Strangeness production in nucleus-nucleus collisions at SIS energies

Compact Stars in the QCD Phase Diagram VI, Dubna 27.09.2017

Vinzent Steinberg in collaboration with Dmytro Oliinychenko, Jan Staudenmaier and Hannah Petersen







SMASH transport approach

- $\blacktriangleright\ 2\leftrightarrow 2$ and $2\leftrightarrow 1$ hadronic reactions
- 56 meson and 60 baryon species (+ anti particles)
 = most of established hadrons from PDG made of uds
- Modi: Nuclear collisions, infinite matter, afterburner for hydro
- Dileptons and photons
- ▶ Full ensemble: $N \rightarrow N \cdot N_{\text{test}}$, $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/N_{\text{test}}$
- Open source code will be published
- Test physics at SIS energies, baseline for future NICA/FAIR predictions

J. Weil et al. In: Phys. Rev. C94.5 (2016), p. 054905. arXiv: 1606.06642 [nucl-th]

Equation of state



SMASH hadron gas vs. UrQMD vs. lattice QCD

Collision finding

Geometric collision criterion (as used by UrQMD):

$$d_{\text{trans}} < d_{\text{int}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{\pi}} \qquad (1)$$

$$d_{\text{trans}}^2 = (\vec{r_a} - \vec{r_b})^2 - \frac{\left((\vec{r_a} - \vec{r_b})(\vec{p_a} - \vec{p_b})\right)^2}{(\vec{p_a} - \vec{p_b})^2} \qquad (2)$$

$$t_{\text{coll}} = -\frac{(\vec{x_a} - \vec{x_b})(\vec{v_a} - \vec{v_b})}{(\vec{v_a} - \vec{v_b})^2} \qquad (3)$$

▶ Products of same reaction are forbidden to collide again
 ▶ Grid with cell size √σ_{max}/(πN_{test}) for collision finding

Comparison to exact solution of Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation in curved spacetime

$$p^{\mu}\frac{\partial f(x,p)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + p_{\lambda}p^{\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu i}\frac{\partial f(x,p)}{\partial p_{i}} = C(f)$$
(4)

 Expanding universe with Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a(t)^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2})$$
(5)

- Infinite gas of massless particles with constant elastic cross section
- An analytic solution exists

D. Bazow et al. In: *Phys. Rev.* D94.12 (2016), p. 125006. arXiv: 1607.05245 [hep-ph]

Comparison to exact solution of Boltzmann equation



J. Tindall et al. In: *Phys. Lett.* B770 (2017), pp. 532–538. arXiv: 1612.06436 [hep-ph]

Hadronic interaction via resonances

- ▶ 106 hadron species ⇒ 10 000s of possible pairs (most cross sections never measured)
- \blacktriangleright Calculate 1 \leftrightarrow 2 cross section from resonance masses, decay widths and branching ratios
- Approximations: $M \rightarrow N$ by cascading $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and isospin symmetry
- Maintains detailed balance
- Problems: Branching ratios only sparsely known, some reactions not resonant, limited in energy
- Use measured elementary cross section to additionally constrain branching ratios

Cross section in SMASH

- Calculated from resonance masses, decay widths and branching ratios
- Parametrization of experimental data for non-resonant cross sections



Test detailed balance in a $\pi\rho\sigma$ box

- Initialize periodic box with pions
- Wait until it equilibrates
- Count and compare number of forward and backward reactions



Strangeness in heavy-ion collisions



- Strangeness produced during heavy-ion collision
 - \Rightarrow interesting probe for studying evolution of the reaction
- ▶ High ϕ , Ξ measured by HADES \rightarrow sub-threshold strangeness enhancement
- KN potentials? In-medium cross sections?
- Production mechanism in equilibrium (thermal model) and non-equilibrium (resonances)?

See Christoph Blume's talk at SQM 2017

Strangeness production via resonances in SMASH

- Kaons and 11 kaonic resonances (+ anti particles)
- Λ , Σ , Ξ , Ω and 28 resonances (+ anti particles)
- K^+ production ($Y \in \{\Lambda, \Sigma\}$):

$$NN \to NN^* / \Delta^* \to NYK$$
 (6)

► *K*⁻ production:

$$NN o N^* / \Delta^* \dots o Y \dots o Y^* \dots o ar{K} \dots$$
 (7)
 $\pi Y \leftrightarrow ar{K} N$ (8)

• Strangeness exchange (8) absorbs K^-

G. Graef et al. In: *Phys. Rev.* C90 (2014), p. 064909. arXiv: 1409.7954 [nucl-th]

Tuning branching ratios



Λ production



• Relevant branching ratios: $N^* \rightarrow \Lambda K, \pi N$

N+N+

Σ production



• Relevant branching ratios: $N^*, \Delta^* \rightarrow \Sigma K, \pi N$

ϕ production



- $pp
 ightarrow pp \phi
 ightarrow pp K^+ ar{K}^-$ only measured at theshold
- $\blacktriangleright~\phi$ production not well constrained by cross section
- Significant ϕ peak in p Nb dileptons
- Model ϕ production via $N^*(> 2000) \rightarrow N\phi$
- J. Steinheimer et al. In: *J. Phys.* G43.1 (2016), p. 015104. arXiv: 1503.07305 [nucl-th]

Particle production with forced thermalization



1609.01087 [nucl-th]

Forced canonical thermalization vs. cascade + hydro



Strangeness enhancement comparable to hybrid approach

Conclusion

- Elementary K, Λ, Σ, φ production at low energies can be reasonably modeled via resonances
- Dilepton data for p Nb constrains ϕ production
- Effective many-particle interactions by forced thermalization enhance strangeness production

Outlook



J. E. Bernhard et al. In: *Phys. Rev.* C94.2 (2016), p. 024907. arXiv: 1605.03954 [nucl-th]

- Future work: use Bayesian modeling for tuning branching ratios
- Higher energies require string fragmentation
- More detailed comparisons of resonance approach and forced thermalization are planned

Resonances in SMASH

Breit-Wigner spectral function

$$\mathcal{A}(m) = \frac{2N}{\pi} \frac{m^2 \Gamma(m)}{(m^2 - m_0^2)^2 + m^2 \Gamma(m)^2}$$
(9)

Manley-Saleski ansatz¹ for off-shell decay branching ratio

$$\Gamma_{R \to ab} = \Gamma^0_{R \to ab} \frac{\rho_{ab}(m)}{\rho_{ab}(m_0)} \tag{10}$$

$$\rho_{ab}(m) = \int dm_a dm_b \,\mathcal{A}_a(m_a) \mathcal{A}_b(m_b) \frac{p_f}{m} B_L^2(p_f R) \mathcal{F}_{ab}^2(m)$$
(11)

Post form factor² for unstable decay products

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}(m) = \frac{\lambda^4 + (s_0 - m_0^2)^2/4}{\lambda^4 + (m^2 - (s_0 + m_0^2)/2)^2}$$
(12)

¹D. M. Manley et al. *Phys. Rev.* D45 (1992), pp. 4002–4033. ²M. Post et al. *Nucl. Phys.* A741 (2004), pp. 81–148. arXiv: nucl-th/0309085.

Cross sections in SMASH

▶ $2 \rightarrow 1$ resonance production

$$\sigma_{ab\to R}(s) = \frac{2J_R + 1}{(2J_a + 1)(2J_b + 1)} S_{ab} \frac{2\pi^2}{p_i^2} \Gamma_{ab\to R}(s) \mathcal{A}(\sqrt{s})$$
(13)

▶ $2 \rightarrow 2$ resonance production

$$\sigma_{ab\to Rc}(s) = \sum_{I} \left(C_{ab}(I) C_{Rc}(I) \right)^2 \frac{|M|^2_{ab\to Rc}(s,I)}{16\pi} \times \frac{(2J_R + 1)(2J_c + 1)}{s p_i} \frac{4\pi}{p_{cm}^i} \int dm \mathcal{A}(m) p_f$$
(14)

 Can model most cross sections like this, some have to be parametrized instead

Modifying particle species and decay modes in SMASH

| | | | | | N(1440) | | |
|--|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|---|---------|
| | | | | | 0.60 | 1 | N |
| | | | | | 0.24 | 1 | |
| | | | | | 0.16 | 0 | N |
| # NAME 1 | MASS[GEV] WID | TH[GEV] PD | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | N(1520) | | |
| ######### unflavored mesons ########## | | | | | 0.65 | 2 | Ν |
| | | | | | 0.10 | 0 | |
| | 0.138 | 7.7e-9 | 111 | 211 | 0.10 | 2 | |
| | 0.548 | 1.31e-6 | 221 | | 0.15 | 0 | Ν |
| | 0.800 | 0.400 | 9000221 | | | | |
| | 0.776 0.149 113 213 | | | 213 | N(1535) | | |
| | 0.783 | 8.49e-3 | 223 | | 0.50 | 0 | N |
| , | 0.958 | 1.98e-4 | 331 | | 0.40 | 0 | Ν |
| f(980) | 0.990 | 0.070 | 9010221 | | 0.06 | 0 | N(1440) |
| | | | | | 0.02 | 0 | Ν |
| | | | | | 0.02 | 0 | Ν |
| ####### | ### N baryons | ######### | *########## | | | | |
| • | | | | N(1650) | | | |
| N | 0.938 0 | 2112 | 2212 | | 0.69 | 0 | Ν |
| N(1440) | 1.462 0.350 | 12112 | 12212 | | 0.10 | 0 | Ν |
| N(1520) | 1.515 0.115 | 1214 | 2124 | | 0.08 | 0 | К |
| N(1535) | 1.535 0.150 | 22112 | 22212 | | 0.01 | 0 | Ν |
| N(1650) | 1.655 0.140 | 32112 | 32212 | | 0.12 | 2 | Ν |
| N(1675) | 1.675 0.150 | 2116 | 2216 | | | | 22 / 19 |

Nucleus collision



Woods-Saxon distribution

$$\frac{dN}{dr} = \frac{\rho_0}{\exp(\frac{r-r_0}{d}) + 1} \tag{15}$$

Deformed nuclei

Fermi motion

Local density approximation

$$p_F(\vec{r}) = \hbar c \sqrt[3]{3\pi^2 \rho(\vec{r})}$$
(16)

- Sample momenta p_i from Fermi sphere in nucleus rest frame
- Boost Fermi momenta to calculation frame

$$p'_{iz} = \gamma(p_{iz} + \beta E_i) = \gamma p_{iz} + \frac{p_A}{A}$$
(17)

 Without potentials: Ignore Fermi motion for propagation until first interaction

Skyrme and symmetry potential

$$U = a\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} + b\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\tau} + 2S_{\text{pot}}\frac{\rho_p - \rho_n}{\rho_0}\frac{I_3}{I}$$
(18)

$$H_i = \sqrt{\vec{p}_i^2 + m_i^2 + U(\vec{r}_i)}$$
(19)

where

$$a = -209.2 \text{ MeV}$$
 $b = 156.4 \text{ MeV}$ $c = 1.35$ $S_{\text{pot}} = 18 \text{ MeV}$ (20)

- Nucleus-nucleus only
- Soft potential with incompressibility $K_0 = 240 \text{ MeV}$
- Makes nucleus stable despite Fermi motion

Pauli blocking

Collision integral in Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation

$$C(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_2}{E_2} \frac{d^3 p_1'}{E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2'}{E_2'} W(p_1, p_2, p_1', p_2') \times (f_1' f_2' (1 \pm f) (1 \pm f_2) - f_2 (1 \pm f_1') (1 \pm f_2'))$$
(21)

- Pauli blocking and Bose enhancement
- Reject reactions with probability

$$P = 1 - \prod_{\text{final state fermion } i} (1 - f_i)$$
(22)

26/19

Pion production in central gold-gold collisions



W. Reisdorf et al. In: *Nucl. Phys.* A781 (2007), pp. 459–508. arXiv: nucl-ex/0610025

- Yield overestimated, but ratio reproduced
- FOPI pion multiplicities sensitive to nucleonic potentials and Pauli blocking

Flow in gold-gold collisions at $E_{kin} = 1A \, \text{GeV}$



- Sensitive to parameters of nucleonic potentials
- Hard equation of state reproduces data best

W. Reisdorf et al. In: *Nucl. Phys.* A876 (2012), pp. 1–60. arXiv: 1112.3180

Analysis suite

- Extensive collection of tests for the model
- ► Fully automated, checked for every SMASH release
- Consistency checks:
 - Detailed balance: Check equilibrium in thermalized box
 - Elastic box: Comparison to ideal gas expectations
- Comparison to experimental data:
 - Angular distributions: *pp*, *np* at $\sqrt{s} \approx 2.5 \,\text{GeV}$
 - Elementary cross sections: NN, πN , $\pi \pi$, KN
 - ► FOPI pions: π multiplicites for $E_{kin} = 0.4 1.5A \,\text{GeV}$
 - Spectra: dN/dy and dN/dm_T for π and p in AuAu at $E_{kin} = 1.5A \text{ GeV}$ and CC at $E_{kin} \in \{1, 2\}A \text{ GeV}$
- Of interest to other models targeting NICA/FAIR energies?
- Systematic comparison of models?