rangle$  is given

$$L_n|\Delta, Q\rangle = \delta_{n,0} \Delta|\Delta, Q\rangle, \quad W_n|\Delta, Q\rangle = \delta_{n,0} Q|\Delta, Q\rangle, \quad \text{where } n \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\Delta$  stands for the conformal dimension,  $Q$  denotes the spin-3 charge.

The  $\mathcal{W}_3$  module is spanned by the basis states

$$\mathcal{L}_{-I}|\Delta, Q\rangle \equiv L_{-i_1} \dots L_{-i_k} W_{-j_1} \dots W_{-j_p} |\Delta, Q\rangle, \quad I \equiv \{i_1, \dots, i_k; j_1, \dots, j_p\},$$
$$1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k, \quad 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_p. \quad (3.2)$$

The primary operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, Q}$  is defined as follows

$$|\Delta, Q\rangle = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta, Q}(z)|0\rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

In what follows, we will use a notation  $\mathcal{O}_1$  instead of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1, Q_1}$ .

## 2, 3-pt correlation functions

Similarly to the Virasoro algebra case, the global subalgebra of  $\mathcal{W}_3$  algebra (which is  $s\mathfrak{l}(3)$ ) imposes restrictions on correlation functions of primary operators. For example, the Ward identities associated with  $s\mathfrak{l}(3)$  fix 2-pt correlation function to be

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(z_2) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \delta_{Q_1, -Q_2}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{2\Delta_1}}. \quad (4.1)$$

In contrast, the Ward identities do not completely determine the 3-point correlation function which depends on an arbitrary function. However, the coordinate dependence is known

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(z_2)\mathcal{O}_3(z_3) \rangle \sim z_{12}^{(\Delta_3 - \Delta_3 - \Delta_1)} z_{13}^{(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3 - \Delta_1)} z_{23}^{(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1)}, \quad (4.2)$$

wherein  $z_{ij} \equiv z_i - z_j$ .

# The 4-pt conformal block

The conformal blocks decomposition of the 4-pt correlation function reads

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(z_2)\mathcal{O}_3(z_3)\mathcal{O}_4(z_4) \rangle = \sum_{p \in S} C_{12}^p C_{34}^p \mathcal{F}_W \left( z_i | \Delta_i, Q_i, \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p, c \right),$$
$$\mathcal{F}_W \left( z_i | \Delta_i, Q_i, \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p, c \right) \equiv \sum_I \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1(z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(z_2)\mathcal{L}_{-I} | \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p \rangle \langle \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p | \mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{O}_3(z_3)\mathcal{O}_4(z_4) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p | \mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{L}_{-I} | \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p \rangle C_{12}^p C_{34}^p},$$

$$C_{12}^p = \langle \Delta_1, Q_1 | \mathcal{O}_2(1) | \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p \rangle, \quad C_{34}^p = \langle \tilde{\Delta}_p, \tilde{Q}_p | \mathcal{O}_3(1) | \Delta_4, Q_4 \rangle, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_W \left( z_i | \Delta_i, Q_i, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q}, c \right)$  denotes a 4-pt  $\mathcal{W}_3$  block and  $C_{12}^p, C_{34}^p$  are the structure constants. The block depends on two arbitrary functions which are governed by

$$C_n = \frac{\langle \Delta_1, Q_1 | \mathcal{O}_2(1) (W_{-1})^n | \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q} \rangle}{\langle \Delta_1, Q_1 | \mathcal{O}_2(1) | \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q} \rangle}, \quad \tilde{C}_n = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q} | (W_1)^n \mathcal{O}_3(1) | \Delta_4, Q_4 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{Q} | \mathcal{O}_3(1) | \Delta_4, Q_4 \rangle}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

# The classical $\mathcal{W}_3$ block and HL approximation

In the classical limit  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , assuming all  $\Delta, Q \sim c$ , it has been argued that the block exponentiates

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_W(z_i) = \exp \left[ \frac{c}{6} f_W(z_i) \right], \quad (6.1)$$

where  $f_W(z_i)$  stands for the 4-pt classical block. One can define classical dimensions/spin-3 charges  $\epsilon, q$

$$\Delta = \frac{c\epsilon}{6}, \quad Q = \frac{cq}{6}, \quad (6.2)$$

which are finite at the classical limit. For the further needs, the additional approximation of heavy and light operators (HL) is considered. We assume  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 \equiv \epsilon$  and  $q_1 = -q_2 \equiv q$  and  $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4$  and  $q_3 = -q_4$  along with

$$\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon} \ll \epsilon_H, \quad q \ll q_H. \quad (6.3)$$



# The degenerate representation and BPZ equation

Consider a null-vector

$$L_1|\tilde{\psi}_3\rangle = L_2|\tilde{\psi}_3\rangle = W_1|\tilde{\psi}_3\rangle = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

Let  $\Psi_W(y, z_i)$  is a 5-pt block with the degenerate operator  $\psi_3(y)$  corresponding to the null-vector  $|\psi_3\rangle$

$$\Psi_W(y, z_i) \Big|_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \psi(y, z_i) \exp \left[ \frac{c}{6} f_W(z_i) \right]. \quad (7.2)$$

$\psi(y, z_i)$  satisfies the BPZ differential equation

$$\left[ \frac{d^3}{dy^3} + 4T(y, z_i) \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{2dT(y, z_i)}{dy} - 4W(y, z_i) \right] \psi(y, z_i) = 0, \quad (7.3)$$

$$T(y, z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left( \frac{\epsilon_i}{(y - z_i)^2} + \frac{c_i}{(y - z_i)} \right), \quad c_i = \partial_i f(z_i), \quad (7.4)$$

$$W(y, z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left( \frac{q_i}{(y - z_i)^3} + \frac{a_i}{(y - z_i)^2} + \frac{b_i}{(y - z_i)} \right).$$

A monodromy matrix is

$$\psi_a(\Gamma \circ y, z) = \psi_b(y, z) M_{ab}(\Gamma|z), \quad (8.1)$$

where  $\Gamma \circ y$  denotes a traversal along the contour  $\Gamma$ . One can be shown that solutions of the BPZ equation above must have the monodromy matrix (assuming for the sake of simplicity  $\tilde{q} = 0$ )

$$\tilde{M}_{ab}(\Gamma) = \exp[2\pi i \gamma_a] \delta_{ab}, \quad \gamma_1 = 1, \quad \gamma_{2,3} = \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\tilde{\epsilon}}\right). \quad (8.2)$$

when bypassing the contour  $\Gamma$  enclosing points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

The monodromy problem is to find solutions to the BPZ equation with the monodromy matrix above. This gives algebraic equations for the parameters  $a_i, b_i, c_i$ , solving which with respect to accessory parameters, one can obtain the block. Of course, this problem will be solved within the framework of the HL approximation.

# HL approximation: zeroth order

Here and after we consider slightly different form of the BPZ equation obtained by fixing  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (1, z, 0, \infty)$ , so  $\Gamma$  encircles points  $(1, z)$  It also leaves 4 parameters  $(c_2, a_1, a_2, b_2)$  independent. Hence the zeroth order BPZ equation has the form

$$D^{(0)}\psi^{(0)}(y) = 0, \quad D^{(0)} = \left[ \frac{d^3}{dy^3} + 4T^{(0)} \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{2dT^{(0)}}{dy} - 4W^{(0)} \right],$$

where

$$T^{(0)} = \frac{\epsilon_H}{y^2}, \quad W^{(0)} = \frac{q_H}{y^3}. \quad (9.1)$$

There are three branches of solutions

$$\psi_a^{(0)}(y) = y^{1+p_a}, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, \quad (9.2)$$

$$p_a^3 - \alpha^2 p_a - 4q_H = 0, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{1 - 4\epsilon_H}. \quad (9.3)$$

Monodromy matrices have the form

$$M_{ab}^{(0)}(\Gamma) = \tilde{M}_{ab}^{(0)}(\Gamma) = \delta_{ab}. \quad (9.4)$$

# HL approximation: first order

In the first order of the HL approximation, we have

$$D^{(0)}\psi^{(1)}(y) = -D^{(1)}\psi^{(0)}(y), \quad D^{(1)} = \left[ 4T^{(1)}\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{2dT^{(1)}}{dy} - 4W^{(1)} \right], \quad (10.1)$$

$$T^{(1)} = \frac{c_2(z-1) + 2\epsilon}{y-1} - \frac{c_2z + 2\epsilon}{y} + \frac{c_2}{y-z} + \frac{\epsilon}{(z-y)^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{(y-1)^2},$$

$$W^{(1)} = \frac{q}{(y-1)^3} - \frac{q}{(y-z)^3} + \frac{a_1}{(y-1)^2} + \frac{a_2}{(y-z)^2} + \frac{b_2}{y-z}$$

$$\frac{(2a_2z - a_2 - a_1 + b_2z(z-1))(z-1)}{y^2} - \frac{2a_2(z-1) - 2a_1 + b_2(z-1)^2}{y-1}.$$

Note that the BPZ equation in the first order is the inhomogeneous differential equation with the same left hand side as the zeroth order BPZ equation.

# HL approximation: first order - monodromy equations

The first-order solutions can be expressed in terms of zeroth-order solutions

$$\psi_a^{(1)}(y,z) = \psi_b^{(0)}(y) T_{ab}(y,z), \quad (11.1)$$

where elements of matrix  $T_{ab}$  are expressed in terms of functions  $T^{(1)}$  and  $W^{(1)}$ . Hence the monodromy matrix  $M_{ab}^{(1)}$  has the form

$$M_{ab}^{(1)} = \int_{\Gamma} dy T_{ab} \equiv I_{ab}. \quad (11.2)$$

Under the HL approximation, the eigenvalues of this matrix must be equal to the eigenvalues of the matrix  $M_{ab}^{(1)}$  which are given by

$$\tilde{M}_{ab}^{(1)} = \gamma_a^{(1)} \delta_{ab}, \quad \gamma_{1,2}^{(1)} = \pm 4\pi i \tilde{\epsilon}, \quad \gamma_3^{(1)} = 0. \quad (11.3)$$

Hence we have monodromy equations for the 4-pt non-vacuum block

$$l_{12}l_{23}l_{31} + l_{13}l_{21}l_{32} = 0, \quad l_{12}l_{21} + l_{13}l_{31} + l_{23}l_{32} = -16\pi^2 \tilde{\epsilon}^2. \quad (11.4)$$

# Fixation of arbitrariness and accessory parameter

The case  $\tilde{\epsilon} = 0$  was considered before [deBoer, et. al.]. For  $\tilde{\epsilon} \neq 0$  we have two equations for 4 parameters  $c_2, a_1, a_2, b_1$  which is a reflection of functional arbitrariness. Indeed, we remember that the block depends on two arbitrary functions. We fix functional arbitrariness

$$c_2 = c_2^{(v)} + c_2^{(n)}, \quad a_{1,2} = a_{1,2}^{(v)}, \quad b_2 = b_2^{(v)}, \quad (12.1)$$

where parameters with the superscript  $(v)$  correspond to the case  $\tilde{\epsilon} = 0$  and are known. Then, we find

$$c_2^{(n)} = \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2z} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} p_{ij}^{-1/2} \left( \frac{p_3^2 (z^{-p_{12}/2} - z^{p_{12}/2})^2}{p_{12}} - \frac{p_2^2 (z^{-p_{13}/2} - z^{p_{13}/2})^2}{p_{13}} - \frac{p_1^2 (z^{-p_{23}/2} - z^{p_{23}/2})^2}{p_{23}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

# The block

One can find the block expanding the accessory parameter in  $q_H/\alpha^2 \ll 1$ .  
The block function has the form

$$f_W^{(n)} = \tilde{\epsilon} \left( f_0 + \frac{q_H^2}{4\alpha^4} f_2 + \dots \right), \quad (13.1)$$

where

$$f_0 = 2\text{Arcth} \left( z^{\alpha/2} \right),$$

$$f_2 = z^{a/2} \left( 3\alpha \log(z) \left( \frac{28(1-z^\alpha) + 3\alpha \log z}{(1-z^\alpha)^2} - 36 {}_3F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; z^\alpha \right) \right) \right) +$$
$$27\Phi \left( z^\alpha, 3, \frac{1}{2} \right) + 8 + 27\alpha^2 \log^2 z \text{Arcth} \left( z^{\alpha/2} \right) + 8z^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \frac{9\alpha^2 z^{\frac{3\alpha}{2}} \log^2 z}{(z^\alpha - 1)^2}.$$

Here  $\Phi(x,s,a)$  denotes the Lerch transcendent function

$$\Phi(x,s,a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(a+k)^s}.$$

Thank you for your attention!



# Towards arbitrariness in the case of the 3-pt correlation function

The differential realization of  $\mathcal{W}_3$  includes two additional operators  $\hat{W}_{-1}\mathcal{O}(z)$  and  $\hat{W}_{-2}\mathcal{O}(z)$  [Watts:'94]

$$\mathcal{L}_m\mathcal{O}(z) = (z^{m+1}\partial_z + \Delta(m+1)z^m)\mathcal{O}(z),$$

$$\mathcal{W}_n\mathcal{O}(z) = \left( \frac{Q}{2}(m+2)(m+1)z^m + (m+2)z^{m+1}\hat{W}_{-1} + z^{m+2}\hat{W}_{-2} \right) \mathcal{O}(z). \quad (15.1)$$

Then, the 3-pt correlation function depends on nine variables: coordinates  $z_j$  and the operators  $\hat{W}_{-1}^{(j)}, \hat{W}_{-2}^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, 3$ . So 8 Ward identities are not enough to completely eliminate functional arbitrariness.

The 4-pt vacuum conformal block has the form [deBoer '14, Hegde '15]

$$f_W^{(v)}(z) = \frac{(3q - \epsilon)}{2} \log \left( p_3 (2z^{2p_2+p_3} - z^{p_2+2p_3} - 1) + p_2 (z^{2p_2+p_3} - 2z^{p_2+2p_3} + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(\epsilon + 3q)}{2} \left( -\log \left( p_3 \left( z^{p_2} - 2z^{p_3} + z^{2(p_2+p_3)} \right) - p_2 \left( z^{2(p_2+p_3)} - 2z^{p_2+p_3} + z^{p_2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \left. - \epsilon(1 + p_1) \log z \right).$$