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## Outline

1. Evaluation of difference between tracks and hits (Residuals)
2. Results of alignment procedure
3. Analysis of SiBT Vertex
4. Efficiency calculations


Fig 1. SiBT stations

## Background Information

The goals of Silicon Beam Trackers usage:

1. Online beam monitoring
2. Improvement vertex resolution
3. Determine the beam angle in the event for further physical analysis


Fig 2. SiBT stations positions

## Alignment Procedure (without Magnetic Field)

1. A straight line connects the hits from the first and last station.
2. The difference between the position of the hit at the middle station and the straight line is determined
3. A shift is made to the position of the central station when creating hits.


Fig. 3 Residuals in middle (1) station before corrections

## Alignment Procedure (without Magnetic Field)



Fig. 4 Central station residuals after corrections

| Station | mean X mm | $\sigma \mathrm{mm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -0.05 | 0.35 |
| 1 | 0.024 | 0.16 |
| 2 | -0.05 | 0.35 |
|  | a) |  |
| Station | mean Y mm | $\sigma$ Y mm |
| 0 | 0.014 | 0.34 |
| 1 | -0.004 | 0.16 |
| 2 | 0.012 | 0.34 |

Table 1. a) $X$ residual parameters
b) Y residual parameters

## Alignment Procedure (with Magnetic Field)

1. Momentum was fixed
2. The beam tracks were extrapolated using the Kalman filter to the target position
3. The difference between beam tracks and hits was added to the hit positions in all stations

a)

b)

Fig. 5 Vertex - Beam tracks residuals before corrections a)x coordinate b) y coordinate

## Alignment Procedure (with Magnetic Field)



Fig. 6 Vertex - Beam tracks residuals after corrections a)x coordinate b) y coordinate

| residuals | mean | $\sigma \mathrm{cm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dX cm | 0.0143 | 0.192 |
| dY cm | -0.0832 | 0.193 |

Table 2. Mean value and variance for Vertex - Beam
tracks residuals

## Vertex Correlation (old version of tracking)



Fig. 7 Vertex - Beam tracks correlations a) x coordinate b) y coordinate

## Vertex Correlation (new version of tracking)




Fig. 8 Vertex - Beam tracks correlations a) x coordinate b) y coordinate

## Efficiency (without Magnetic Field)

1. To evaluate the efficiency of station " $i$ ", events are considered in which two other stations worked.
2. For all hits from two stations, straight lines were built, which were extrapolated to station "i"
3. If the line crosses the station " l " in acceptance, the denominator $\quad N_{\text {all }}^{i}$ is increased
4. If the hit of station " $i$ " is in acceptance and the hit is near of the line, the numerator $N_{\text {accepted }}^{i}$ is increased
5. Hit matching efficiency for station "i":

$$
\eta_{i}=\frac{N_{\text {accepted }}^{i}}{N_{\text {all }}^{i}}
$$

## Efficiency (without Magnetic Field)

| Station | N_all | N_accept <br> ed | Efficiency <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 102494 | 98096 | 95.7 |
| 1 | 112183 | 99451 | 88.6 |
| 2 | 105106 | 98252 | 93.5 |

Table 3. Efficiency calculations for 150000 events Run 8307

## Efficiency (with Magnetic Field)

1. The hits distribution were estimated by Gaussian function. The peaks of the Gaussian function were approximated by a quadratic function for the $x$ coordinate and a linear function for the y coordinate
2. The approximation is used to extrapolate track to other stations
3. If the line crosses the station " i " in acceptance, the denominator $N_{\text {all }}^{i}$ is increased
4. If the hit of station " i " is near of the line, the numerator $N_{\text {accepted }}^{i}$ is increased.
5. Efficiency: $\quad \eta_{i}=\frac{N_{\text {accepted }}^{i}}{N_{\text {all }}^{i}}$

## Estimation of mean coordinates

| 1. Due to strips |
| :--- | ---: |
| structure in hits |
| distribution for the first |
| station there is problem |
| for correct |
| approximation. |
| 2. The coordinates of <br> hits in each stations <br> were approximated by <br> modified <br> function. |



Fig. 9 Fitting of hits distributions

## Estimation of mean coordinates

1. Mean values of " $x$ " gaus approximation were estimated by quadratic function:

$$
x=a z^{2}+b z+c
$$

2. Mean values of " $x$ " gaus approximation were estimated by linear function:

$$
y=a z+b
$$

Mean Value of $X$


| Coefficient | value | error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a $10^{\wedge}(-6)$ | 3.90 | 0.40 |
| b $10^{\wedge}(-3)$ | -1.70 | 0.10 |
| c | 0.560 | 0.010 |

Mean Value of $y$


| Coefficient | value | error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a $10 \wedge(-4)$ | -6.90 | 0.20 |
| b $10^{\wedge}(-2)$ | 8.60 | 0.40 |

## Efficiency

| 1. Linear and quadratic |
| :--- |
| function can extrapolate |
| track from a station to other |
| stations. So, two values of |
| efficiency can be calculated. |
| 2. Taking into account a |
| shift of hits from |
| approximated line. |


| Station | according station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Efficiency } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Mean Efficiency $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 99.95 | 99.27 |
|  | 2 | 98.60 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 93.97 | 94.03 |
|  | 2 | 94.09 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 93.47 | 93.55 |
|  | 1 | 93.63 |  |

## Efficiency estimation of hit matching





Fig. 10 Efficiency during the experiment. There runs with parameters: a)Magnetic Field, b) Csl Target, c) Mixed Trigger

## Efficiency

1. There are several areas where the effectiveness of hit combining is declining.
2. The effect of reducing efficiency is being studied. One of the reasons may be nonworking strips for these runs
3. Mean values and standard deviation for all runs are presented in table.

| station | Efficiency \% | std |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 90 | 10 |
| 1 | 79 | 21 |
| 2 | 86 | 14 |

Table 5. Mean values of efficiency and standard deviation

## Summary

1. The station alignment procedure was carried out in two stages. At the first stage, the stations were aligned for data without a field, at the second stage, for data with a magnetic field.
2. The vertex resolution has been estimated and is about 0.19 cm .
3. The efficiency was evaluated throughout the experiment. The mean results are $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ for the first station, $79 \%$ for the second station and $\mathbf{8 6} \%$ for the last station. There are areas where efficiency decreases. This is currently being researched. One of the possible reasons is non-working strips in events.

## Backstage

## Vertex SiBT



Fig. 11 Primary Vertex x-y


Fig. 12 Beam Vertex x-y coordinates

## Hits distribution for SiBT station



Fig. $13 x-y$ coordinates for middle station (high efficiency)


Fig. $14 x-y$ coordinates for last station (low efficiency)

