





Results from the Beam Energy Scan Program at STAR





Grigory Nigmatkulov



Infinite and Finite Nuclear Matter. Feb. 27 - Mar. 3, 2023





- Search for the QGP turn-off signatures
- Search for the first-order phase transition
- Search for the critical point

BES-II and fixed-target (FXT) program:

- Need higher statistics (≥10 times than in BES-I) for precise measurements
- Detector upgrades (increased acceptance and PID capabilities)
- Access to energies Vs_{NN} <7.7 GeV via FXT

STAR 🛧 The Fixed-target (FXT) Setup

Gold target:

- 2 cm below nominal beam axis
- 2 m from center of STAR
- $250\,\mu m$ foil

√s _{NN} (GeV)	Beam Energy (GeV/nucleon)	Collider or Fixed Target	Ycenter of mass	µв (MeV)	Run Time (days)	No. Events Collected (Request)	Date Collected	
200	100	С	0	25	2.0	138 M (140 M)	Run-19	
27	13.5	С	0	156	24	555 M (700 M)	Run-18	
19.6	9.8	С	0	206	36	582 M (400 M)	Run-19	
17.3	8.65	С	0	230	14	256 M (250 M)	Run-21	
14.6	7.3	С	0	262	60	324 M (310 M)	Run-19	
13.7	100	FXT	2.69	276	0.5	52 M (50 M)	Run-21	
11.5	5.75	С	0	316	54	235 M (230 M)	Run-20	
11.5	70	FXT	2.51	316	0.5	50 M (50 M)	Run-21	
9.2	4.59	С	0	372	102	162 M (160 M)	Run-20+20b	
9.2	44.5	FXT	2.28	372	0.5	50 M (50 M)	Run-21	
7.7	3.85	С	0	420	90	100 M (100 M)	Run-21	
7.7	31.2	FXT	2.10	420	0.5+1.0+ scattered	50 M + 112 M + 100 M (100 M)	Run-19+20+21	
7.2	26.5	FXT	2.02	443	2+Parasitic with CEC	155 M + 317 M	Run-18+20	
6.2	19.5	FXT	1.87	487	1.4	118 M (100 M)	Run-20	
5.2	13.5	FXT	1.68	541	1.0	103 M (100 M)	Run-20	
4.5	9.8	FXT	1.52	589	0.9	108 M (100 M)	Run-20	
3.9	7.3	FXT	1.37	633	1.1	117 M (100 M)	Run-20	
3.5	5.75	FXT	1.25	666	0.9	116 M (100 M)	Run-20	
3.2	4.59	FXT	1.13	699	2.0	200 M (200 M)	Run-19	
3.0	3.85	FX Grigory	Nigm @5 ulov	IMPNU	M-2026 Ma	259 ₩2-> 2B(100 M -> 2B)	Run-18+21	

Good particle identification in a broad momentum range using TPC and TOF

Particle ratios and p_T spectra are measured at BES, from which the freeze-out conditions can be extracted

- Weak temperature dependence
- Centrality dependence of μ_B

Kinetic freeze-out

- Central collisions \rightarrow lower value of T_{kin} and larger

- Stronger collectivity at higher energy, even for

collectivity $<\beta>$

STAR A Freeze-out conditions

✓ Collectivity increases with beam energy for central collisions

✓ Gap between chemical and kinetic freeze-out temperatures increases with beam energy, which suggests hadronic system interacts for longer duration in high energy collisions.

STAR A Particle Production at 3 GeV

energies is far from the GCE limit and

the local treatment of strangeness

conservation is crucial

Different trend as compared to higher Vs_{NN} - different EOS at 3 GeV?

STAR 🛧 Nuclear Modification in the Medium

 $R_{\rm CP}$ has two regimes in the behavior depending on the collision energy:

- decrease of particle production with high p_T in central collisions at high energies
- smooth growth of particle production in central collisions at low collision energies.

R_{CP} behavior changes at ${\rm Vs}_{\rm NN}{\rm \sim}30\,GeV$

High statistics of BES-II will allow to measure R_{CP} in high p_T region at low collision energies

STAR * Fluctuations of conserved quantities

PRL 112, 032302 (2014) : STAR Collaboration

(1) Sensitive to correlation length

$$C_{2} = \langle (\delta N)^{2} \rangle_{c} \approx \xi^{2} \qquad C_{5} = \langle (\delta N)^{5} \rangle_{c} \approx \xi^{9.5}$$

$$C_{3} = \langle (\delta N)^{3} \rangle_{c} \approx \xi^{4.5} \quad C_{6} = \langle (\delta N)^{6} \rangle_{c} \approx \xi^{12}$$

$$C_{4} = \langle (\delta N)^{4} \rangle_{c} \approx \xi^{7}$$

(2) Direct comparison with susceptibilities.

$$\begin{split} S\sigma &= \frac{C_3}{C_2} = \frac{\chi_3}{\chi_2} \quad \kappa \sigma^2 = \frac{C_4}{C_2} = \frac{\chi_4}{\chi_2} \quad \frac{C_6}{C_2} = \frac{\chi_6}{\chi_2} \\ \chi_n^q &= \frac{1}{VT^3} \times C_n^q = \frac{\partial^n p / T^4}{\partial \mu_q^n}, \ q = B, Q, S \end{split}$$

Volume dependence can be canceled by taking the ratio.

STAR A Hints of Critical Fluctuations

 $<\delta N >= N - < N >$ $C_1 = M =< N >$ $C_2 = \sigma^2 =< (\delta N)^2 >$ $C_3 = S\sigma^3 =< (\delta N)^3 >$ $C_4 = \kappa \sigma^4 =< (\delta N)^4 > -3 < (\delta N)^2 >^2$

PRL 126 (2021) 92301

 Net-proton κσ² (C₄/C₂) shows a non-monotonic behaviour. The trend is consistent with the expectation from theoretical calculations having a critical point.

✓ Enhancement at low beam energies cannot be explained by baryon number conservation.

STAR A Hints of Critical Fluctuations

Predicted scenario for this measurement

- There isn't yet any direct experimental evidence for the smooth cross over at $\mu_{\text{B}}{\sim}0$ MeV
- $C_6/C_2 < 0$ is predicted as a signature of cross over transition
- High-statistics data sets at Vs_{NN} = 27, 54.4, and 200 GeV are analyzed to look for the experimental signature of cross over transition

Suggestive of smooth cross over at top RHIC energies

Mapping QCD phase diagram

Multiplicity dependence of fluctuations

- C_4/C_2 , C_5/C_1 , and C_6/C_2 decrease with increasing multiplicity
- At high-multiplicity, cumulant ratios approach toward lattice prediction for the thermalized QCD matter and smooth crossover ($\mu_B \sim 0$)

STAR: arXiv: 2207.09837 Lattice: PRD 101 (2020) 7, 074502

Search for QCD critical point

Net-proton fluctuations

STAR: PRL 128, 202303 (2022)

Susceptibility Cumulants $\chi_4/\chi_2 \longrightarrow C_4/C_2$

• Non-monotonic behavior as a function of \sqrt{s}_{NN} Significance of 3.1 σ relative to Skellam expectation

• At $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 3$ GeV, fluctuations driven by baryon number conservation Hadronic interaction dominates Grigory Nigmatkulov. INFINUM-2023. Mar. 21, 2023

Net-proton Cumulant Ratios (theoretical calculations)

- Both the baryon conservation and repulsion needed to describe data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \geq 20~{\rm GeV}$ quantitatively
- Effect from baryon conservation is larger than from repulsion
- Canonical ideal HRG limit is consistent with the data-driven study of [Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA 1008 (2021) 122141]
- κ_6/κ_2 turns negative at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 50$ GeV

STAR 🖈

P. Klob, U. W. Heinz, Nucl. Phys. A715, (2003) 653c A A.M. Poskanzer & S.A. Voloshin, Phys.Rev. C58 (1998)

Sensitive to early times in the evolution of the system
 Sensitive to the equation of state
 Probe of the early (partonic) stage of the collision

STAR A Directed flow from BES-I

- v₁ proposed as good probe to search for the 1st-order phase transition (strong softening)
- dv_1/dy for Λ and p agree within uncertainties
- dv_1/dy slope for baryons changes sign in the region $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ <14.5 GeV
- Particles (anti- Λ , anti-p, and ϕ) with produced quarks show similar behavior at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ >14.5 GeV
- Mesons show negative dv₁/dy

Minimum in dv_1/dy slope at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 15$ GeV

Assumption for the coalescence sum rule:

- v₁ is developed at the prehadronic stage
- Specific type of quarks have the same v₁
- Hadrons are formed via coalescence

 $(v_n)_{hadron} = \Sigma(v_n)_{\text{constituent quarks}}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 062301

For anti-Lambda, prediction using coalescence sum rule agrees with measured v_1 above $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =11.5 GeV

STAR 🖈 Directed and Elliptic Flow

- Light nucleus v₁(p_T) follows atomic-mass-number (A) scaling at different rapidity bins
- At 3 GeV, the NCQ scaling is absent and the opposite collective behavior is observed: the elliptic flow of all hadrons at midrapidity is negative; the slope of the directed flow of all hadrons, except π^+ , at midrapidity is positive.
- Observations imply the vanishing of partonic collectivity and a new EOS, likely dominated by baryonic interactions in the high baryon density region
 Grigory Nigmatkulov. INFINUM-2023. Mar. 21, 2023

STAR A Femtoscopic correlations

• Time delays of the particle emission could be observed using femtoscopy technique (via R_{out}/R_{side} or $R_{out}^2-R_{side}^2$) and used to search for the 1st-order phase transition

D.H. Rischke, M. Gyulassy. NPA 608 (1996) 479

- R_{side} geometrical size
- R_{out} sensitive to geometrical size and particle emission duration
- R_{long} sensitive to the time of maximum emission

STAR 🕁 Charged Pion Femtoscopy in HIC

- Precise measurements in a broad energy range (from 2.41 GeV to 2.76 TeV)
- Can be reasonably described with hybrid models (initial conditions + hydrodynamics + hadron cascade)
- Need more high-statistics measurements at low energies
- Results can be described with hybrid models; preference to the cross over phase transition

STAR 🖈 Femtoscopy Results from the FXT Program

Grigory Nigmatkulov. INFINUM-2023. Mar. 21, 2023

- The Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) formed in non-central nucleus-nucleus collisions is associated with large angular momentum, that leads to <u>vorticity</u> in the medium
- Spin-orbit coupling aligns spin directions of produced particles with the direction of <u>vorticity</u>

Z.-T. Liang and X.-N. Wang, PRL94, 102301 (2005)
 S. A. Voloshin, arXiv:nucl-th/0410089

 Another possible source of particle polarization is <u>magnetic field</u>, created in non-central collisions in the initial stage

D. Kharzeev, L. McLerran, and H. Warringa, Nucl.Phys.A803, 227 (2008)
 McLerran and Skokov, Nucl. Phys. A929, 184 (2014)

70 8 centrality[%

Global spin alignment of vector-mesons in heavy-ion collisions

STAR: Nature

Phys. Rev. D 101 096005 (2020); Phys. Regri Dr 02g 056013. (2020)-2023. Mar. 21, 2023

STAR $A = 4_{\Lambda}H$ and $4_{\Lambda}He$ binding energy in Au+Au collisions at $\int s_{NN} = 3$ GeV

STAR \bigstar ³ $_{\Lambda}$ H and ⁴ $_{\Lambda}$ H lifetimes and yields in Au+Au collisions at $\int s_{NN} = 3$ GeV

- $\tau({}^{3}_{\Lambda}H) = 221 \pm 15(\text{stat.}) \pm 19(\text{syst.}) \text{ ps}$ $\tau({}^{4}_{\Lambda}H) = 218 \pm 6(\text{stat.}) \pm 13(\text{syst.}) \text{ ps}$
- Calculations from the thermal model, which adopts the canonical ensemble for strangeness that is mandatory at low beam energies are compared to data
- Thermal model predictions are consistent with the ³_AH yield, but underestimates the ⁴_AH yield
- Hadronic transport models JAM and PHQMD calculations reproduce the measured midrapidity reasonably well

STAR 🛧 Hypernuclei flow

-1.0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2

Particle Rapidity (y)

-1.0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2

STAR 🛧 STAR 2023-2025 Run Plan and Physics Program

2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 p+p $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ Au+Au Au+Au [28 Cryo-weeks] p+p 510 GeV $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \, {\rm GeV}$ **Completion of** $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \, {\rm GeV}$ 235 pb-1 BES-II [16 Cryo-weeks] [28 Cryo-weeks] [28 Cryo-weeks] [FXT program] p+Au 400 pb-1 31 pb-1 31 pb-1 $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200 \, {\rm GeV}$ 1.3 pb-1 STAR forward detectors upgrade

Run plan

It includes Hot-QCD and Cold-QCD STAR programs.

Hot-QCD program: Study the microstructure of the QGP
 Precision jet and heavy-flavor measurements

Kinematic coverage

- BES-II upgrades performing at or above expectation
- Excellent performance from RHIC and STAR
- All requested BES-II data collected, providing 17 unique energies from 3-200 GeV with some overlapping collider and FXT energies
- Precision analyses are ongoing with very well understood detector
- Most exciting features:
 - Rcp: change of the behavior at Vs_{NN}^{20} GeV
 - Net-proton fluctuations: hints for criticality at Vs_{NN} ~17 GeV
 - Correlation femtoscopy: peak structure at VsNN~30 GeV
 - Hypernuclei: many new measurements
 - Voritcity: from discovery to the precise measurements
- Many results exist and a lot more will come soon

From Sergei A. Voloshin

PAY ATTENTION TO DETALS: references, definitions and terminology, clearly define physical goals and corresponding measurements/observables

Backup

STAR * Search for the Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)

- The chiral magnetic effect (CME) is predicted to occur as a consequence of a local violation of P and CP symmetries of the strong interaction amidst a strong electromagnetic field generated in relativistic heavy-ion collisions.
- Experimental manifestation of the CME involves a separation of positively and negatively charged hadrons along the direction of the magnetic field.
- Previous measurements of the CME-sensitive charge-separation observables remain inconclusive because of large background contributions.
- In order to better control the influence of signal and backgrounds, the STAR Collaboration performed a blind analysis of a large data sample of approximately 3.8 billion isobar collisions of ⁹⁶Ru+⁹⁶Ru and ⁹⁶Zr+⁹⁶Zr at Vs_{NN} = 200 GeV.

STAR * Search for the CME (History)

Previous measurements of the CME-sensitive charge-separation observables remain inconclusive because of large background contributions.

Grigory Nigmatkulov. Nucleus-2021.

STAR * Search for the CME (Precision)

Large data set needed to hit small statistical uncertainty target

Systematic uncertainties between species need to be controlled below that level Special RHIC conditions See G. Marr et al., in 10th International Particle Accelerator Conference (2019) pp. 28–32

- 1. Alternate the isobar species between each store of beam in RHIC
- 2. Keep long stores with constant beam luminosity
- 3. Match luminosities between the species
- 4. Adjust the luminosity in such a way that the hadronic interaction rate at STAR is close to 10 kHz.

Precision target achieved:

A precision down to 0.4% is achieved, as anticipated, in the relative magnitudes of the pertinent observables between the two isobar systems

STAR * Search for the CME (Centrality)

- The 3 sets of Woods-Saxon parameters from the literature have been studied
 - Fit to the multiplicity distributions using the two-component nucleon-based Monte Carlo Glauber
 - Best fit from Case-3: different neutron skin without quadrupole moments

	Case-1 83			Ca	se-2 83	Case-3 113			
Nucleus	R (fm)	a (fm)	β_2	R (fm)	a (fm)	β_2	$R \ (\mathrm{fm})$	$a \ (fm)$	β_2
$^{96}_{44}$ Ru	5.085	0.46	0.158	5.085	0.46	0.053	5.067	0.500	0
$^{96}_{40}\mathrm{Zr}$	5.02	0.46	0.08	5.02	0.46	0.217	4.965	0.556	0

• Result: difference in multiplicity at matching centrality

Grigory Nigmatkulov. Nucleus-2021.

STAR * Search for the CME (Crosschecks)

Observed differences in the multiplicity and flow harmonics at the matching centrality suggest that the magnitude of the CME background is different between the two species

STAR * Search for the CME (Results)

No CME signature that satisfies the predefined criteria observed

Note: other measurements in paper that I don't have time to show in this talk (spectator-participant analysis for CME signal fraction, $\Delta \eta$ dependence of correlations, ...): All come to this conclusion