Design and thermal tests of the stave cooling plate of the ITS MPD detector

T. Lygdenova for MPD ITS group





- Inner Tracking System of MPD detector
- Design of the cooling plate of the ITS stave
- Thermo-hydraulic tests
- Gas cooling tests

Inner Tracking System of MPD detector

- 5 cylinder layers; 2 barrels (IB, OB);
- Inner Barrel contains 3 layers pixels detectors
- Outer Barrel contains 2 layers pixels detectors: Layer 4: 18 STAVEs / Layer 5: 24 STAVEs
- OB 8232 MAPS-based pixel 3,7 m²
- The total heat dissipation of the system is 14kW



Diameter of the ITS caging Is 495 mm



NICA

Composition of MPD ITS



Design of the cooling plates

The development of ultralightweight cooling concepts requires considering:

- The mechanical requirements (stiffness, dimensional constraints),
- The cooling performance (size of the cooling channels, CFRP fiber density, materials)
- Manufacturing feasibility.



Other prepreg + without an additional layer carbon paper single layers JINR cooling plate Other prepreg + with an additional layer carbon paper double layers JINR cooling plat

Thermo-hydraulic tests

Sensor's heat imitator





The cooling plate will be fix to 5 heat imitator with the thermal interface (glycerin) Size of the OB HIC heat imitator width - 3,4 ±0,1 cm length - 29,3±0,2 cm thickness – 0,8 ±0,1 mm A prototype in which a heating element made from a nichrome wire. It was used as a simulator of sensors: 100 um Si size of 15x30 mm² 198 pcs Power = 40 mW/cm².

Power was regulated by DC power supply

— cooling panel

Thermo-hydraulic tests

Loop method

NĬCA



Average test conditions

- Average ambient temperature **21,7±0,5** °C;
- Water supply temperature(in chiller)18±0,2 °C;
- Water flow rate(loop) **5,8 ± 0,5 l/h**;
- Power 40 mW/cm².
- Measurements with a thermal imager
- temperature measuring performed using Pt 100





Compare 2 method water flow "2 flow " and " loop " water flow for double layered JINR



Average temperature on heater



These measurements have shown that the use of the "2flow" method is 1 % more efficient than using the "loop" method, but the complexity of its implementation and the slight temperature difference do not justify its further use.

In further work, only the "loop" method will be considered.

Compare 3 different design for cooling plate in loop method





25/10/22, MLIT, JINR

The test stand for the air



The test stand for the air







The ITS gas-flow system reduce the temperature gradient along the vertical axis on 2%

- JINR double layered cooling plate has shown lower temperature and better heat distribution than the single layered panels produced at JINR and CERN.
- Two cooling methods were tested: "2nd flow" and "loop" with different pipe connection schemes
- The measurements have shown that the use of the "2nd flow" method is 1 % more efficient than using the "loop" method, but the complexity of its implementation and the slight temperature difference do not justify its further use.
- The ITS gas-flow system reduced the temperature gradient along the vertical axis about on 2% .

Thank you for the attention

 Table 3.2: Detector and service electronics requirements

Requirement		Detector	Sevice electronics	ITS air cooling sysms basic characteristics.		
T_{max} Pixel chip working		30 °C	$40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	Parameter	Air circulation	
ΔT_{max} (uneven)		$\leq 5 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$			$O = 30 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} + 6 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$	
	Magnetic field	$500\mathrm{mT^*}$	$400\mathrm{mT^{**}}$	A in flow	$QD = i\pi f_{array} = 20 \text{ m}^3/h$	
Settings		$ m T{=}20^{o} m C$	$\mathrm{T}_{ux25}{=}24\mathrm{^{o}C}$	AIr now	OB airnow: 20 m ^o /n	
	Temperature	$ m RH{=}35\%$	$ m RH_{ux25}{=}45\%$		Service unit: $7 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$	
		$T_{Dew \ point} = 4 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$\mathrm{T}_{Dew\ point}{=}12\mathrm{^{o}C}$	Temperature	$T_{in}=20$ °C	
Stability		Temporary (it will not be possible to calibrate)		TT 11.	$RH_{out}=10\%$ to 35%	
		detector positioning $\leq 5\mu\mathrm{m}$		Humidity	(RH to be set in this range)	
Installation/ Removal		Ability to move non-functioning boards (3	Ability to move non-functioning boards (3		Laver 5 from A to C	
		months)	months)	Flow direction in the layers [*]	Layer 5 from A to C	
System access		Detector installation and maintenance	Detector installation and maintenance	v	Layer 4 from C to A	
		available on both sides	available on both sides	Flow rate	<2 m/s (Detector housing)	

Table 3.3: Technical requirements for Staves and electronics

Technical specifications	Detector Staves	RU Electronics	
Power load	OB: 84 pcs (Half Staves) From the detector 1555 W* Bus 63 W Power Cable 22 W	$7.5\mathrm{kW}$	
Stave pressure difference and water consumption	$\frac{\text{OB:}}{\Delta P=0.2 \text{Kg/cm}^2 \text{ Q}=6.31/\text{h} \Sigma 5301/\text{h}}$	${OB:\over 0.3{ m Kg/cm^2}}$ Stave Q=111/h	
Chip / valid temperature Range	$20 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ to $30 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$20^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ to $40^{\rm o}{\rm C}$	
Chip / working temperature	$22 ^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	$30 ^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 5 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	

(*)Calculation based on Alpide 4; $OB = 28 \text{ mW/cm}^2 + 50\%$.







FGS-003 graphene paper from AMEC Thermasol (Chinese paper OLD, 2 layer)

```
Thickness (\mum) ~ 30
Thermal conductivity
X-Y Ax. (W/(m · K)) ~ 1500
Z Ax. (W/(m · K)) ~ 15
Density(g/cm<sup>3</sup>) ~ 1,43
```

Synthetic Graphite Sheet:DSN5032 (Chinese paper NEW, 2 layer)

Thickness (μ m) - 32±4 Thermal conductivity: X-Y Ax. (W/(m · K)) -1300~1500 Z Ax. (W/(m · K)) - 17~20 Density(g/cm³) - 1.85±0.05

calculations



1 layer

ει

 $\alpha := \frac{Nu \cdot \lambda}{PO} = 961,5875$

 $P := \alpha \cdot F \cdot (Tw - T0) = 9,8422$

<i>Tw</i> := 22,05
<i>Tf</i> := (18, 61 + 21, 28) · 0, 5 = 19, 945
$T0 := 0, 5 \cdot (Tw + Tf) = 20,9975$
$\beta := 0.00177$

 $V1 := \frac{5,8}{3600} = 0,0016 \frac{1}{c}$ $\rho := 998,2$

NICA



$$:= 1 \qquad a := 14, 3 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{m^2}{c} \qquad V := 0,001611 \frac{1}{c}$$

а-кцэффициент-температуропроводности, m^2/c

$$Pe := \frac{\omega \cdot R0}{a} = 7010,1635$$
 критерий Пекле
Nu := 1,55 $\cdot \left(\frac{Pe \cdot R0}{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{\mu f}{\mu w}\right)^{0,14} \cdot \varepsilon \iota = 3,2964$

calculations



 $a := 14, 3 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{m}{c}$ $\beta := 0,00177$

а-кцэффициент-температуропроводности, m^2/c

$$Pe := \frac{\omega \cdot R0}{a} = 6889,2986$$
 критерий Пекле

$$Nu := 1,55 \cdot \left(\frac{Pe \cdot RO}{L}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{\mu f}{\mu W}\right)^{0,14} \cdot \varepsilon \iota = 3,2775$$

 $\alpha := \frac{Nu \cdot \lambda}{R0} = 956,058$

$$P := \alpha \cdot F \cdot (Tw - T0) = 9,8088$$

china paper2 NEW
$R0 := 2,05 \cdot 10^{-3}$ $F0 := \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{R0^2}{4} = 3,3006 \cdot 10^{-6}$ $\rho := 998,1 \frac{kg}{m^3}$
$\varepsilon \iota := 1$ $\lambda := 0,599$
TW := 21, 3 $Tf := (10, 13 \pm 20, 53), 0, 5 = 10, 33$
$T_{1} := (10, 13 \pm 20, 33) \cdot 0, 3 = 19, 33$
$10 = 0, 5 \cdot (1w + 11) = 20, 415$
$\mu w := 0,0009669$ $\Pi a \cdot c$
µf:=0,0009926 Па·с

 $\lambda \coloneqq 0,598$

 $\mu w := 0,0009576$

 $\mu f := 0,0009824$

 $\rho := 998, 2$

Па∙с

Па∙с

$$F := \mathbf{n} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{RO}{2} \cdot 1,51 = 0,0097$$
 M²

$$V1 := \frac{5,57}{3600} = 0,0015 \frac{1}{c}$$
$$l := 1,51 m$$

$$\omega := \frac{V1}{\rho \cdot F0} = 0,4697 \qquad \frac{m}{c}$$
$$F0 := \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{R0^2}{4} = 3,3006 \cdot 10^{-6}$$
$$a := 14,3 \cdot 10^{-8} \qquad \frac{m^2}{c}$$

а-коэффициент-температуропроводности, m^2/c

$$Pe := \frac{\omega \cdot R0}{a} = 6732,8488$$
 критерий Пекле

$$Nu := 1,55 \cdot \left(\frac{Pe \cdot R0}{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{\mu f}{\mu w}\right)^{0,14} \cdot \varepsilon \iota = 3,2528$$

$$\alpha := \frac{Nu \cdot \lambda}{R0} = 950,4404$$

$$Q := \alpha \cdot F \cdot (Tw - T0) = 10,0285$$



Average temperature on heater



- The MPD installation must meet is to solve the very challenging task of the high precision registration of strange, multi-strange and charmed particles formed in the relativistic heavy-ion collisions at the energies of NICA collider
- The application of fast and high granularity pixel sensors is required (the total heat dissipation of the system is 14kW)
- A considerable reduction of the material budget components working in a sensitive region is a must to be considered





Inner Tracking System of MPD detector

The MAPS-based IT detector will enable charm-hadron measurements and detection of collision vertexes for the MPD experiment:

- Charm production in heavy ion collisions at the NICA energies
- Clean measurement of (multi-)strange hadron productions

Stage 1: ALPIDE-based OB layers (Design of ALICE ITS2)

Stage 2: Stiching-based large-area MAPS IB layers

	Inner Barrel			Outer Barrel	
	Layer 0	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3	Layer 4
R _{min} (mm)	18	24	30	145.8	194.4
R _{max} (mm)	18	24	30	147.9	197.6
Length (mm)	270	270	270	1468	1468
P _{seudo-rapidity}	±2.5	±2.3	±2.0	±2.0	±2.0
Number of chips per layer	4	4	4	3528	4704
Pixel chip dimensions (mm ²)	140 × 56.5	140 × 75.5	140 × 94	15×30	
Active area (cm ²) (2mm dead area in r-phi)	305	408	508	13 759	18 346

The Conference "RFBR Grants for NICA" 23.10.2020



MPD-ITS Inner Barrel

MAPS-based pixel 5 cylinder layers; 2 barrels (IB, OB); 4.5 x 109 pixels; 3.3 m² active area;

> $|\eta| \leq 2.0;$ Aleksei Sheremetev, JINR

