Prospects of constraining the dense matter equation of state from observations and data analysis of radio pulsars in binaries

Manjari Bagchi

Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai, India

September 28, 2017

Why pulsars?



Extra contribution in $\dot{\varpi}$ might be useful to constrain EoS via measurement of $\mathit{I}_{\rm NS}.$



3 / 28



Neutron Stars as Radio Pulsars



Isolated



Binary (~241) (i) NS-low mass (28) (ii) NS-MS (~2)

(iii) NS-WD (~200)

(iv) NS-NS (11+4)

(v) NS-BH (0)

Lon T white and Attrins biological attrins biologic

Triple (2) NS-WD-WD (1) <u>NS-</u>WD-planet (1)

- Discover a pulsar, confirm it you know $P_{\rm spin}$, DM, RA, DEC.
- Start to time it measure/imporve $P_{\rm spin}$, $\dot{P}_{\rm spin}$ DM, RA, DEC.
- Other properties: glitch, null, emission in other wavelength, proper motion, etc.
- Keep on timing (record 'Time of Arrival' of pulses) you can measure classical (Keplerian) orbital parameters and post-Keplerian parameters (effects of GR).
- Testing theories of gravity, constraining dense matter equation of state, detecting low-frequency gravitational waves, etc.

- * We measure $t_{i,earth} = t_{i,pulsar} + d_i/c$
 - motion of the earth modelled (baycentering), motion of the pulsar remains
 - Keplerian orbital parameters: e, P_b , $a_p \sin i$, ϖ
 - GR (and other extra) effects are taken care of as PK parameters



(Science 320 (2008) 1309.)



[In some literature $\varpi = \omega + \Omega$]



first *indirect* detection of gravitational wave (PSR B1913+16 by Hulse & Taylor, 1975; Nobel prize in 1993)



$$s = \sin i$$
, $r = \frac{Gm_c}{c^3}$

Einstein Delay (γ): general relativistic time dilation

Clocks that are far from massive bodies run more quickly, and clocks close to massive bodies run more slowly.

So there would be a difference between two clocks - one at the pulsar and another on earth (Einstein delay for isolated pulsars) – for a binary pulsar, the clock on the pulsar will be affected by the companion also!

Good timing solution: best match of observed and predicted ToAs.

We get: e, P_b , $a_p \sin i$, ω ; $\dot{\varpi}$, \dot{P}_b , r, s, γ (fit parameters)

$$\dot{\varpi} = 3T_{\odot}^{2/3} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-5/3} \frac{1}{1-e^2} \left(m_p + m_c\right)^{2/3} \qquad m_c = f1(P_b, e, m_p, \dot{\varpi})$$

$$\gamma = T_{\odot}^{2/3} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{1/3} e \frac{m_c(m_p + 2m_c)}{(m_p + m_c)^{4/3}} \qquad m_c = f2(P_b, e, m_p, \gamma)$$
$$r = T_{\odot} m_c \qquad m_c = r/T_{\odot}$$

$$s = \sin i = T_{\odot}^{-1/3} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-2/3} \mathcal{X} \frac{(m_p + m_c)^{2/3}}{m_c}$$

$$m_c = f4(P_b, e, m_p, \mathcal{X}, s)$$

where
$$\mathcal{X} = rac{a_p \sin j}{c}$$
, $\mathcal{P}_b^2 = rac{4\pi^2 a_R^2}{G(m_p + m_c)} = rac{4\pi^2}{G(m_p + m_c)} \left[rac{a_p(m_p + m_c)}{m_c}
ight]^3$

$$\dot{P}_b = -rac{192\pi}{5} T_\odot^{5/3} \left(rac{P_b}{2\pi}
ight)^{-5/3} f(e) rac{m_p m_c}{(m_p+m_c)^{1/3}}$$

$$m_c = f5(P_b, e, m_p, \dot{P}_b)$$

$$f(e) = rac{1+(73/24)e^2+(37/96)e^4}{(1-e^2)^7/2}, \ \ T_\odot = rac{GM_\odot}{c^3} = 4.92540 \ \mu \mathrm{s}$$

Use of PK parameters (GR, 1PN)





Some extra terms in PK parameters

$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S_1} + \vec{S_2}$

Precession of the spin

 $\overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\mathrm{S}_{i}} = \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\mathrm{PN}_{i}} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}_{i}} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Qs}_{i}}$

 $\dot{\widehat{S}}_i = \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}} \times \widehat{S}_i$







Precession of the spin

Spin Precession of a pulsar can be measured!



Barker and O'Connell (1979) Gen. Rel and Grav.

$$\overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm prec} = \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm PN} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm LT_1} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm LT_2} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm Qs_1} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm Qs_2} + (\overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm Qt_1} + \overrightarrow{\Omega}_{\rm Qt_2})$$

$$\dot{ec{L}} = ec{\Omega}_{ ext{prec}} imes ec{L}$$

 $\dot{ec{A}} = ec{\Omega}_{ ext{prec}} imes ec{A} (ec{A} = ec{p} imes ec{L} - \mu k \hat{r})$

$$\begin{split} \vec{\Omega}_{\rm PN} &= \Omega_{\rm PN}^* \, \widehat{L} = \left(\Omega_{1\rm PN}^* + \Omega_{2\rm PN}^* + \ldots \right) \widehat{L} \\ \vec{\Omega}_{{\rm LT},i} &= \Omega_{{\rm LT},i}^* \left[3(\widehat{L}.\widehat{S}_i) \widehat{L} - \widehat{S}_i \right] \\ \vec{\Omega}_{{\rm Qs},i} &= \Omega_{{\rm Qs},i}^* \left[\{ 5(\widehat{L}.\widehat{S}_i)^2 - 1 \} . \widehat{L} - 2(\widehat{L}.\widehat{S}_i) . \widehat{S}_i \right] \end{split}$$

Precesion of the orbit:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{1\text{PN}}^* &= \frac{3 \, T_{\odot}^{2/3} \, \omega_b^{5/3}}{1 - e^2} \, (M_1 + M_2)^{2/3} \\ \Omega_{2\text{PN}}^* &= \frac{3 \, T_{\odot}^{4/3} \, \omega_b^{7/3}}{1 - e^2} \, (M_1 + M_2)^{4/3} \, f_{0j} \\ \Omega_{\text{LT},i}^* &= -\frac{\chi_i \, T_{\odot} \omega_b^2}{(1 - e^2)^{3/2}} \, \frac{M_i (3M_{3-i} + 4M_i)}{2(M_1 + M_2)} \\ \Omega_{\text{Qs},i}^* &= -\frac{q_{si} \, T_{\odot}^{4/3} \, \omega_b^{7/3}}{(1 - e^2)^2} \, \frac{3M_i^2}{4(M_1 + M_2)^{2/3}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi_i &= \frac{c}{G} \frac{S_i}{M_i^2}; \quad \text{for star: } S_i = I_i \omega_{si}; \text{ For BH: } \chi \leq 1 \text{ \&, } q_s = -\chi^2 \text{ (Thorne 1980)} \\ &\frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} = \omega_b, \ q_{si} = \frac{c^4}{G^2} \frac{Q_{si}}{M_i^3} = \frac{c^4}{G^2} \frac{I_i - I_{3i}}{M_i^3} \\ f_{0j} &= \frac{1}{1 - e^2} \left(\frac{39}{4} x_j^2 + \frac{27}{4} x_{3-j}^2 + 15 x_j x_{3-j}\right) - \left(\frac{13}{4} x_j^2 + \frac{1}{4} x_{3-j}^2 + \frac{13}{3} x_j x_{3-j}\right) \\ x_j &= M_j / (M1 + M_2), \ M_j \text{ is being observed. } i \text{ get summed over, but } j \text{ is only the psr.} \end{split}$$

Precesion of the orbit:

For $\widehat{L} \parallel \widehat{S}_i$:



$$\begin{split} \vec{\Omega}_{1\mathrm{PN}} &= \frac{3\,T_{\odot}^{2/3}}{1-e^2}\,\omega_b^{5/3}\,(M_1+M_2)^{2/3}\,\widehat{L} \quad \text{(conventional)}\\ \vec{\Omega}_{2\mathrm{PN}} &= \frac{3\,T_{\odot}^{4/3}\,\omega_b^{7/3}}{1-e^2}\,(M_1+M_2)^{4/3}\,f_{0j}\,\widehat{L}\\ \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Qs},i} &= -\frac{q_{si}\,T_{\odot}^{4/3}}{(1-e^2)^2}\,\omega_b^{7/3}\,\frac{3M_i^2}{2(M_1+M_2)^{2/3}}\,\widehat{L} \end{split}$$

Precesion of the orbit $(\widehat{L} \not\parallel \widehat{S}_i)$:

Damour & Schäfer, 1988, N. Cim. B, 101, 127; $\vec{\Omega}_{\text{prec}} = \frac{d\Omega}{dt} \hat{K}_0 + \frac{d\omega}{dt} \hat{L} + \frac{di}{dt} \hat{\Upsilon}$ $\dot{\varpi}_{\rm LT} = -\frac{3\beta_0^3 \omega_b}{1-2^2} [g_{s1}\beta_{s1} + g_{s2}\beta_{s2}]$ $\beta_{sj} = \chi_j = \frac{cl_j \omega_{sj}}{Gm^2} \qquad \beta_0 = \frac{\left[G(M_1 + M_2) \omega_b\right]^{1/3}}{C}$ $x_j = \frac{M_j}{M_1 + M_2}$ $g_{sj} = \frac{x_j \left(4x_j + 3x_{3-j}\right)}{6(1-e^2)^{1/2}} \times \left[\frac{(3 \sin^2 i - 1) \widehat{L} + \cos i \widehat{K}_0}{\sin^2 i}\right] \cdot \widehat{s}_j$ For $\hat{s}_i \parallel [(3 \sin^2 i - 1) \hat{L} + \cos i \hat{K}_0]$: $g_{sj, max} = \left[3 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 i}\right]^{1/2} \frac{x_j (4x_j + 3x_{3-j})}{6(1 - e^2)^{1/2}}$ For $\widehat{s_j} \parallel \widehat{L}$: $g_{sj,\parallel} = rac{x_j \left(4x_j + 3x_{3-j}
ight)}{3(1-e^2)^{1/2}}$ (matches with Barker - O'Connell)

difference: $\frac{1}{2} \left[3 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 i} \right]^{1/2} \in [1.7, 1.0] \text{ for } i \in [20^\circ, 90^\circ].$ $g_{sj} = 0 \text{ if } \widehat{s_j} \perp [(3 \sin^2 i - 1) \widehat{L} + \cos i \widehat{K_0}]$ $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Mesuring } \dot{\varpi}_{\rm LT} \mbox{ can lead to measurement of } I_{\rm NS} \mbox{ (present in } \beta_{\rm NS}), \\ \mbox{ which will eventually help to constrain EoS.} \end{array}$

NS-NS binaries are the best!

Why NS-WD (or NS-MS)systems are not good for $I_{\rm NS}$ determination (one reason):

For $\widehat{L}||\widehat{S}_j|$

$$\dot{\varpi}_{\rm Qt,j} = \frac{30\pi}{P_{\rm orb}} \left(\frac{R_c}{a_R}\right)^5 \frac{M_{3-j}}{M_j} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{3}{2}e^2 + \frac{1}{8}e^4\right)}{(1 - e^2)^5}$$

where j is the j-th object.

$$\begin{split} \dot{\varpi}_{\rm Qs,j} &= \frac{2\pi}{P_{\rm orb}} \left(\frac{R_c}{a_R}\right)^5 \, \frac{M_{3-j} + M_j}{M_j} \, \frac{P_{\rm orb}^2}{P_{\rm s,j}^2} \, \frac{k}{(1-e^2)^2} \\ Q_{sj} &= \frac{G^2 M_j^3}{c^4} q_{sj} = \frac{2}{3G} \, k \, R_j^5 \, \omega_{sj}^2 \qquad \text{(Lai, Bildsten, Kaspi, 1995, ApJ)} \\ R_{ns} &\simeq 10 \text{ km}, \, R_{wd} \simeq (7-14) \times 10^5 \text{ km}; \, (R_{ns}/R_{wd})^5 = 10^{-16} \\ \text{Difficult to know} \, P_{s,wd}, \, R_{wd}, \, k \dots \text{ and the isolate } Q_{sj} \text{ from } LT. \end{split}$$

Other reasons (i) NS-WD systems are less eccentric so difficult to identify the periastron and measure $\dot{\varpi}$, (ii) Longer P_b , smaller e

 $\widehat{L}||\widehat{S}_j|$ makes life simpler :)

NS-NS binaries in globular clusters are useless for $I_{\rm NS}$ mesurement, as they can be dynamically formed.

 $I_{\rm NS}(M_{NS}, EoS, P_{s,NS})$:



Bagchi, 2010, New Astronomy, 15, 126

 Ω in units of $10^4 \ s^{-1}$ (for the fastest pulsar $\Omega = 0.45 \times 10^4 \ s^{-1}$) Rapidly Rotating Neutron Star Code: http://www.gravity.phys.uwm.edu/rns/ $I_{\rm NS}(M_{NS}, EoS, P_{s,NS})$:



Bejger, Bulik, Haensel, 2005, MNRAS, 364, 635

Estimation of $I_{\rm NS}$ of a pulsar



\(\overline{\pi}\) should be large enough, so easy to measure and error is small.
 \(\overline{\pi}\) LT, p should be ≫ *\(\overline{\pi}\)* LT, c OR both known (satisfied for PSR J0737-3039A/B)
 \(\overline{\pi}\) LT, psr should be ≳ than the error in the observed value of *\(\overline{\pi}\)*

Best estimate of $\dot{\varpi}$ (PSR J0737-3039A/B): 16.89947 \pm 0.00068 deg yr⁻¹

$${\dot w_{
m 2PN} \over \dot w_{
m 1PN}} \sim 10^{-5} ~~ {\dot w_{
m LT\parallel,tot} \over \dot w_{
m 1PN}} \sim 10^{-6} ~~ (I_{NS} = 10^{45} {~\rm g} {~\rm cm}^2)$$

 $e = 0.0878, P_b = 0.1023 \text{ days}$

- PSR J0737-3039A/B is being timed
- Discovery of even a better system (higher e, shorter P_b) will be great
- Search for new pulsars
- Many ongoing pulsar surveys
- Arecibo drift-scan, uGMRT pilot survey (just started)



https://www.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/research/fundamental/pulsarsurveys

- PSR J0737-3039A/B is being timed
- Discovery of even a better system (higher e, shorter P_b) will be great
- Search for new pulsars
- Many ongoing pulsar surveys
- Arecibo drift-scan, uGMRT pilot survey (just started)

AO327: * 8 MSPs (binaries), 7 slow pulsars and 11 RRATs.

** One slow pulsar was found in the data taken during the observatory shut-down for hurricane Isaac in 2012.

*** Two MSPs are included in the NANOGrav set.

**** NS-NS with most asymmetric mass ratio (PSR J0453+1559; 2015, ApJ, 812, 143): $M_p = 1.559 \pm 0.005 \text{ M}_{\odot}, \quad M_c = 1.174 \pm 0.004 \text{ M}_{\odot}$



Rotationally excluded region is for the fastest psr Ter5ad (716 Hz; 1.39 ms)

 $M_{p} \sim 2.5 ~{
m M}_{\odot}$ $M_{p} \sim 1 ~{
m M}_{\odot},~P_{s} \sim 1 ~{
m ms}$

<u>SKA:</u>



1) mid (0.350-5 GHz) and high (5-14 (45) GHz) frequency antennas in South Africa

2) low-frequency (0.050-0.350 GHz) antennas in Australia.

uGMRT as SKA pathfinder:

30 antennas each of 45 metre diameter



uGMRT as SKA pathfinder:

30 antennas each of 45 metre diameter



Current Science, 2017, Volume 113, No 4.

** Real time transient detection pipeline in progress **

Neutron stars in X-ray: ASTROSAT



Neutron stars in X-ray: ASTROSAT



Summary:

• Pulsars are awesome!

- Come to India in 2020. Co-organiser Prashanth Jaikumar ex-faculty of IMSc.
- IMSc ... moderate size QCD group, small astrophysics group,
- Researchers in other institutes including some LIGO people interested in EoS.
- Thank you all ..
- Special thanks to David Blaschke