Machine learning based study of microchannel plate detector configurations for future NICA experiments

Authors: Galaktionov K.A., Roudnev V.A., Valiev F.F. Presentation: Galaktionov K.A.

St. Petersburg University

MPD Cross-PWG Meeting, 18 October 2022 https://indico.jinr.ru/event/3279/

# Microchannel plate detectors

Some features of these detectors:

- Variability in size
- Registration of charged particles
- Time of flight resolution pprox 50 100 ps



Fig. 1 Scheme of modeled detector configurations (not to scale). (left) - inside vacuum beam-pipe, three pairs of small rings (d = 3 cm, D = 5 cm), (right) - outside the beam-pipe in thin-wall vacuum chambers, one pair of big rings (d = 5 cm, D = 50 cm).

Kirill Galaktionov (SPBU)

### Research method

- The QGSM model of gold nuclei collisions  $(\sqrt{(s)} = 11 \text{GeV/nucleon})$  is used as a source data.
- Spacial and temporal data for the detector hits is generated according to the detector configuration.
- The detector data is used for the neural network training.



Fig. 2 Example of partitioning the detector into cells by radius and angle

# Artificial neural networks (ANN)

ANN - an example of supervised learning. Formula describing a dense layer of a neural network.

$$y = \theta(x * A^T + b) \tag{1}$$

Formula describing a convolutional layer of a neural network.

$$out(N_i, C_{out_j}) = \theta(bias(C_{out_j}) + \sum_{k=0}^{C_{in}-1} weight(C_{out_j}, k) \star input(N_i, k))$$
(2)

Where: y, out - outputs of layer; x, input - inputs of layer;  $A^T$  - transpose of a matrix of weights; weight - convolution kernel; b, bias - biases of layer,  $\theta(x)$  - activation function.

### One pair of big rings. Event features



Fig. 3 Scheme of the configuration



Fig. 4 Event features dependence on the impact parameter of an event. (left) - number of registered particles, (right) - mean angle of particle (first moment of distribution)

Kirill Galaktionov (SPBU)

Presentation

# One pair of big rings. Regression results

To make more realistic situation coordinate of the collision was taken from the normal distribution (mean = 0 cm, standard deviation = 15 cm) (results are in the right plot)



Fig. 5 Dependence of the evaluated impact parameter on the true value. (left) - collisions in the same point,  $\sigma = 0.78$  fm, (right) - collisions with distributed coordinate,  $\sigma = 0.80$  fm

# One pair of big rings. Classification results

The goal is to divide all events into two classes. Class 1 - impact parameter below threshold, Class 2 - above.



Fig. 6 Confusion matrices: (left) - threshold = 5 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 86 %, (right) - threshold = 1 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 82 %

# One pair of big rings. Classification results, distributed coordinate



Fig. 7 Confusion matrices: (left) - threshold = 5 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 87 %, (right) - threshold = 1 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 84 %

글 > - + 글 >

#### One pair of big circles. Event features



Fig. 8 Scheme of the configuration



Fig. 9 Event features dependence on the impact parameter of an event. (left) - number of registered particles, (right) - mean angle of particle (first moment of distribution)

Kirill Galaktionov (SPBU)

Presentation

# One pair of big circles. Classification results



Fig. 10 Confusion matrices: (left) - threshold = 5 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 93 %, (right) - threshold = 1 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 92 %

3 × 4 3 ×

#### Three pairs of small rings. Event features



Fig. 11 Scheme of the configuration



 Fig. 12 Event features dependence on the impact parameter of an

 event. (left) - number of registered particles, (right) - mean angle of

 particle (first moment of distribution)

 Kirill Galaktionov (SPBU)

 Presentation

 18 October 2022

 11/17

## Three pairs of small rings. Time of flight



Fig. 13 (left) - dependence of number of registered pions and protons (most part of the particles) on the impact parameter of an event (right) - pions and protons time-of-flight distribution and transformation formula, where t - time-of-flight,  $t_{0i}$  - average time of flight of pions on i-th detector

Kirill Galaktionov (SPBU)

### Three pairs of small rings. Regression results



Fig. 14 Dependence of the evaluated impact parameter on the true value. (left) - collisions in the same point,  $\sigma = 1.7$ fm, (right) - collisions with distributed coordinate,  $\sigma = 2.4$ fm

13/17

# Three pairs of small rings. Classification results

The goal is to divide all events into two classes. Class 1 - impact parameter below threshold, Class 2 - above.



Fig. 15 Confusion matrices: (left) - threshold = 5 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 89 %, (right) - threshold = 1 fm. Overall accuracy reaches 90 %

#### Conclusion

Advantages of big detectors geometry:

- Usage of only statistical data
- Sustainable to the distribution of the collision coordinate
- Small neural network

Advantages of small detectors geometry:

- Cheaper to make
- Less data preprocessing
- Occupy less space

## Overall comparison table

Detector type	Small rings detector	Big rings detector
Regression result ( $\sigma$ fm)	1.7	0.78
Regression (var. coord.) ( $\sigma$ fm)	2.4	0.80
5 fm classification, true positive	93.1 %	96.6 %
5 fm classification, true negative	88.6 %	84.9 %
1 fm classification, true positive	86.4 %	97.6 %
1 fm classification, true negative	90.1 %	82.0 %

э

# Computational resources

Evaluation was performed by calculating the amount of floating point multiplications needed for the work of algorithm.

Big detectors geometry:

- 300 400 floating point multiplications
- Preprocessing: number of particles and mean angle
- 2 x 352 cells

Small detectors geometry:

- 10000 80000 floating point multiplications
- Preprocessing: time-of-flight evaluation
- 6 x 32 cells

All values are approximate and require fine tuning.