



Correlations and flavors in jets in ALICE

Filip Krizek on behalf of the ALICE collaboration

Nuclear Physics Institute of AS CR krizek@ujf.cas.cz

July 6-11, 2015







- $\blacktriangleright \ \pi/K/p$ yields in charged jets in pp
- $\blacktriangleright~(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda})/2K_S^0$ ratio in charged jets in Pb–Pb and p–Pb
- h-jet correlation measurements in Pb–Pb and pp (Submitted to JHEP, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03984)

Hard scattering in heavy-ion collisions



- Hard scattered partons produce collimated sprays of particles
- Jet is a phenomenological object defined via algorithm
- Reasonably understood theoretically in pQCD in pp
- Hard scattering occurs in early stages of heavy-ion collision
- Jet quenching produces asymmetric di-jets



Jets in ALICE





- Charged jets (tracks $|\eta| < 0.9$, $0^{\circ} < \varphi < 360^{\circ}$, $p_{\rm T}^{\rm const} > 150 \, {\rm MeV}/c$)
- Full jets (tracks + EMCAL clusters $|\eta| < 0.7$, $80^\circ < \varphi < 180^\circ$)
- Jet reconstruction: anti- k_T algorithm (FastJet package [1]) Given jet R, charged jet acceptance is $|\eta_{jet}| < 0.9 - R$

[1] Cacciari et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1896.

Mean background density correction





Background energy density ρ estimated by area-based method [1] ρ = median_{k_T jets} {p_{T,jet}/A_{jet}}

event by event

$$p_{\mathsf{T},\mathsf{jet}}^{\mathsf{corr}} = p_{\mathsf{T},\mathsf{jet}} - \rho imes A_{\mathsf{jet}}$$

[1] Cacciari et al., Phys. Lett. B 659 (2008) 119.

Corrections of raw jet spectra





- Detector response: based on GEANT + PYTHIA
- Response matrix:

two effects are assumed to factorize $R_{\text{full}}\left(p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{rec}}, p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{part}}\right) = \delta p_{\text{t}}\left(p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{rec}}, p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{det}}\right) \otimes R_{\text{instr}}\left(p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{det}}, p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{part}}\right)$

- *R*⁻¹_{full} obtained with Bayesian [2] and SVD
 [3] unfolding with RooUnfold [4]
- [1] ALICE collab., JHEP 1203 (2012) 053
- [2] D'Agostini, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A362 (1995) 487
- [3] Höcker and Kartvelishvili, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A372 (1996) 469
- [4] http://hepunx.rl.ac.uk/~adye/software/unfold/RooUnfold.html



Jet constituent spectra in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$





Jet constituent spectra in pp at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$



7



$\pi/K/p$ yields in charged jets in pp at $\sqrt{s}=7~\text{TeV}$



- Corrected for tracking efficiency, acceptance, momentum smearing, contamination by secondary tracks and muons
- First measurement of particle type dependent jet fragmentation at the LHC





Increase of strangeness with z^{ch}

$$z^{\rm ch} = \frac{p_{\rm T,track}}{p_{\rm T,jet}^{\rm ch}}$$

- Leading baryon suppression at high z^{ch}
- Trends described by PYTHIA
- Kaons favor PerugiaNoCR (tune ID 324: no color reconnection, retuned to pre-LHC data)



Baryon anomaly





- Enhancement of baryon/meson ratio $p_{\rm T} \in (2,5)~{
 m GeV}/c~[*]$
- Seen also in p–Pb
- Λ, K⁰_S PID at higher p_T
- > Does the enhancement come from bulk only or do jets also contribute?

[*] PHENIX, PRL 91, 172301 (2003); STAR, PRL 108, 072301 (2012)

V0 and jet selection





Jet reconstruction:

- Charged anti-k_T jets R = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4
 p_T^{leading track} > 5 GeV/c
- V0 reconstruction:
- $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- \\ \Lambda^0 \rightarrow \mathsf{p} + \pi^- \end{array}$
- V0 selected by topological cuts
- \blacktriangleright V0 in jet $\sqrt{\Delta \varphi_{\rm jet,V0}^2 + \Delta \eta_{\rm jet,V0}^2} < R$
- V0 yield in jet corrected for UE
- ▶ V0 yields corrected for reconstruction efficiency
- Feed-down correction of Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ yield

$(\Lambda + \overline{\Lambda})/2K_S^0$ in jets in Pb–Pb and p–Pb





- $(\Lambda + \overline{\Lambda})/2K_S^0$ is in jets in central Pb–Pb collisions significantly lower than for inclusive particles
- ▶ Baryon/meson ratio in jets significantly below inclusive one ⇒ baryon anomaly arises from bulk

Selection of jets using fragmentation bias





- Hard scattering, rare process embedded in huge background
- Spectrum of reconstructed jets dominated by combinatorial jets
- Suppression of combinatorial jets by high-p_T track requirement results in fragmentation bias on quenched jets

Hadron-jet coincidence measurement





- h-jet correlation allows to suppress combinatorial bg jets including MPI without imposing fragmentation bias
- \diamond Data driven approach allows to measure jets with large R and low $p_{\rm T}$
- \diamond In events with a high- $p_{\rm T}$ trigger hadron analyze recoiling away side jets ${}_{\rm [1]}$

 $| \varphi_{\mathrm{trig}} - \varphi_{\mathrm{jet}} - \pi | < \mathrm{0.6} \ \mathrm{rad}$

 \diamond Combinatorial jets are independent of trigger $p_{\rm T}$





$$\Delta_{\text{recoil}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}\{20,50\}} - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}\{8,9\}}$$

$$\diamond \text{ Link to theory } \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}^{\text{AA}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}^{\text{AA}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + X}} \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + jet + X}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}}\right) \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\uparrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + X}} \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + jet + X}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}}\right) \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\uparrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + X}} \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + jet + X}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}}\right) \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\uparrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + X}} \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + jet + X}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}}\right) \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\uparrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,trig}} \in \text{TT}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + X}} \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\text{AA} \rightarrow \text{h} + jet + X}}{d\rho_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch}} d\eta_{\text{jet}}}\right) \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\uparrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{jet}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \Big|_{P_{\text{T,h}} \in \text{TT}}$$

$$\downarrow \frac{10^3 - \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trig}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trig}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trig}}}{d\eta_{\text{jet}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trig}}}{d\eta_{\text{trig}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trig}}}{d\eta_{\text{trig$$

$\Delta_{ m recoil}$ spectra in pp at $\sqrt{s}=7~TeV$



- pp analysis similar to Pb-Pb
 - Gray boxes syst. uncert. resulting from detector effects and unfolding
- **PYTHIA** comparison
 - Perugia 10 and 11 are compatible with the data
 - Supports the use Perugia 10 calculation as a reference for Pb–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- Bottom panel shows variation w.r.t. the smooth fit of ALICE data

More details in http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03984





- Reference $\Delta_{\text{recoil}}^{\text{Pythia}}$ from PYTHIA Perugia 10
- Suppression in recoil jet yield
- Magnitude of the suppression is similar for different R

Ratios of recoil jet yields obtained with different R





- ▶ Red band: variation in observable calculated using PYTHIA tunes
- No evidence for significant energy redistribution w.r.t. PYTHIA
- No evidence for intra-jet broadening up to R = 0.5

More details in http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03984



- First measurement of particle type dependent jet fragmentation at the LHC
- Baryon anomaly arises from bulk

Summary

- Hadron-jet correlation observables in heavy-ion collisions
 - no fragmentation bias in jet selection (unique to this technique)
 - allow to study jets with low p_T and large R with minimal IR cutoff
 - \blacktriangleright $\Delta_{\rm recoil}$ calculated with PYTHIA Perugia tunes consistent with measurement in pp at $\sqrt{s}=7~{\rm TeV}$
 - > Suppression of recoil jet yield in Pb–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}=2.76$ TeV $(\Delta I_{\rm AA}\approx 0.6)$
 - No evidence of intra-jet broadening of energy profile out to R = 0.5







- \blacktriangleright Extending acceptance for full jet reconstruction with DCal (Di-Jet Calorimeter), $|\eta|<$ 0.7 and azimuth 60°
- New PHOS module
- More statistics in Run2 (jet shapes, sub-jets, γ/h-jets,...)



PID in jets - TPC Coherent Fit



22

- Most abundant particle types
 π, Κ, p, e
- Gaussian signal shape
- Continuous mean, width parameterized by model *θ* = *θ*(*p*_T)
- Continuous particle fractions

 f = *f*(p_T) within stat.
 uncertainty
- Maximum likelihood fit with regularization to get $\vec{\theta}$ and \vec{f}

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i \in \textit{p}_{\mathsf{T}} \text{bins}} \mathcal{L} \left(\vec{\theta_i}, \vec{f_i} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\textit{reg}} \left(\vec{f_i} \right)$$

X.-G. Lu, Ph.D. thesis

http://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/volltextserver/15651/





- Most abundant particle types π , K, p, e
- dE/dx template for given particle specie obtained from real data
- Maximum likelihood fit