

MPD Collaboration Status

V. Riabov for the MPD Collaboration



Heavy-ion collisions



QGP may be produced at low energies; QGP is produced in high energy collisions



- At $\mu_B \sim 0$, smooth crossover (lattice QCD calculations + data)
- ♦ At large μ_B , 1st order phase transition is expected → QCD critical point
- ✤ At NICA, both BM@N and MPD study QCD medium at extreme net baryon densities

Multi-Purpose Detector (MPD) Collaboration



MPD International Collaboration was established in **2018** to construct, commission and operate the detector

10 Countries, >450 participants, 31 Institutes and JINR

Organization

Acting Spokesperson: Deputy Spokesperson: Institutional Board Chair: Project Manager: Victor Riabov Zebo Tang Alejandro Ayala Slava Golovatyuk

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research;

AANL, Yerevan, Armenia; University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria; Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; USTC, Hefei, China; Huzhou University, Huizhou, China; Institute of Nuclear and Applied Physics, CAS, Shanghai, China; Central China Normal University, China; Shandong University, Shandong, China; IHEP, Beijing, China; University of South China, China; Three Gorges University, China; Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou, China; Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia; FCFM-BUAP (Heber Zepeda) Puebla, Mexico; FC-UCOL (Maria Elena Tejeda), Colima, Mexico; FCFM-UAS (Isabel Dominguez), Culiacán, Mexico; ICN-UNAM (Alejandro Ayala), Mexico City, Mexico; Institute of Applied Physics, Chisinev, Moldova; Institute of Physics and Technology, Mongolia;





Belgorod National Research University, **Russia**; INR RAS, Moscow, **Russia**; MEPhI, Moscow, **Russia**; Moscow Institute of Science and Technology, **Russia**; North Osetian State University, **Russia**; NRC Kurchatov Institute, ITEP, **Russia**; Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, **Russia**; St. Petersburg State University, **Russia**; SINP, Moscow, **Russia**; PNPI, Gatchina, **Russia**; Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, **Serbia**; Pavol Jozef Šafárik University, Košice, **Slovakia**



MPD schedule

Latest estimates provided by V. Golovatyuk (subject to change)

	Year 2022	
8	Jan 20 - April 30	Cables for Solenoid probes signals installation
9	May 16 - Dec 25 th	Assembling Iron yoke, Cryogenic platform and Cryostat. New LHe and LN pipes ordering
10	Sept - Dec 30	Cryogenic infrastructure for cooling down by temporary scheme, power Supply and Control system preparation
	Year 2023	
11	Jan 15 - February 15th	Vacuum test of Solenoid with Cryostat
12	Feb 15 - April 20	Solenoid cooling down to Liquid Helium temperature
13	May 10 - July 20	Magnetic Field measurements
14	July 25 - August 10	Support Frame installation
15	August 20 – Sept 30th	Installation ECal sectors, Moving Platforms mounting
16	Sept 17 – Oct 10 th	Installation TOF modules, FHCal into poles
17	Oct 11 - Nov 30	TPC installation
18	Sept 18 - Nov 30	Cabling
19	Dec 4 - Dec 25	Installation of beam pipe
	Year 2024	
20	Jan 10 - Feb	Switching on the MPD, Commissioning

- Preparation of the MPD detector and experimental program is ongoing, all activities are continued
- All components of the MPD 1-st stage detector are in advanced state of production (subsystems, support frame, electronics platforms, LV/HV, control systems, cryogenics, cabling, etc.)



MPD magnet



- ✤ Barrel Magnet Yoke is completely assembled
- Cryogenic platform has been mounted, next step is mounting of the refrigerator, vacuum pumps, control electronics, etc.
- ✤ Assembling the refrigerator for installation on the platform
- ✤ Works on the magnet control system, cryogenics and power supplies
- Magnetic field mapper and magnetic field measurements





MPD subsystems in production



V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022



Collaboration activity

- Many ongoing construction works, theoretical and physics feasibility studies, see reports on hardware/software/physics topics at the collaboration meeting
- ✤ MPD publications: ~ 220 in total for hardware, software and physics studies:
 - ✓ RFBR grant program (now completed) attracted many new Russian institutions in the NICA activities
 - ✓ financial support for participation of Russian institutions in the MPD-NICA is needed for success of the project





Presence at conferences

- ✤ MPD was presented at all major conferences in the field:
 - ✓ Quark Matter (QM-2022), 4-10 April
 - ✓ Nucleus-2022, July 11-16
 - ✓ International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP-2022), July 6-13
 - ✓ International Scientific Forum "Nuclear Science and Technologies (NST-2022), September 26-30
 - ✓ European Nuclear Physics Conference (EuNPC-2022), October 24-28
 - ✓ International Symposium on Origin of Matter and Evolution of Galaxies, October 25-28
 - ✓ DAE-BRNS CETHENP-2022, November 15-17
 - ✓ XVIII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields (XVIII MWPF), November 21-25
 - ✓ International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics (ICPPA-2022), Nov., 29-Dec., 2
- ✤ Over twenty plenary and parallel talk given in 2022

First collaboration paper

First collaboration paper recently published EPJA (~ 50 pages): Eur.Phys.J.A 58 (2022) 7, 140

Status and initial physics performance studies of the MPD experiment at NICA



Editorial Committee: A. Ayala, D. Blaschke, S. Golovatyuk, A. Kisiel, V. Kolesnikov, V. Riabov, O. Rogachevsky, A. Taranenko IRC: I. Tserruya (chair), F. Wang, Z. Tang



MPD physics program

	X7 X7 I II X7	1 • 771				
G. Feofilov, A. Aparin	v. Kolesnikov, Alangiel Zhu		K. Mikhailov, A. Taranenko			
 Global observables Total event multiplicity Total event energy Centrality determination Total cross-section measurement Event plane measurement at all rapidities Spectator measurement 	 Spectra of light hyper Light flavor spectra of light flavor spectra of the spe	ght flavor and nuclei bectra hypernuclei yields and yield I chemical the event Phase Diag.	 Correlations and Fluctuations Collective flow for hadrons Vorticity, Λ polarization E-by-E fluctuation of multiplicity, momentum and conserved quantities Femtoscopy Forward-Backward corr. Jet-like correlations 			
V. Riabov, Chi Yang		Wangmei Zha, A. Zinchenko				
 Electromagnetic p Electromagnetic calorimeter Photons in ECAL and centra Low mass dilepton spectra i modification of resonances a intermediate mass region 	robes meas. I barrel n-medium and	 Heavy flavor Study of open charm production Charmonium with ECAL and central barrel Charmed meson through secondary vertices in ITS and HF electrons Explore production at charm threshold 				

Cross-PWG format of meetings for discussion of results and analysis techniques

- \checkmark increase the attendance
- \checkmark improve communication between different analysis groups



MPD mass productions

- Mass productions to deliver a clear and consistent picture of the MPD physical capabilities with the first data sets and prepare for the real data analyses
- https://mpdforum.jinr.ru/c/mcprod/26:

Request 25: General-purpose, 50M UrQMD BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow DONE Request 26: General-purpose (trigger), 1M DCM-QGSM-SMM BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow DONE Request 27: General-purpose (trigger), 1M PHQMD BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow DONE Request 28: General-purpose with reduced magnetic field, 10M UrQMD BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow DONE Request 29: General-purpose (hypernuclei), 20M PHQMD BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow production Request 30: General-purpose (hyperon polarization), 15M PHSD BiBi@9.2 \rightarrow QA Request 31: General-purpose (femtoscopy), 50 M UrQMD BiBi@9.2 with freeze-out \rightarrow QA Request 32: General purpose (flow), 15M vHLLE+UrQMD with XPT \rightarrow in preparation Request 33: General purpose (flow), 15M vHLLE+UrQMD with 1PT \rightarrow in preparation

- Production and analysis of data sets, which are comparable in size to the first expected real data samples test the existing computing and software infrastructure, the communication bridges between the analyzers, software group and specialists from the laboratory of information technologies (LIT)
- Thanks to Andrey Moshkin and the whole software team !!!



Handling of data sets

Dedicated Task Force groups (TF):

- \checkmark trigger system efficiency and performance
- \checkmark event vertex and start time reconstruction
- ✓ event centrality categorization
- ✓ charged particle identification (TPC, TOF)
- ✓ electron and photon identification (ECAL)
- \checkmark estimation of the detector material budget
- TF results and recommendations should be used centralized to make sure that all analyses are performed in a consistent way and can be compared
- As more and more analyzers start to work with the data we will have a series of crow-PWG meetings to discuss the analysis methods
- Production of physics feasibility results
- Second collaboration paper, better understanding of our capabilities with the first data sets, priorities for different analyses, analysis technics and group leaders, ...

Advancements in analyses



Advancements in analyses

Implementation of QnAnalysis framework/package for flow measurements in MPD



QnAnalysis is already used in the current (HADES, ALICE) and future (CBM) experiments Now it is available in MPD



Centrality categorization

 \clubsuit Use TPC multiplicity, transverse energy E_T and FHCAL energy to determine event centrality



- TPC and ECAL produce similar results for centrality
- FHCAL centrality has a very wide correlation with the TPC/ECAL centrality; resolution by impact parameter is worse



V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022

NICA Verification of fragmentation models with NA61 data

Experimental data for hadronic calorimeter (PSD) in Pb-Pb at 30 GeV/n, fixed-target



PSD on the beam line downstream of the NA61/SHINE

	29	30		31		32	
44	17	1	18		9	20	33
43	28	1 5	2	3	4	21	34
42	27	9 13	14	15	12 16	22	35
41	26	2	5	2	4	23	36
	40	3	9	3	8	37	



The DCM-SMM reproduces the measurement results, PHQMD not



Light (hyper)nuclei

- Production mechanism usually described with two classes of phenomenological models :
 - ✓ statistical hadronization (SHM) → production during phase transition, $dN/dy \propto exp(-m/T_{chem})$ [1]
 - ✓ coalescence → (anti)nucleons close in phase space ($\Delta p < p_0$) and matching the spin state form a nucleus [2]
- ✤ Hyper nuclei measurement studies are crucial:
 - ✓ microscopic production mechanism, Y-N potential, strange sector of nuclear EoS
 - \checkmark strong implications for astronuclear physics \rightarrow hyperons expected to exist in the inner core of neutron stars
- ✤ Observables of interest: precise measurements of binding energies, lifetimes and branching ratios

- ♦ Galactic Cosmic Rays composed of nuclei (protons, ... up to Fe) and E/A up to 50 GeV
- ✤ Cosmic rays are a serious concern to astronauts, electronics, and spacecraft.
- * The damage is proportional to Z^2 , therefore the component due to ions is important
- ✤ Damage from secondary production of p, d, t, ³He, and ⁴He is also significant
- Need input information for transport codes for shielding applications (Geant-4, Fluka, PHITS, etc.):
 - \checkmark total, elastic/reaction cross section
 - \checkmark particle multiplicities and coellecense parameters
 - ✓ outgoing particle distributions: $d^2N/dEd\Omega$

[1] Andronic et al., Nature 561 (2018) 321–330

NICA High energy heavy ion reaction data

dE/dx vs momentum in TPC



m² vs. momentum in TOF



MPD has excellent light fragment identification capabilities in a wide rapidity range \rightarrow <u>unique</u> <u>capability of the MPD</u> in the NICA energy range

Reconstruction of hypertritons

BiBi@9.2 GeV (PHQMD), 40 M events \rightarrow full event/detector simulation and reconstruction

Phys.Part.Nucl.Lett. 19 (2022) 1, 46-53



Nucleus-2022, V. Riabov for MPD@NICA



Heavier hypernuclei



↔ Monte Carlo events enriched with hypernuclei distributed by $(\eta-p_T)$ phase space predicted by PHQMD

Signals for heavier hypernuclei can be reconstructed with the equivalent statistics of ~140 M events



Improved PID

- Development of methods for identification of charged tracks using the TPC and TOF
- Purpose: higher efficiency and purity of the signals
- Options: different field configurations, systems and energies, methods, including machine learning approaches (Decision Tree Approach)



- Critical questions:
 - ✓ extend measurements to lower momenta ($< p_T > ~ 0.2$ GeV/c for light hadrons)
 - ✓ understand fraction of $\pi/K/p$ from decays and materials



Dielectrons



- ★ With current track reconstruction algorithm, low p_T tracks are not reconstructed properly even though full hit information is available in the detector for tracks with $p_T \gtrsim 30 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow \text{major}$ source of CB.
- ★ Tracks with $p_T <\sim 100$ MeV do not cross the TPC (hence don't reach TOF \rightarrow Not defined as fully reconstructed).





- ✤ Optimization steps:
 - ✓ new DCA parameterizations
 - \checkmark loose cuts on the partner
 - \checkmark crossing angle correction improves low-pT track reconstruction
- ♦ Observe 30% reduction of combinatorial background \rightarrow expects further improvements



Summary



- Preparation of the MPD detector and experimental program is ongoing, all activities are continued
- Commissioning of the MPD Stage-I detector is expected in 2023
- Start of data taking with BiBi@9.2 in 2024
- ✤ Further program will be driven by the physics demands and NICA capabilities

BACKUP



Heavy-ion collisions



- ✤ Many ongoing programs and future experiments in comparable energy ranges
- Physical program of the MPD should be considered in close cooperation with BM@N
- Continuously develop MPD physical program based on the recent advancements in the field:
- Close cooperation with theoreticians to look for new signals/observables unique for the MPD



RHIC BES program

♦ Data taking by STAR at RHIC: $3 < \sqrt{s_{NN}} < 200 \text{ GeV} (750 < \mu_B < 25 \text{ MeV})$

Au+Au Collisions at RHIC											
Collider Runs						Fixed-Target Runs					
	√ <mark>S_{NN}</mark> (GeV)	#Events	μ_B	Ybeam	run		√ S_{NN} (GeV)	#Events	μ_B	Y _{beam}	run
1	200	380 M	25 MeV	5.3	Run-10, 19	81	13.7 (100)	50 M	280 MeV	-2.69	Run-21
2	62.4	46 M	75 MeV	9. 18	Run-10	2	11.5 (70)	50 M	320 MeV	-2.51	Run-21
3	54.4	1200 M	85 MeV	10	Run-17	3	9.2 (44.5)	50 M	370 MeV	-2.28	Run-21
4	39	86 M	112 MeV		Run-10	4	7.7 (31.2)	260 M	420 MeV	-2.1	Run-18, 19, 20
5	27	585 M	156 MeV	3.36	Run-11, 18	5	7.2 (26.5)	470 M	440 MeV	-2.02	Run-18, 20
6	19.6	595 M	206 MeV	3.1	Run-11, 19	6	6.2 (19.5)	120 M	490 MeV	1.87	Run-20
7	17.3	256 M	230 MeV	9 3	Run-21	7	5.2 (13.5)	100 M	540 MeV	-1.68	Run-20
8	14.6	340 M	262 MeV		Run-14, 19	8	4.5 (9.8)	110 M	590 MeV	-1.52	Run-20
9	11.5	157 M	316 MeV		Run-10, 20	9	3.9 (7.3)	120 M	633 MeV	-1.37	Run-20
10	9.2	160 M	372 MeV		Run-10, 20	10	3.5 (5.75)	120 M	670 MeV	-1.2	Run-20
11	7.7	104 M	420 MeV	55 	Run-21	П	3.2 (4.59)	200 M	699 MeV	-1.13	Run-19
				2		12	3.0 (3.85)	2000 M	750 MeV	-1.05	Run-18, 21
		•									• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

- A very impressive and successful program with many collected datasets, already available and expected results
- ✤ Limitations:
 - ✓ Au+Au collisions only
 - ✓ Among the fixed-target runs, only the 3 GeV data have full midrapidity coverage for protons (|y| ≤ 0.5), which is crucial for physics observables



Hot topics to fill the gaps

- Critical fluctuations for (net)proton/kaon multiplicity distributions
- Solution Solution Control A+A collisions (Λ, Ξ, Ω)
- Spin alignment of vector mesons (K*(892), $\phi(1020)$)
- Dielectron continuum and LVMs

*

. . . .







Nucleus-2022, V. Riabov for MPD@NICA

Direct photons

- Direct photons photons not from hadronic decays:
 - \checkmark penetrating probe
 - \checkmark low-E most direct estimation of the effective system temperature
 - ✓ high-E hard scattering probe
- Direct photons in A-A collisions:
 - ✓ LHC, PbPb @ 2.76 and 5 TeV
 - ✓ RHIC, Au-Au(CuCu) @ 62-200 GeV
 - ✓ SPS, PbPb @ 17.2 GeV
- ✤ No measurements at NICA energies: yields and flow vs. p_T and centrality





- ★ Non-zero direct photon yields are predicted with $R\gamma \sim 1.05 1.15$ and $v^2 \sim 0.5\%$ at top NICA energy
- MPD can provide <u>unique measurements</u> for direct photon production in the NICA energy range



Unique possibilities

- ✤ MPD strategy high-luminosity scans in <u>energy</u> and <u>system size</u> to measure a wide variety of signals:
 - \checkmark order of the phase transition and search for the QCD critical point \rightarrow structure of the QCD phase diagram
 - \checkmark hypernuclei and equation of state at high baryon densities \rightarrow inner structure of compact start, star mergers
- Scans to be carried out using the <u>same apparatus</u> in the same configuration/geometry with all the advantages of collider experiments:
 - \checkmark maximum phase space, minimally biased acceptance, free of target parasitic effects
 - \checkmark correlated systematic effects for different systems and energies \rightarrow simplified extraction of physical signals

Strangeness enhancement: pp, p-A, A-A

Predicted and experimentally observed in heavy-ion collisions at AGS, SPS, RHIC and LHC energies



- Discovery of ALICE smooth evolution of enhancement vs. multiplicity in pp, p-A and A-A
- Origin of the strangeness enhancement in small/large systems is still under debate:
 - \checkmark strangeness enhancement in QGP contradicts with the observed collision energy dependence
 - strangeness suppression in pp within canonical suppression models reproduces most of results except for $\phi(1020)$
- System size scan for (multi)strange baryon and meson production is a key to understanding of strangeness production → <u>unique capability of the MPD</u> in the NICA energy range

Anisotropic flow in large/small systems

• A+A: initial eccentricity and its fluctuations drive v_n with specific viscous modulation



• $p/d/^{3}$ He+A: v_{n} 's are correlated to the initial geometry, the only explanation is formation of short-lived QGP droplets in collisions of light and heavy nuclei



System size scan for flow measurements is vital for understanding of the medium transport properties and onset of the phase transition \rightarrow <u>unique capability of the MPD</u> in the NICA energy range

NICA High-energy heavy-ion reaction data

- ✤ Galactic Cosmic Rays composed of nuclei (protons, ... up to Fe) and E/A up to 50 GeV
- ✤ Cosmic rays are a serious concern to astronauts, electronics, and spacecraft.
- ♦ The damage is proportional to Z^2 , secondary production of p, d, t, ³He, and ⁴He is also significant
- Need input information for transport codes for shielding applications (Geant-4, Fluka, PHITS, etc.):
 - \checkmark total, elastic/reaction cross section
 - ✓ particle multiplicities and coallecense parameters
 - ✓ outgoing particle distributions: $d^2N/dEd\Omega$
- ✤ NICA can deliver different ion beam species and energies:
 - ✓ Targets of interest (C = astronaut, Si = electronics, Al = spacecraft) + He, C, O, Si, Fe, etc.
- No data exist for projectile energies > 3 GeV/n



Light fragment identification in a wide y-range \rightarrow <u>unique capability of the MPD</u> in the NICA range

Reaction plane measurements

♦ FHCAL is a hadronic calorimeter, ~ 1 m², 44 towers by 15x15 cm², 2 < |η| < 5







- ✤ FHCAL is considered as the main detector for event-plane measurements
- Need further studies for the FFD, which can also be used for reaction plane measurements



Support Frame for detectors inside of the Solenoid

The structure of Support Frame is made of carbon fiber which allows for deformation less than 3 mm under load with detectors (~80 T).

Producer - The Central Research Institute for Special Machinery, Khotkovo, Moscow region is a leading Russian enterprise in design and production of structures on the basis of advanced polymer composite materials for rocket & space engineering, transport, power, petrochemical machinery and other industries.

- the Frame will be transported to Dubna in November 2021
- December 2021 (as soon as Magnetic field measurements is finished)
- Representatives of the Company will participate in the process of installation of Support Frame into MPD and its alignment



Time Projection Chamber (TPC): main tracker



length	340 см
outer Radii	140 см
inner Radii	27 см
gas	90%Ar+10%CH ₄
drift velocity	5.45 см / µs;
drift time	< 30 µs;
# R-0	12 + 12
champ.	
# pads/ chan.	95 232
max rate	< 7kGz (L= 10 ²⁷)





Read-Out Chambers (ROCs) are ready and tested (production at JINR) 113 Electronics sets (8%) produced Two sites (Moscow, Minsk) tested for electronics production C1-C2 and C3-C4 cylinders assembled TPC flange under finalization

V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022

MPD Time-of-Flight

Mass production staff: 4 physicists, 4 technicians, 2 electronics engineers Productivity: ~ 1 detector per day (1 module/2 weeks)

All procedure of detector assembling and optical control is performed in a clean rooms ISO class 6-7.



Single detector time resolution: 50ps

Purchasing of all detector materials completed So far 40% of all MRPCs are assembled Assembled half sectors of TOF are under Cosmics tests Investigation of solutions for detector integration and technical installations



Glass cleaning with ultrasonic wave & deionized water



MRPC assembling



Soldering HV connector and readout pins

	Number of detectors	Number of readout strips	Sensitiv e area, m ²	Number of FEE cards	Number of FEE channels
MRPC	1	24	0.192	2	48
Module	10	240	1.848	20	480
Barrel	280	6720	51.8	560	13440 (1680 chips)

V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022

Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL)

✤ Pb+Sc "Shashlyk" ✤ Segmentation (4x4 cm²) read-out: WLS fibers + MAPD $\sigma(E)$ better than 5% @ 1 GeV

Barrel ECAL = <u>38400</u> ECAL towers (2x25 halfsectors x 6x8 modules/half-sector x 16 towers/module)

So far ~300 modules (16 towers each) = 3 sectors are produced Another 3 sectors are planned to be completed by May 2021 Chinese collaborators will produce 8 sectors by the end of 2021 25% of all modules are produced by JINR (production area in Protvino) 75% produced in China, currently funding is secured for approx. 25%

$L \sim 35 \ cm \ (\sim 14 \ X_0)$ time resolution ~500 ps



Forward Hadron Calorimeter (FHCal)

- All (90+spare) FHCal modules are assembled and are used for the tests.
- 100 Front-End-Electronics (FEE) boards are produced and tested.

The activities with modules:

- Tests with cosmic muons;
- Tests of Front-End-Electronics (FEE);
- Study of FEE electronic noises;
- Development of FHCal trigger;
- Development of Slow Control.









FHCal Trigger efficiency





FFD - Fast Trigger L₀ for MPD





FFD provides information on

- interaction rate (luminosity adjustment)
- bunch crossing region position



Fig. 4-1. A scheme of the FFD module.

15 mm quartz radiator 10 mm Lead converter

The FFD sub-detector consists of 20 modules based on Planacon multianode MCP-PMTs 80 independent channels

> MPD trigger group is created on the basis of FFD team Beside FFD we consider the signals from FHCal to be implemented into trigger L0 The FHCal team have produced trigger electronics.

Monte Carlo studies will be used to optimize the properties of the L0 trigger

MPD Electronics Platform



The design of the MPD Electronics Platform is a major contribution of the Polish groups to MPD M. Peryt (WUT) – leader of the "Engineering Support" Sector of VBLHEP

- Electronics platform has 4 levels with 8 racks on each level
- Each Rack provides cooling, fire safety and radiation control system
- Cable ducts connect detectors inside of MPD and Electronics Platform
- The mechanical part of the Platform is ready



Inner Tracker System (ITS): precise tracking

Consortium includes JINR, NICA (BM@N & MPD), FAIR, Russian, Polish and Ukrainian Institutes + CCNU Central China Normal Univ., IMP- Institute of Modern Physics, USTC – Hefei



Protocol # 134 between CERN and JINR states the legal terms for transaction of CERN developed novel technology and the know-how for building the MPD-ITS on the basis of Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors *(the MAPS)* ALPIDE, signed in 2018. This document laid a clear road towards the MPD ITS.







MPD ITS based on ALICE type staves

Simulation setup

- ✓ UrQMD v3.4 with hybrid model (3+1d hydro, **bag model** EoS, hadronic rescattering and resonances within UrQMD)
- \checkmark π^0 and decay photon spectrum are calculated within the same simulation
- \checkmark impact parameter range 0<b<9 fm
- In hydrodynamical evolution, for each volume we calculate thermal gamma yield based on T, energy density (e), QGP fraction, baryonic chemical potential. We integrate these yields over time (until freeze-out time) and space.
- \checkmark Two extreme cases: calculate thermal gamma emission from the volume above freeze-out criterion (e > $e_{freezeout}$), or calculate for all volumes. Reality somewhere in between (all volumes interact during hydro evolution). Comparing these options one can estimate theoretical uncertainties $\frac{10^3}{10^3}$

$$\frac{d^3 N^{\gamma, therm}}{dy d^2 k_T} = \int_{\Omega} dV dt R_{\gamma}[k, T(x), \mu(x), u(x)]$$
Why simulations in PRC 93 054901
(2016) and PRC 81 044904 (2010) have
almost the same yield despite ~5 times
difference in energy (35 vs 158 AGeV)?
Comparison with S. Endres, H. van Hees, M. Bleicher, Phys. Rev. C 93, 054901 (2016)
M Bishey MDD Status Mayor her 2022

V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022

V (2 al d

The Bayesian inversion method (Γ-fit): main assumptions

•Relation between multiplicity N_{ch} and impact parameter b is defined by the fluctuation kernel:



R. Rogly, G. Giacalone and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys.Rev. C98 (2018) no.2, 024902 Implementation in MPD: <u>https://github.com/Dim23/GammaFit</u>





TPC: $|\Delta \phi| < 2\pi$, $|\eta| \le 1.6$ **TOF, EMC**: $|\Delta \phi| < 2\pi$, $|\eta| \le 1.4$ **FFD**: $|\Delta \phi| < 2\pi$, $2.9 < |\eta| < 3.3$ **FHCAL**: $|\Delta \phi| < 2\pi$, $2 < |\eta| < 5$



+ forward spectrometers





Photons

- ✤ AuAu@11 GeV (UrQMD)
 - ✓ EMCAL: large acceptance but modest resolution and small S/B at low momentum
 - ✓ Conversion method: low efficiency (~ 1.5%) but high purity (> 95%) and good energy resolution



V. Riabov, MPD Status, November 2022

NICA

Dielectrons

- ✤ Dielectron spectra are sensitive probes of the deconfinement and the chiral symmetry restoration
- AuAu@11 GeV (UrQMD for background & PHQMD for signal)

