Transport Coefficients and quarkhadron phase transition(s) from PLSM in vanishing and finite magnetic field

Strangeness in Quark Matter 2015

Dubna, July 6-11, 2015

ABDEL NASSER TAWFIK

Egyptian Center for Theoretical Physics (ECTP), World Laboratory for Cosmology And Particle Physics (WLCAPP)

Collaborators: Abdel Magied DIAB (P#22) and Nada Ezzelarab (P#26)









- SU(3) Polyakov linear-σ model in magnetic fields: <u>Thermodynamics, higher-order moments, chiral phase</u> <u>structure, and meson masses</u> AT, N. Magdy, Phys.Rev. C91 (2015) 015206, <u>1501.01124</u> [hep-ph]
- Polyakov SU(3) extended linear-σ model: Sixteen mesonic states in chiral phase structure AT, A. Diab, Phys.Rev. C91 (2015) 1, 015204, 1412.2395 [hep-ph]
- 3. Thermodynamics and higher order moments in SU(3) linear σ-model with gluonic quasi-particles AT, N. Magdy, J.Phys. G42 (2015) 1, 015004, 1411.1871 [hep-ph]
- **4.** <u>SU(3) Polyakov linear-σ model in an external magnetic field</u> AT, N. Magdy, Phys.Rev. C90 (2014) 1, 015204, <u>1406.7488</u> [hep-ph]
- Polyakov linear SU(3) σ model: Features of higher-order moments in a dense and thermal hadronic medium AT, N. Magdy, A. Diab, Phys.Rev. C89 (2014) 5, 055210, 1405.0577 [hep-ph]





- Sigma model and symmetries
- SU(3) LσM with Polyakov-Loop Potential
- Hadron-Quark Phase Transition(s)
- Electrical and Heat Conductivity
- Bulk and Shear Viscosity

Origin of magnetic field in Heavy-Ion Collisions $\approx m_{\pi}^2$





Sigma-Model is a Physical system with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} \, \mathrm{d}\phi_i \wedge * \mathrm{d}\phi_j \qquad \qquad \text{Exterior algebraic} \\ \text{Wedge Product} \end{aligned}$$

The fields ϕ_i represent **map** from a **base manifold** spacetime (worldsheet) to a **target** (Riemannian) **manifold** of the scalars linked together by internal symmetries.

The scalars gij determines linear and non-linear properties.

It was introduced by **Gell-Mann** and **Levy** in **1960**. The name σ -model comes from a field corresponding to the spinless meson σ , scalar introduced earlier by **Schwinger**.





- It is one of the lattice QCD alternatives
- Various symmetry-breaking scenarios can be investigated in a more easy way
- Various properties of strongly interacting matter can be studied
- But, finite temperature L_σM requires many-body resummation schemes, because the IR divergences cause perturbation theory to break down
- Limitations are given by Sigma-fields



The chiral part of L_{σ}M-Lagrangian has $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$ symmetry

There fermionic part
$$\mathcal{L}_q = \sum_f \overline{\psi}_f (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - gT_a(\sigma_a + i\gamma_5\pi_a))\psi_f$$

and mesonic part $\mathcal{L}_m = \operatorname{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - m^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi) - \lambda_1 [\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)]^2$
 $-\lambda_2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 + c[\operatorname{Det}(\Phi) + \operatorname{Det}(\Phi^\dagger)]$
 $+\operatorname{Tr}[H(\Phi + \Phi^\dagger)],$

- m^2 is tree-level mass of the fields in the absence of symmetry breaking
- λ_1 and λ_2 are the two possible quartic coupling constants,
- *c* is the cubic coupling constant,

wł

• *g* flavor-blind Yukawa coupling of quarks to mesons and of quarks to background gauge field $A_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu 0} A_0$

 $c = 4.80; g = 6.5; \lambda_1 = 5.90; \lambda_2 = 46.48; m^2 = (0.495)^2;$





The coupling between Polyakov loop and quarks is given by the covariant derivative

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}$$
 in PLSM Lagrangian $A_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu 0}A_{0}$ in the chiral limit

$$\mathcal{L}_{PLSM} = \mathcal{L}_{meson} + \mathcal{L}_{quark} + \bar{q}\gamma_{0}\mathcal{A}_{0} - \mathcal{U}(\phi, \phi^{*}, T),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{chiral} = \mathcal{L}_{meson} + \mathcal{L}_{quark} + \bar{q}\gamma_{0}\mathcal{A}_{0}$$

invariant under chiral flavor group (like QCD Lagrangian)

In vanishing μ , then $\phi = \phi^*$ and the Polyakov loop is considered as an order parameter for the deconfinement phase-transition





In thermal equilibrium, grand partition function can be defined by using a path integral over quark, antiquark and meson fields

$$\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{Tr} \exp[-(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{f=u,d,s} \mu_f \hat{\mathcal{N}}_f)/T]$$
$$= \int \prod_a \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\pi_a \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left[\int_x (\mathcal{L} + \sum_{f=u,d,s} \mu_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^0 \psi_f)\right],$$
$$f_a \equiv i \int_0^{1/T} dt \int_V d^3x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_f \quad \text{chemical potential}$$

where $\int_x \equiv i \int_0^{1/4} dt \int_V d^3x$ and μ_f chemical potential Thermodynamic potential density

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Omega(T,\mu)} &= \frac{-T \ln \mathcal{Z}}{V} = U(\sigma_x,\sigma_y) + \mathcal{U}(\phi,\phi^*,T) + \Omega_{\bar{\psi}\psi}.\\ \frac{\mathcal{U}(\phi,\phi^*,T)}{T^4} &= -\frac{b_2(T)}{2}\phi\phi^* - \frac{b_3}{6}(\phi^3 + \phi^{*3}) + \frac{b_4}{4}(\phi\phi^*)^2\\ b_2(T) &= a_0 + a_1 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right) + a_2 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^2 + a_3 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^3 \quad \text{All other parameters:}\\ \text{pure gauge QCD thermo} \end{split}$$





Quarks and antiquarks Potential contribution

$$\Omega_{\bar{\psi}\psi} = -2TN \int_0^\infty \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \ln\left[1 + 3(\phi + \phi^* e^{-(E-\mu)/T}) \times e^{-(E-\mu)/T} + e^{-3(E-\mu)/T}\right] + \ln\left[1 + 3(\phi^* + \phi e^{-(E+\mu)/T}) \times e^{-(E+\mu)/T} + e^{-3(E+\mu)/T}\right] \right\},$$

where N gives the number of quark flavors, $E = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$

$$m_q = g \frac{\sigma_x}{2},$$
$$m_s = g \frac{\sigma_y}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Mesonic potential $U(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) = \frac{m^2}{2}(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2) - h_x\sigma_x - h_y\sigma_y - \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}\sigma_x^2\sigma_y + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2 + \frac{1}{8}(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\sigma_x^4 + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\sigma_y^4.$

Vandermonde determinant is found negligibly small

Gives change of variables from vector potential to ϕ in path integral and guarantees a reasonable behavior of the mean field approximation





Again the thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega(T,\mu) = \frac{-T \ln \mathcal{Z}}{V} = U(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) + \mathcal{U}(\phi, \phi^*, T) + \Omega_{\bar{\psi}\psi}.$$

It has various parameters:

$$m^2, h_x, h_y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, c$$
 and g

 σ_x and σ_y condensates (chiral order parameters) ϕ and ϕ^* (deconfinement order parameters

 $m^2, h_x, h_y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and c can be fixed, experimentally σ_x, σ_y, ϕ and ϕ^* minimizing the potential

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_x} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\sigma_y} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi^*}\Big|_{min} = 0,$$

refined by lattice QCD,

 $\sigma_x = \bar{\sigma_x}, \sigma_y = \bar{\sigma_y}, \phi = \bar{\phi}$ and $\phi^* = \bar{\phi^*}$ are the global minimum



Lattice QCD Thermodynamics: PLSM





Lattice QCD Thermodynamics: HRG





Why HRG fails to reproduce lattice data at finite eB? eB reduces hadron asses!?





The quarks and antiquarks Potential contribution

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\bar{q}q}(T,\mu_{f}) &= -2T \sum_{f=l,s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{3}\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ \ln \left[1 + 3 \left(\phi + \phi^{*}e^{-\frac{E_{f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} \right) \times e^{-\frac{E_{f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{E_{f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} \right] \right\}, \\ &+ \ln \left[1 + 3 \left(\phi^{*} + \phi e^{-\frac{E_{f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} \right) \times e^{-\frac{E_{f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{E_{f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} \right] \right\}, \\ \Omega_{\bar{q}q}(T,\mu_{f},B) &= \left[-\sum_{f=l,s} \frac{|q_{f}|B}{(2\pi)^{2}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_{maxf}} (2 - \delta_{0\nu}) \int_{0}^{\infty} dp_{z} \right] \\ &= \left\{ \ln \left[1 + 3 \left(\phi + \phi^{*}e^{-\frac{E_{B,f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} \right) e^{-\frac{E_{B,f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{E_{B,f}-\mu_{f}}{T}} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \ln \left[1 + 3 \left(\phi^{*} + \phi e^{-\frac{E_{B,f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} \right) e^{-\frac{E_{B,f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{E_{B,f}+\mu_{f}}{T}} \right] \right\} \\ &= E_{B,f}(B) = \sqrt{p_{z}^{2} + m_{f}^{2}} + |q_{f}|(2n+1-\sigma)B] \\ \end{split}$$
Relations to spins $\sigma = \pm S/2.$ Landau levels $\nu_{maxf} = \left[\frac{\tau_{f}^{2} - \Lambda_{QCD}^{2}}{2|q_{f}|B} \right] \\ \end{split}$

 τ is related to baryon chemical potentials at varying temperatures.

Light and strange sigma/phi-condensates at eB≠0



normalized to vacuum value (π &K decay width)

Citie -

WLCAPP

World Laboratory Cosmology And





normalized to vacuum value (π &K decay width)

WLCAPP World Laboratory







Electrical and Heat Conductivity







Based on parton-hadron-string dynamics transport approach

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_z^j = q_j e E_z$$

an additional force causes the propagation of charge.

The electrical current density



In natural units, the ratio of current density and electric field strength electric conductivity

$$\frac{\sigma_0}{T} = \frac{j_{eq}}{E_z T}$$
 proportionality between e-current and e-field

F. Reif, Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965). W. Cassing, O. Linnyk, T. Steinert, and V. Ozvenchuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 182301 (2013).









in relaxation time approximation, σ is described in Gases, Liquids and Solid State,

 $\sigma_0 = \frac{e^2 n_e \tau}{m_e^*}$

n density of nonlocalized charges au relaxation time of charge carriers m_e^* effective masses for partonic degrees of freedom within the dynamical quasiparticle model (DQPM), the thermal dependence reads

$$\frac{\sigma_0(T)}{T} \approx \begin{array}{c} 2\\ \hline 9\\ \hline M_q(T) \Gamma_q(T) T \end{array}$$

 Γ_q width of quasiparticle spectral function M_q pole mass=spectral dist. of quark-mass

flavor averaged fractional quark charge squared

In PHSD: DQPM matches quasiparticles properties to IQCD results in equilibrium for EOS, electromagnetic correlator, among others.





Durde-Lorentz conductivity



 σ is related to flow of charges in presence of an electric field (decay constant & relaxation time)
 response of the strongly interacting system in equilibrium to an external e-field

- external e-field is applied on flowing charges, the induced electric current J is related to the e-field. σ is the proportionally constant.
- self-interaction between quarks and gluons, Green-Kubo corrector





NJL/DQPM: PRC88, 045204 (2013) LQCD: PRL111,172001 (2013), PRD83,034504 (2011), JHEP1303,100 (2013), 1412.6411, 1501.0018







NJL/DQPM: PRC88, 045204 (2013)





From relativistic Navier-Stokes ansatz, heat flow is proportional to the gradient of thermal potential

$$q^{\mu} = -\kappa \frac{nT^{2}}{\epsilon + p} \bigtriangledown^{\mu} \alpha = \kappa \left(\bigtriangledown^{\mu}T - \frac{T}{\epsilon + p} \bigtriangledown^{\mu} p \right)$$
PRE87, 033019 (2013)
Modeling
$$q^{x} = \kappa (\bigtriangledown^{x}T) = -\kappa \partial_{x} T(x) \leftarrow \text{Temperature profile} \Rightarrow \kappa = q^{x} \frac{(ax + b)^{2}}{ap}$$

Alternatively, linearizing Boltzmann Eq. -> PRD48, 2916 (1993)

$$\begin{split} f_i &= f_i^{le} + \frac{\partial f_i^0}{\partial \varepsilon_i} \Phi_i \frac{\nabla T}{T} & f_i^{le} &= \{ \exp[(\varepsilon_i - \mu)/T(z)] + 1 \}^{-1} \\ \text{Non-Equilibrium distribution function} & Equilibrium distribution function} \end{split}$$

Then, the thermal current reads $J_T = \nu_q \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\varepsilon_p - \mu_q) v_z \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \varepsilon_p} \Psi_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{3} \mu_q^2 T^2$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{24}{\pi^3} \alpha_s^2 T^{-2} I_\kappa(T/q_D)$$

$$I_{\kappa}(T/q_D) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} \ln(T/q_D) + 0.30 \,, & T \gg q_D \,, \\ \\ 2\zeta(3) \left(\frac{T}{q_D}\right)^2 \,, & T \ll q_D \,. \end{cases}$$

 $\alpha_{\rm s}$ running strong coupling

 $q_{
m D}$ Debye wave number $g^2 N_q \mu^2/(2\pi^2)$



Heat Conductivity at eB=0





Relaxation time, specific heat are T- and mu-dependent

Relative velocity
$$\nu_{rel} = \sqrt{(p_1 p_2)^2 - (m_1 m_2)^2} / E_1 E_2$$

 $\kappa(T, \mu) = \frac{1}{3} \nu_{rel} c_V(T, \mu) \sum_k \tau_k(T, \mu)$
Relative velocity Specific heat Decay time

κ is related to heat flow of relativistic fluid (rate of energy change)

 κ can be estimated through irradiation caused by energetic ions



NJL/DQPM: PRC88, 045204 (2013)



Non-Normalized Heat Conductivity at eB=0









Kubo's formula: shear η and bulk ζ viscosities are related to the correlation function of stress tensor

7

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \frac{1}{9} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty dt \int d^3 r \, e^{i\omega t} \left\langle \left[\theta_{ii}(x), \theta_{kk}(0) \right] \right\rangle \end{aligned} \qquad \text{PLB663, 217 (2008)} \\ \zeta &= \frac{1}{9} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty dt \int d^3 r \, e^{i\omega t} \left\langle \left[\theta_{\mu}^{\mu}(x), \theta_{\mu}^{\mu}(0) \right] \right\rangle \end{aligned} \qquad \text{LI- operators} \end{aligned}$$

In low energy theorems: bulk viscosity is a measure for violation of conformal invariance

$$(\mathcal{E} - 3P)^* = \langle m\bar{q}q \rangle^* + \langle m\bar{q}q \rangle_0 - 4 |\epsilon_v| \qquad \begin{cases} \langle m\bar{q}q \rangle_0 = -M_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 - M_K^2 f_K^2 \\ \text{PCAC relations} \end{cases}$$
$$9\,\omega_0\,\zeta = T\,s\,\left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - 3\right) - 4(\mathcal{E} - 3P) + \left(T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 2\right)\langle m\bar{q}q \rangle^* + 16|\epsilon_v| + 6(M_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 + M_K^2 f_K^2)$$



Bulk Viscosity at eB=0





NJL/DQPM: PRC88, 045204 (2013)

PRD76, 101701(2007); PRL100, 162001(2008); PoS LAT2007, 221(2007); PRL94, 072305(2005).



PLSM Bulk Viscosity at eB≠0







PLSM Bulk Viscosity at eB≠0







η/s

Shear Viscosity at eB=0





KSS: Kovtun, Son, Starinets, PRL94, 111601 (2005).



s/ h

PLSM Shear Viscosity at eB≠0







PLSM and LQCD Thermodynamics at eB≠0







Freezeout Diagram at eB≠0 and for s/T^3=7

CELE

CAPP







PLSM and LQCD Thermodynamics at eB=0







PLSM and LQCD Thermodynamics at eB≠0





Thank You!