- G.P. PROKHOROV<sup>1,2</sup>
- **O.V.** TERYAEV <sup>1,2</sup>
- V.I. ZAKHAROV<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (JINR), BLTP, DUBNA

<sup>2</sup> INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS (ITEP), MOSCOW

BASED ON WORKS: 2207.04449 2202.02168

"INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON QUANTUM FIELD THEORY, HIGH-ENERGY PHYSICS, AND COSMOLOGY", DUBNA, 18 TO 21 JULY, 2022 GRAVITATIONAL CHIRAL ANOMALY AND ANOMALOUS TRANSPORT

## Contents

- Introduction
- Hydrodynamics from the gravitational chiral anomaly:
  - Kinematical Vortical Effect (KVE)
- Verification: spin 1/2
- Verification: **spin 3/2** 
  - Rarita-Schwinger-Adler (RSA) theory
  - Gravitational chiral anomaly
  - KVE vs anomaly

## Contents

# PART 1

# INTRODUCTION



## GRAVITY IN FLAT SPACETIME: CHESHIRE CAT GRIN

"Well! I've often seen a cat without a grin,' thought Alice 'but a grin without a cat! It's the most curious thing i ever saw in my life!"

— Lewis Carroll, Alice in Wonderland



## GRAVITY IN FLAT SPACETIME: CHESHIRE CAT GRIN

"Well! I've often seen a cat without a grin,' thought Alice 'but a grin without a cat! It's the most curious thing i ever saw in my life!"

— Lewis Carroll, Alice in Wonderland



### MANIFESTATION OF THE GAUGE CHIRAL ANOMALY

Accounting for quantum field effects in hydrodynamic equations [D.T. Son, P. Surowka, PRL, 103 (2009) 191601]:

- Generalization of [L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshits, Hydrodynamics, vol. VI. Nauka, 1986]



In [Shi-Zheng Yang, et al. Symmetry, 2022] and [M. Buzzegoli, Lect.Notes Phys., 2021] it was shown that in the global equilibrium [F. Becattini. Acta Phys. Polon. B, 2016] :

$$\partial_\mueta_
u+\partial_
ueta_\mu=0$$
 ,  $\partial_\murac{\mu}{T}=-rac{E_\mu}{T}$ 

for a non-dissipative fluid, only the **current conservation** condition can be applied instead of the entropy non-increase law.

### MODERN DEVELOPMENT AND THE PROBLEM

A whole set of similar effects has been found at the intersection of quantum field theory and hydrodynamics [M. N. Chernodub et al. 2110.05471].

Experimental search is in progress:

- CME not yet found in ion collisions at RHIC [D.E. Kharzeev et al. 2205.00120].
- Condensed matter copies of the effects are found in semimetals [Qiang Li et al. Nature Phys. 12 (2016)].

### What about the **gravitational chiral anomaly**?

- A connection between the thermal chiral effect  $\mathbf{j}_A \sim T^2 \mathbf{\Omega}$  and the gravitational anomaly is predicted [K. Landsteiner et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 107:021601, 2011].
- However, the anomaly grows rapidly with spin [M. J. Duff, 1982]:  $\langle \nabla_{\mu} \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A} \rangle_{S} = \frac{(S - 2S^{3})}{96\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}$
- For the thermal CVE the linear dependence on spin [GP, O.V. Teryaev, V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D, 102(12):121702(R), 2020].

How does the **gravitationa**l chiral anomaly manifest itself in **hydrodynamics**? Can the analysis [D.T. Son and P. Surowka, PRL, 2009] be **generalized** to the case of **curved** space-time?

## Contents

# PART 2 HYDRODYNAMICS FROM THE GRAVITATIONAL CHIRAL ANOMALY

### DECOMPOSITION OF THE TENSORS

The classification of hydrodynamic effects in curved space-time needs the **expansion of** the tensors into components defined in the rest frame  $u_{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ :

Thermal vorticity tensor acceleration:  $\alpha_{\mu} = \varpi_{\mu\nu} u^{\nu}$ [M. Buzzegoli, E. Grossi, F. Becattini, JHEP, 10:091, 2017] vorticity:  $w_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u^{\nu} \overline{\omega}^{\alpha\beta}$  $\varpi_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\mu}\beta_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu}\beta_{\mu})$ **Covariant generalization Riemann tensor** In [L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifschits,  $A_{\mu\nu} = u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}$ **Course of Theoretical Physics**,  $\begin{vmatrix} B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\eta\rho} u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} R_{\beta\nu}{}^{\eta\rho} \\ C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\eta\rho} \epsilon_{\beta\nu\lambda\gamma} u^{\alpha} u^{\beta} R^{\eta\rho\lambda\gamma} \end{vmatrix}$  $R_{\mu
ulphaeta}$ **Vol. 2]** it is shown that it can be decomposed into 3 threedimensional tensors:  $A_{ik}, B_{ik}, C_{ik}$ 

- Transform to  $A_{ik}, B_{ik}, C_{ik}$  in the rest frame  $u_{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ .
- Have similar properties. In particular, we apply the condition (gravity is an *external* field):

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0 \, \square \, A_{\mu\nu} = -C_{\mu\nu} \,, \quad A^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 \,, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = B_{\nu\mu} \, \square \, 10 \text{ components}$$

### GRADIENT EXPANSION IN THE CURVED SPACETIME

The gravitational chiral anomaly has the **4th order** in gradients – it is to be related to the **3rd order** terms in gradient expansion of the axial current.

Hydrodynamic expansion of the axial current up to the **3rd order** in gradients:



### CONSERVATION EQUATION: SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

$$\nabla_{\mu} j_{A(3)}^{\mu} = (\alpha w) w^{2} \left( -3T\xi_{1} + T^{2}\xi_{1}' + 2T\xi_{3} \right)$$

$$+ (\alpha w) \alpha^{2} \left( -3T\xi_{2} + T^{2}\xi_{2}' - T\xi_{3} + T^{2}\xi_{3}' \right)$$

$$+ A_{\mu\nu} \alpha^{\mu} w^{\nu} \left( T^{2}\xi_{4}' + 3T\xi_{5} + 2T^{-1}\xi_{2} + T^{-1}\xi_{3} \right)$$

$$+ B_{\mu\nu} w^{\mu} w^{\nu} \left( -2T^{-1}\xi_{1} - 3T\xi_{4} - T\xi_{5} \right)$$

$$+ B_{\mu\nu} \alpha^{\mu} \alpha^{\nu} \left( T^{2}\xi_{5}' - T\xi_{5} - T^{-1}\xi_{3} \right)$$

$$+ A_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \left( -T^{-1}\xi_{4} + T^{-1}\xi_{5} \right)$$

$$= 32 \mathcal{N} A_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} .$$
Gravitational chiral anomaly

The coefficient in front of each pseudoscalar is to be **zero**  $\rightarrow$  the **system of** equations for the unknown **coefficients**  $\xi_n(T)$ .

### SOLUTION: KINEMATICAL VORTICAL EFFECT

The system of **equations** has the form:

The **solution** looks like:

(if there are no **dimensional** parameters other than temperature *T*)

$$\xi_1 = \lambda_1 T^3, \, \xi_2 = \lambda_2 T^3 \dots$$

•  $\lambda_3 = 0 \rightarrow \text{conservation of current in flat space-time [GP, O.V. Teryaev, V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D, 105(4):L041701, 2022].$ 

•  $\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{32} = \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{fixes the relationship of the axial current in an$ **accelerated**and**vortical**flow with a**gravitational**chiral quantum anomaly.

### GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY INDUCED CURRENT

Let's move on to the limit of **flat space-time**. Despite the absence of a gravitational field, there **remains a contribution** to the axial current induced by the gravitational chiral anomaly:

$$j_{\mu}^{A} = \lambda_{1}(\omega_{\nu}\omega^{\nu})\omega_{\mu} + \lambda_{2}(a_{\nu}a^{\nu})w_{\mu} \quad \bigtriangleup \quad R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$$
$$\frac{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}}{32} = \mathscr{N} \quad \bigtriangleup \quad \nabla_{\mu}j_{A}^{\mu} = \mathscr{N}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\lambda\rho}$$

- A new type of anomalous transport the Kinematical Vortical Effect (KVE).
- Does not explicitly depend on temperature and density → determined only by the kinematics of the flow.

### KVE AND UNRUH EFFECT

• It is possible to distinguish **conserved** and **anomalous** parts of the current:

$$\begin{aligned} j^{A}_{\mu} &= j^{A}_{\mu(\text{conserv})} + j^{A}_{\mu(\text{anom})} & \text{Thermal vorticity tensor squared } \omega^{2} - a^{2} = -\frac{T^{2}}{2} \varpi_{\mu\nu} \varpi^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \\ j^{A}_{\mu(\text{anom})} &= \mathbf{16} \mathscr{N} \left\{ (\omega^{2} - a^{2})\omega_{\mu} - A_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}a^{\nu} \right\} \Longrightarrow \nabla^{\mu} j^{A}_{\mu(\text{anom})} = \mathscr{N} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\lambda\rho} \\ j^{A}_{\mu(\text{conserv})} &= \frac{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}}{2} \left\{ (\omega^{2} + a^{2})\omega_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} A_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} B_{\mu\nu}a^{\nu} \right\} \Longrightarrow \nabla^{\mu} j^{A}_{\mu(\text{conserv})} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

• Consider the term with acceleration from the anomalous part of the current:

$$j^A_{\mu(\rm anom)} = -16 \mathscr{N} a^2 \omega_\mu$$

 $T_U = |a|/(2\pi)$ 

• Unruh effect [W.G. Unruh, 1976] – in an accelerated frame there is a thermal bath of particles with the Unruh temperature:

Substitute 
$$|a| \rightarrow 2\pi T_U$$
:  
 $j^A_{\mu(\text{anom})} = 64\pi^2 \mathscr{N} T^2_U \omega_\mu$  for spin  $\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow$  standard CVE  
thermal CVE current is proportional to the anomaly!

• Match with [K. Landsteiner, et al. PRL, 2011] and [M. Stone, J. Kim. PRD, 2018], where thermal CVE  $\mathbf{j}_A \sim T^2 \mathbf{\Omega}$  is associated with the gravitational chiral anomaly!

## Contents

# Part 3

# VERIFICATION: SPIN 1/2

## TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS AND ANOMALY: SPIN 1/2

In [GP, O.V. Teryaev, and V.I. Zakharov, JHEP, 02:146, 201 [V. E. Ambrus, JHEP, 08:016, 2020], [A. Palermo, et al. JHEP 10 (2021) 077] and for  $\omega^3$  in [A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev., D20:1807-1812, 1979] the following expression was obtained:

$$j_{\mu}^{A} = \left(\frac{T^{2}}{6} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} - \frac{\omega^{2}}{24\pi^{2}} - \frac{a^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}\right)\omega_{\mu}$$

KVF

 Comparing it with the well-known anomaly [L. Alvarez-Gaume, E. Witten, Nucl. Phys., B234:269, 1984]:

$$\nabla_{\mu} j^{\mu}_{A} = \frac{1}{384\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\lambda\rho}$$

We see that the formula is **fulfilled**:

Correspondence between gravity and hydrodynamics is shown!

• Keeping also the gravitational currents, we obtain:  $j^{A(3)}_{\mu} = \left(-\frac{\omega^2}{24\pi^2} - \frac{a^2}{8\pi^2}\right)\omega_{\mu} + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}B_{\mu\nu}a^{\nu}$ 

## Contents

## PART 4

# VERIFICATION: SPIN 3/2

### RARITA-SCHWINGER-ADLER MODEL OF SPIN 3/2

The **Rarita-Schwinger theory** – well-known theory of spin 3/2. But this theory has a number of **pathologies**.

Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics: Dirac bracket instead of Poisson bracket

$$[F(\vec{x}), G(\vec{y})]_D = [F(\vec{x}), G(\vec{y})] - \int d^3w d^3z [F(\vec{x}), \chi^{\dagger}(\vec{w})] M^{-1}(\vec{w}, \vec{z}) [\chi(\vec{z}), G(\vec{y})]$$
$$M(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = [\chi(\vec{x}), \chi^{\dagger}(\vec{y})]$$

There is **singularity** in a Dirac bracket in weak gauge field limit for RS-theory! **Doesn't allow to construct perturbation theory!** 

Solved in [Stephen L. Adler. Phys. Rev. D, 97(4):045014, 2018] by introducing of interaction with additional spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  field:

$$S = \int d^4x \left( -\varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda}\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi_{\rho} + i\bar{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\lambda - im\bar{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} + im\bar{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda \right)$$

## GRAVITATIONAL CHIRAL ANOMALY: METHOD OF CONFORMAL THREE-POINT FUNCTIONS

For a conformally symmetric theory, if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \,, \quad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{V} = 0 \,, \quad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{A} = 0 \,, \\ T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 \,, \quad T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu} \,. \end{array} \right\}$$

It is proven in [J. Erdmenger.Nucl. Phys. B, 562:315–329, 1999], that the three-point function  $\langle T\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}_{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}^A_{\omega}(z)\rangle_c$  has the **universal form**:

$$\langle T\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z)\rangle_{c} = \frac{1}{(x-z)^{8}(y-z)^{8}} \\ \times \mathscr{I}^{\mu\nu,\mu'\nu'}_{T}(x-z)\mathscr{I}^{\sigma\rho,\sigma'\rho'}_{T}(y-z)t^{TTA}_{\mu'\nu'\sigma'\rho'}{}^{\omega}(Z)$$

where the notations are introduced:

"6" – consequence of  $T^{\mu}_{\mu} =$ 

## GRAVITATIONAL CHIRAL ANOMALY: METHOD OF CONFORMAL THREE-POINT FUNCTIONS

On the other hand, there is a **gravitational chiral anomaly**:

$$\langle \nabla_{\mu} j_5^{\mu} \rangle = \frac{a}{384\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} R_{\rho\sigma}^{\kappa\lambda}$$

It has been proven that:

$$\mathscr{A} = a$$

- The anomaly can be determined by calculating the three-point correlator!
- The anomaly can be calculated from the correlator in **flat space-time!**
- **No need** to explicitly find a one-loop three-point momentum **divergent** graphs: everything is done in the x-space!

## GRAVITATIONAL CHIRAL ANOMALY: METHOD OF CONFORMAL THREE-POINT FUNCTIONS

We will consider the case of points on one 4-axis:

$$x_{\mu} = x e_{\mu} , y_{\mu} = y e_{\mu} , z_{\mu} = z e_{\mu}$$

Then the correlator should look like:

$$\begin{split} \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z) \rangle &= \mathscr{A} \left( 4(x-y)^{5} \\ \times (x-z)^{3}(y-z)^{3} \right)^{-1} e_{\vartheta} \left( \eta^{\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + \eta^{\mu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} \\ + \eta^{\nu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 6e^{2} (e^{\nu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} \\ + e^{\mu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\nu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} ) \end{split}$$

## GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY IN RSA THEORY: DIAGRAMS

Let's decompose all the operators depending on the set of the fields:

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \qquad \qquad \hat{j}^{\mu}_A = \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi} + \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A\bar{\lambda}\lambda}$$

Then the three-point function decomposes into **32 terms**:

$$\langle T\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z)\rangle_{c} = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + (31\,\text{terms})$$

However, many are equal to **zero** or depend on each other: there are only **4 independent** correlators.

A **typical** diagram (different SETs in the vertices):



## GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY IN RSA THEORY: CALCULATION DETAILS

As a result, we have for the independent correlators:

 $+9z(x+y) + 19xy - 14y^{2} - 9z^{2}) + (26x^{2} - 3z(x+y) - 49xy + 26y^{2} + 3z^{2})\eta^{\mu\rho}) + 2e^{2}e^{\nu}(14x^{2} - 19xy)$  $-9xz + 14y^2 - 9yz + 9z^2)(e^{\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega}) - 38e^2xye^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 18e^2xze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 28e^2y^2e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}$  $-18e^2yze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 18e^2z^2e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 26x^2\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 26x^2\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (26x^2 - 3z(x+y) - 49xy)$  $+26y^2+3z^2)\eta^{\nu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega}+49xy\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}+49xy\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}+3xz\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}+3xz\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}-26y^2\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}$  $-26y^2\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}+3yz\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}+3yz\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}-3z^2\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}-3z^2\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega})\,,$  $\langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_c = \frac{1}{4\pi^6 (x-y)^5 (x-z)^4 (y-z)^4} e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4 (y-z)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\omega} - \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2)^4) e_{\vartheta} (4\mathrm{e}^2 x^2 e^{\mu} e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\omega} - \varepsilon^{\vartheta\omega} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2) e^{\mu} e^{\mu} (2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2) e^{\mu} e^{\mu} e^{\rho} (-2x^2) e^{\mu} e^{\mu} e^{\mu} (-2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} (-2\mathrm{e}^2 e^{\mu} e^{\mu}$  $+3z(7x+y) - 17xy + 7y^{2} - 12z^{2}) + (10x^{2} + 7xy - 27xz - 13y^{2} + 19yz + 4z^{2})\eta^{\mu\rho}) + 2e^{2}e^{\nu}(2x^{2} + 10yz + 10$  $+17xy - 21xz - 7y^2 - 3yz + 12z^2)(e^{\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega}) + 34e^2xye^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 42e^2xze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}$  $-14e^{2}y^{2}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 6e^{2}yze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 24e^{2}z^{2}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 24e^{2}z^{2}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 24e^{2}z^{2}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 24e^{2}z^{2}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 10x^{2}\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu} - (10x^{2} + 7xy)ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}ze^{\mu}e^{\sigma}ze^{\mu}e^{\mu}e^{\sigma}ze^{\mu}e^{\mu}ze^{\mu$  $-27xz - 13y^2 + 19yz + 4z^2)\eta^{\nu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} - 7xy\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} - 7xy\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} + 27xz\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + 27xz\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}$  $+13y^2\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}+13y^2\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}-19yz\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}-19yz\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}-4z^2\eta^{\nu\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega}-4z^2\eta^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega})$  $\langle T\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(x)\hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y)\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z)\rangle_{c} = \frac{4e^{2}e_{\vartheta}(e^{\nu}(e^{\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega}) + e^{\mu}(e^{\rho}\varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + e^{\sigma}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}))}{\pi^{6}(x-y)^{3}(x-z)^{4}(y-z)^{4}}$ 

$$\langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_c = \frac{5}{2\pi^6 (x-y)^3 (x-z)^4 (y-z)^4} e_{\vartheta}(-2e^2 e^{\mu} e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} - 2e^2 e^{\nu} (e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\sigma\mu} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + \eta^{\sigma\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\sigma\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega}) .$$

Each term differs from what we need.

## GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY IN RSA THEORY: RESULT

Summing 9 correlators, we will obtain:

$$\langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z) \rangle_{c} = -19 \Big( 4\pi^{6} (x-y)^{5} \\ \times (x-z)^{3} (y-z)^{3} \Big)^{-1} e_{\vartheta} \Big( \eta^{\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + \eta^{\mu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} \\ + \eta^{\nu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 6e^{2} (e^{\nu} e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} \\ + e^{\mu} e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + e^{\sigma} e^{\nu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma} e^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} \Big) \Big)$$

#### Matches the form we want!

$$\langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z) \rangle = \mathscr{A} \left( 4(x-y)^{5} \\ \times (x-z)^{3}(y-z)^{3} \right)^{-1} e_{\vartheta} \left( \eta^{\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + \eta^{\mu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} \\ + \eta^{\nu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 6e^{2} (e^{\nu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} \\ + e^{\mu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\nu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} ) \right)$$

(points on the same axis)

We can determine the factor in the anomaly:

$$\mathscr{A}_{RSA} = -19 \mathscr{A}_{s=1/2} = -\frac{19}{\pi^6}$$

$$\langle \nabla_{\mu} \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A} \rangle_{RSA} = \frac{-19}{384\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}$$

**-19 times** different from the anomaly for spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

#### 22/27: Part 4

## GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALY IN RSA THEORY: RESULT

- How to explain the factor -19?
- How does it relate to previous calculations?

$$\langle \nabla_{\mu} \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A} \rangle_{RS} = \frac{-21}{384\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}$$
[M. J. Duff, 1201.0386] [J.J.M. Carrasco, et al. JHEP, 07:029, 2013]



### TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS: DENSITY OPERATOR

Zubarev **density operator** as a basis for the description of the **vorticity** effects:

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{-\beta_{\mu}(x)\hat{P}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\varpi_{\mu\nu}\hat{J}_{x}^{\mu\nu} + \zeta\hat{Q}\right\} \qquad \begin{bmatrix}\text{M. Buzzegoli, E. Grossi, and F.}\\ \text{Becattini. JHEP07, 119 (2018).}\end{bmatrix}$$

Perturbation theory in vorticity gives **KVE**:

$$\langle \hat{j}_5^{\lambda}(x) \rangle = \dots + \frac{\varpi_{\mu\nu} \varpi_{\rho\sigma} \varpi_{\alpha\beta}}{48|\beta|^3} \int_0^{|\beta|} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\tau_3 \langle T_{\tau} \, \hat{J}^{\mu\nu}_{-i\tau_1 u} \hat{J}^{\rho\sigma}_{-i\tau_2 u} \hat{J}^{\alpha\beta}_{-i\tau_3 u} \hat{j}_5^{\lambda}(0) \rangle_{\beta(x),c}$$

Let's again divide the operators depending on the set of fields:

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} & \text{Initially 5}^{\text{s} \text{ correlators } \rightarrow \text{ only } \\ \sim 90 \ 000 \ \text{are nonzero} \\ \sim 90 \ 000 \ \text{are nonzero} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Typical } \\ & \text{correlator to be found } \\ & \text{(4-point):} \\ \end{split} \quad \begin{aligned} & \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} & \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \\ & \hat{T}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2|\alpha_3\alpha_4|\alpha_5\alpha_6|\lambda|ijk} = \frac{1}{|\beta|^3} \int d\tau_x d\tau_y d\tau_z d^3x d^3y d^3z \times \\ & \hat{T}^{i}y^j z^k \langle T_\tau \hat{T}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(X) \hat{T}^{\alpha_3\alpha_4}(Y) \hat{T}^{\alpha_5\alpha_6}(Z) \hat{j}^{\lambda}_A(0) \rangle_{\beta(x),c} \end{split}$$

### **TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS: CORRELATORS**

The transport coefficients are expressed in terms of a combination of the correlators:

**Direct calculation** within the finite temperature QFT:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= -\frac{1}{6} \Big( C^{02|02|02|3|111} + C^{02|01|01|3|122} + C^{01|02|01|3|212} \\ &+ C^{01|01|02|3|221} - C^{01|01|01|3|222} - C^{01|02|02|3|211} \\ &- C^{02|01|02|3|121} - C^{02|02|01|3|112} \Big), \end{aligned}$$
  
$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= -\frac{1}{6} \Big( C^{02|00|00|3|111} + C^{00|02|00|3|111} + C^{00|00|02|3|111} \\ &- C^{01|00|00|3|211} - C^{00|01|00|3|121} \\ &- C^{00|00|01|3|112} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

$$\xi_{1} = -\frac{T^{3}}{6} \left( \frac{177}{80\pi^{2}} + \frac{353}{240\pi^{2}} \right) = -\frac{53}{24\pi^{2}} T^{3}$$
  
$$\xi_{2} = -\frac{T^{3}}{6} \left( \frac{33}{40\pi^{2}} + \frac{53}{80\pi^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} + \frac{3}{4\pi^{2}} + \frac{47}{80\pi^{2}} + \frac{17}{40\pi^{2}} \right) = -\frac{5}{8\pi^{2}} T^{3}$$

Cubic gradients (KVE) in the RSA theory:

 $j_{\mu}^{A(3)} = \left(-\frac{53}{24\pi^2}\omega^2 - \frac{5}{8\pi^2}a^2\right)\omega_{\mu}$ 

## TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS AND ANOMALY: SPIN 3/2 INTERACTING WITH SPIN 1/2

The obtained formula for **cubic gradients** (KVE):

$$j_{\mu}^{A(3)} = \left(-\frac{53}{24\pi^2}\omega^2 - \frac{5}{8\pi^2}a^2\right)\omega_{\mu}$$

Gravitational chiral anomaly:

$$\nabla_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{A} = \frac{-19}{384\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\lambda\rho}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{32} = \mathscr{N}$$

Direct verification:

$$\left(-\frac{53}{24\pi^2} + \frac{5}{8\pi^2}\right) / 32 = -\frac{19}{384\pi^2}$$

# Coincidence of hydrodynamics and gravitational anomaly!

- For the RSA theory, the relationship between the transport coefficients in a vortical accelerated fluid and the gravitational chiral anomaly is shown: the factor -19 from the anomaly is reproduced.
- Verification of the obtained formula in a very **nontrivial** case with higher spins and interaction.

## Contents

# Part 5

# CONCLUSION

### CONCLUSION

- The relationship between the hydrodynamic current in the third order of gradient expansion  $(\omega_{\nu}\omega^{\nu})\omega_{\mu}$  and  $(a_{\nu}a^{\nu})w_{\mu}$ , the Kinematical Vortical Effect (KVE), and the gravitational chiral anomaly has been proven:
  - The axial current in a flat space-time in a vortical and accelerated fluid turns out to be associated with a quantum violation of current conservation in a curved space-time.
- The obtained formula has been **verified** directly for **spin 1/2**.
- The obtained formula has been verified for spin 3/2 using the RSA theory:
  - Cubic transport coefficients were derived using the statistical density

operator expansion  $-53/(24\pi^2)\omega^3$  and  $-5/(8\pi^2)a^2\omega$ .

- $^\circ\,$  The gravitational chiral anomaly was found by the method of conformal three-point functions: the factor in the anomaly is  $-19/(384\pi^2)$ .
- Correspondence between the KVE and the gravitational chiral anomaly is directly shown  $[-53/(24\pi^2) + 5/(8\pi^2)] = -19/(384\pi^2)$ .

### Additional slides

$$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = u_{\mu}u_{\alpha}A_{\nu\beta} + u_{\nu}u_{\beta}A_{\mu\alpha} - u_{\nu}u_{\alpha}A_{\mu\beta} - u_{\mu}u_{\beta}A_{\nu\alpha} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}u^{\rho}(u_{\alpha}B^{\lambda}{}_{\beta} - u_{\beta}B^{\lambda}{}_{\alpha}) + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho}u^{\rho}(u_{\mu}B^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} - u_{\nu}B^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}) + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\eta\sigma}u^{\rho}u^{\sigma}C^{\lambda\eta}.$$

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu}\rangle = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{W}}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$$

$$\langle T\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z)\rangle_{c} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{-g(x)}\sqrt{-g(y)}\sqrt{-g(z)}} \\ \times \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)}\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(y)} \left(\sqrt{-g(z)}\langle\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z)\rangle\right),$$

# **Method of conformal correlation functions**

[GP, O.V. Teryaev, V.I. Zakharov. 2202.02168]

- There are various ways to calculate quantum anomalies.
- One of the methods:
  - [J. Erdmenger, H. Osborn. Nucl. Phys. B, 483:431–474, 1997] → Gauge chiral anomaly [J. Erdmenger. Nucl. Phys. B, 562:315–329, 1999] → Gravitational chiral anomaly
- It is shown that three-point functions have a **universal form**. In particular, for the function with the currents:

$$\langle T \hat{j}_V^{\mu}(x) \hat{j}_V^{\nu}(y) \hat{j}_A^{\omega}(z) \rangle_c = = -4\mathscr{B} \frac{I_{\mu'}^{\mu}(x-z) I_{\nu'}^{\nu}(y-z)}{(x-z)^6 (y-z)^6} \varepsilon^{\mu'\nu'\lambda\omega} \frac{Z_\lambda}{Z^4}$$

Designations introduced:

$$Z_{\mu} = \frac{(x-z)_{\mu}}{(x-z)^2} - \frac{(x-y)_{\mu}}{(x-y)^2}$$
$$I_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{x_{\mu}x_{\nu}}{x^2}$$

It will be so for a conformally symmetric theory, if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \,, \quad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{V} = 0 \,, \quad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{A} = 0 \,, \\ T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 \,, \quad T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu} \,. \end{array} \right\}$$

• Can this method be used to calculate anomalies in the RSA theory?

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\beta\rho} \Big( \bar{\psi}_{\lambda}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\beta}\psi_{\rho} - \partial_{\beta}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{\rho} \Big)$$
The SET trace is equal to zero just in the extended theory!  

$$+i\partial_{\eta} \Big[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma)\psi^{\eta} - \bar{\psi}^{\eta}(\gamma\psi) \Big]$$

$$+\frac{i}{2} \Big[ \bar{\lambda}(\gamma\partial)\lambda - (\partial\bar{\lambda}\gamma)\lambda \Big]$$

$$+im \Big[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma)\lambda - \bar{\lambda}(\gamma\psi) \Big] .$$
RS theory :  $T^{\mu}_{\mu} = i\partial_{\eta} \Big[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma)\psi^{\eta} - \bar{\psi}^{\eta}(\gamma\psi) \Big] \neq 0 ,$ 
RSA theory :  $T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 .$ 
[M. N. Chernodub, et al. 2110.05471], [Yu Nakayama. Phys. Rept., 569:1–93, 2015]

• We can use the described method for calculating anomalies!

Propagators in momentum representation, for example:

$$\langle T\psi^{\rho}_{a}(x)\bar{\psi}^{\sigma}_{b}(0)\rangle = \frac{i}{2(2\pi)^{4}}\int \frac{d^{4}p}{p^{2}} \left(\gamma^{\sigma}\not\!\!\!p\gamma^{\rho} - 2\left(\frac{1}{m^{2}} + \frac{2}{p^{2}}\right)p^{\sigma}p^{\rho}\not\!\!p\right)_{ab} e^{-ipx}$$

using the formula (*I am grateful to A. Pikelner and A. Bednyakov for the link*):

$$\begin{split} &\int \frac{d^D p \, e^{ipx}}{p^{2(\lambda+1-\alpha)}} = \frac{i 2^{2\alpha} \pi^{\lambda+1} \Gamma(\alpha)}{x^{2\alpha} \Gamma(\lambda+1-\alpha)} \\ &\lambda = 1-\varepsilon \,, \quad D = 2(\lambda+1) \,, \end{split}$$

can be translated into a **coordinate representation** (need to find momenum integrals).

As a result, we obtain for the **propagators** in the **coordinate representation**:

$$\begin{split} \langle T\psi_a^{\rho}(x)\bar{\psi}_b^{\sigma}(0)\rangle &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2 x^4} \left[\gamma^{\sigma} \not{x}\gamma^{\rho} - 2\left(1 + \frac{4}{m^2 x^2}\right)\right] \\ &\times (\eta^{\rho\sigma} \not{x} + \gamma^{\rho} x^{\sigma} + \gamma^{\sigma} x^{\rho}) + 8\left(1 + \frac{6}{m^2 x^2}\right) \frac{x^{\rho} x^{\sigma} \not{x}}{x^2} \right]_{ab} \\ \langle T\lambda_a(x)\bar{\psi}_b^{\sigma}(0)\rangle &= \frac{i}{2\pi^2 m x^4} \left(\gamma^{\sigma} - \frac{4x^{\sigma} \not{x}}{x^2}\right)_{ab}, \\ \langle T\psi_a^{\rho}(x)\bar{\lambda}_b(0)\rangle &= \frac{-i}{2\pi^2 m x^4} \left(\gamma^{\rho} - \frac{4x^{\rho} \not{x}}{x^2}\right)_{ab}, \\ \langle T\lambda_a(x)\bar{\lambda}_b(0)\rangle &= 0. \end{split}$$

As a result, we obtain:

$$\langle T\hat{j}^{\mu}(x)\hat{j}^{\nu}(y)\hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z)\rangle_{c} = \frac{5e^{2}e_{\vartheta}\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\nu\omega}}{\pi^{6}(x-y)^{3}(x-z)^{3}(y-z)^{3}}$$

Comparing with the general form

$$\langle T\hat{j}_V^{\mu}(x)\hat{j}_V^{\nu}(y)\hat{j}_A^{\omega}(z)\rangle = \frac{4\mathscr{B}e^2e_\vartheta\varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\nu\omega}}{\pi^6(x-y)^3(x-z)^3(y-z)^3}$$

we obtain an anomaly factor:

$$\langle \partial_{\mu} \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A} \rangle_{RSA} = -\frac{5}{16\pi^{2}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}$$

which confirms the result from [S.L. Adler.Phys. Rev. D, 97(4):045014, 2018]. Also checked for arbitrary *x*, *y*, *z*.

We will consider the case of points on one 4-axis:

$$x_{\mu} = x e_{\mu} , y_{\mu} = y e_{\mu} , z_{\mu} = z e_{\mu}$$

Then the correlator should look like:

$$\begin{split} \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A}(z) \rangle &= \mathscr{A} \left( 4(x-y)^{5} \\ \times (x-z)^{3}(y-z)^{3} \right)^{-1} e_{\vartheta} \left( \eta^{\nu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} + \eta^{\mu\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} \\ + \eta^{\nu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} - 6e^{2} (e^{\nu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\mu\omega} \\ + e^{\mu}e^{\rho} \varepsilon^{\sigma\vartheta\nu\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\nu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\mu\rho\omega} + e^{\sigma}e^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\vartheta\nu\rho\omega} ) \end{split}$$

### $\langle T\lambda_a(x)\bar{\lambda}_b(0)\rangle = 0$ The field $\lambda$ is **nonpropagating.**

$$\begin{split} &\langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}^A \rangle = \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda}^A \rangle = 0 \end{split}$$

• **12** correlators **are zero**!

$$\langle T\lambda_a(x)\bar{\psi}_b^{\sigma}(0)\rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi^2 m x^4} \left(\gamma^{\sigma} - \frac{4x^{\sigma} \dot{x}}{x^2}\right)_{ab} \quad \Longrightarrow \text{ negative powers m}$$
$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} = \frac{i}{2} m \left(\bar{\psi}^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\lambda + \bar{\psi}^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda\right) \quad \Longrightarrow \text{ positive powers m}$$

#### In the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ , **11** more correlators **vanish**:

$$\begin{split} &\langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \rangle, \\ &\langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle, \\ &\langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle, \\ &\langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle, \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\lambda} \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi} \hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi} \rangle \to 0 \quad (m \to \infty) \end{split}$$

Of the 32 correlators, only **9** remain:

$$\begin{split} \langle T\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}_{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}^{A}_{\omega}(z)\rangle_{c} &= \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle \\ &+ \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle \\ &+ \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle \\ &+ \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle + \langle \hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{T}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}\hat{j}^{A}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}\rangle \end{split}$$

Of the 9, only **4** are independent:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} = & \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} = \\ = \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} = & = \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} \,, \\ = - \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}(x) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} = & \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} = \\ = - \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\lambda}\psi}(y) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}(x) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} \,, & = \langle T \, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(x) \hat{T}^{\sigma\rho}_{\bar{\psi}\lambda}(y) \hat{j}^{\omega}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}(z) \rangle_{c} \end{array}$$

A typical correlator has the form (2 terms - Wick's theorem):

Operator from the axial current

$$\langle T\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}^{\mu\nu}(x)\hat{T}_{\bar{\psi}\psi}^{\sigma\rho}(y)\hat{j}_{A\bar{\psi}\psi}^{\omega}(z)\rangle_{c} = \lim_{\substack{x_{1},x_{2}\to x\\y_{1},y_{2}\to y\\z_{1},z_{2}\to z}} \left( -\operatorname{tr}\left\{ \mathcal{D}_{(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\mu\nu\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}(\partial^{x_{1}},\partial^{x_{2}})G_{\eta_{2}\eta_{5}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(x_{2}-z_{1})\mathcal{J}_{A(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\omega\eta_{5}\eta_{6}}G_{\eta_{6}\eta_{3}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(z_{2}-y_{1}) \right. \\ \left. \times \mathcal{D}_{(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\sigma\rho\eta_{3}\eta_{4}}(\partial^{y_{1}},\partial^{y_{2}})G_{\eta_{4}\eta_{1}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(y_{2}-x_{1}) \right\} - \operatorname{tr}\left\{ \mathcal{D}_{(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\mu\nu\eta_{1}\eta_{2}}(\partial^{x_{1}},\partial^{x_{2}})G_{\eta_{2}\eta_{3}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(x_{2}-y_{1}) \right. \\ \left. \times \mathcal{D}_{(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\sigma\rho\eta_{3}\eta_{4}}(\partial^{y_{1}},\partial^{y_{2}})G_{\eta_{4}\eta_{5}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(y_{2}-z_{1})\mathcal{J}_{A(\bar{\psi}\psi)}^{\omega\eta_{5}\eta_{6}}G_{\eta_{6}\eta_{1}}^{\psi\bar{\psi}}(z_{2}-x_{1}) \right\} \right)$$
Operators from SET
Green functions

- How to explain the factor -19?
- How does it **relate** to **previous** calculations?

$$\langle \nabla_{\mu} \hat{j}^{\mu}_{A} \rangle_{RS} = \frac{-21}{384\pi^{2}\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}$$

[M. J. Duff, 1201.0386] [J.J.M. Carrasco, et al. JHEP, 07:029, 2013]



### **General Rule will be:**

Anomaly in RSA = RS-anomaly +  $2 \cdot (\text{spin } \frac{1}{2} \text{ anomaly})$ 

Anomaly in RSA = (RS-anomaly "ghostless") +  $1 \cdot (spin \frac{1}{2} anomaly)$ 

### Works both for the gravitational and the gauge chiral anoma