

# Electron neutrino Energy Estimation for the NOvA 3 Flavor Analysis



Anastasiia Kalitkina JINR, Dubna (for the NOvA Collaboration)

### The NOvA Experiment

NOvA is the long-baseline accelerator neutrino experiment

- two functionally identical tracking calorimeter detectors with 810 km baseline;
- both detectors sit 14.6 mrad off;
- $\nu_{\mu}$  or  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  dominated flux, peaked around 2 GeV;

NOvA is sensitive to  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\Delta m_{23}^2$ , neutrino mass ordering etc.



## **NOvA's Event Selection**

There are two conceptually different event selection chains:



#### $\nu_e$ Energy Estimators

The event is broken up into hadronic (HAD) and electromagnetic (EM) clusters using a CNN [2]. The energy of the event is estimated using a fit to the energy deposited from its HAD and EM parts.

Monte Carlo dataset was divided into to halves for "Training" and "Testing".

• the training part includes fitting four parameters from the formula:

 $E_{reco} = scale \cdot \{ p_1 E_{EM} + p_2 E_{HAD} + p_3 E_{EM}^2 + p_4 E_{HAD}^2 \}$ 

• the testing part is used to compare reconstruction spectra to true energy resolution (see Results).





Color is efficiency

0.001

0.109

0.874

0.016

Nue

True Label

0.004

0.070

0.018

0.908

Numu

Cosmic

NC

Nue

Numu

Convolution Visual Network |1| was developed for classification of neutrino events by 4 categories:  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC,  $\nu_{e}$  CC, NC, or cosmic.

Improvements:

- training by Tensorflow
- efficiency of over 90%
- faster

## Selection efficiency

Working with *neutrino beam* the efficiency to select:



•  $\nu_{\mu}$  signal events is about 33% with purity 96%, **NOvA Preliminary** 

**NOvA Simulation** 

0.984

0.008

0.001

0.007

Cosmic

0.002

0.902

0.065

0.031

NC

1.0

- 0.8

- 0.6

- 0.4

- 0.2

- 0.0

3D only estimators use 3D prongs CNN to classify EMlike particles

#### 3D + 2D estimators

use both 3D and 2D prongs CNNs to classify particles into EM-like or HAD-like

#### Results

**FHC** 

0.1 prod5.1 (Test part) \_ 3D + 2D [m<sub>RMS</sub> = 0.008169] of Resolution += - 3D Only [m<sub>RMS</sub> = 0.006658] G Wean M<sup>\_0.05</sup>⊢ 2 2.5 3 0.5 True v Energy [GeV] **NOvA Simulation FHC** 300

**NOvA Simulation** 

- Adding the unmatched 2D prongs information improves the EM energy reconstruction.
- The differences between approaches are minor because estimators are highly sensitive to the fit parameters.



- Estimators give similar results for training and testing samples.
- The distributions are quite symmetrical and flat from 1 to 4.5 GeV.



References

- [1] A. Aurisano ans A. Radovic, D. Rocco, A. Himmel, M.D. Messier, E. Niner, G. Pawloski, F. Psihas, A. Sousa, and P. Vahle. A Convolutional Neural Network Neutrino Event Classifier. JINST, 11:09001, 2016.
- F. Psihas, E. Niner, M. Groh, R. Murphy, A. Aurisano, A. Himmel, K. Lang, M. D. Messier, A. Radovic, and A. Sousa. [2]Context-Enriched Identification of Particles with a Convolutional Network for Neutrino Events. Phys. Rev. D, 100(7):073005, 2019.

57th meeting of the PAC for Particle Physics, Dubna