## **QUANTUM COMPETITION**

#### V. P. Stefanov , V. N. Shatokhin, D. S. Mogilevtsev , and S. Ya. Kilin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 129 (2022) 8, 083603

THE ACTUAL PROBLEMS OF MICROWORLD PHYSICS-2023 APMP -2023 Minsk, August 28



Markov Andrei Andrevich

(1856-1922)

Allap Kub

Dynamics of probabilities

Markov chains

Markov processes

*"future is independent of the past, given the present"* 

**1906**. A simple case—a system with just **two states**.

**1913**, paper on *Onegin poem*. Chain of two states, vowels and consonants in the first 20,000 letters of the poem. Letters are not independent ...



Thomas Robert Malthus (1766—1834)



Principle of population (1809)







Charles Robert Darwin (1809-1882)

Natural selection

#### struggle for existence

Organisms produce more offspring than the limited given amounts of resources - can ever survive, and organisms therefore compete for survival. Only the successful competitors will reproduce themselves. It was Charles Darwin who first discussed this competition and described it as the "struggle for existence". The for struggle existence takes place within a web of ecological relations.

Birth and Death Process with immigration









#### Death

### The BD stochastic linear Markov jump process with immigration



#### Trajectories of the BD jump Markov process



### Classical BD trajectories evolution/ simulation



The waiting time distribution function (WTDF) of the next jump:



#### Trajectories of the BD jump Markov process



*Time t* A particular realization of the classical BD process

# QUANTUM



|*n*=2>

|*n*=1> ¯

|*n*=0>



 $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0} C_n |n\rangle$ Fock states

Vladimir Aleksandrovich Fock (1898 – 1974)

## Quantum Open System



## Quantum Open System



#### Continuous Measurement of the Cavity Energy Change in Quanta



*Scheme of proof-of-principle experiment* (I/O photons counting)

APMP -2023 Minsk, August 28

#### Measurement Record and Conditional States

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Counts times & \rightarrow t_{1}, & t_{2}, & t_{3}, & t_{4}, & \dots, & t_{i-1}, & t_{i}, & \dots \\ Counts types & \rightarrow \xi_{1}, & \xi_{2}, & \xi_{3}, & \xi_{4}, & \dots, & \xi_{i-1}, & \xi_{i}, & \dots \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Measurement}} \underset{\mathsf{Record}}{\mathsf{Record}} \\ After counts states & \rightarrow \rho^{(1)}, & \rho^{(2)}, & \rho^{(3)}, & \rho^{(4)}, & \dots, & \rho^{(i-1)}, & \rho^{(i)}, & \dots \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Quantum}} \underset{\mathsf{Trajectory}}{\mathsf{Trajectory}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \rho_{0} & \rightarrow & \{\xi_{k}, t_{k}\}_{N} & \rightarrow & \rho^{(i)}_{\{\xi_{k}, t_{k}\}} \\ A \ priori \ state & Record & A \ posteriori \ state \end{array} \end{array}$$

#### ME Unraveling and Quantum Markov Chain

$$\rho(\xi,t) = \frac{J_{\xi} S^{(t-t')} \rho(\xi',t')}{Tr[J_{\xi} S^{(t-t')} \rho(\xi',t')]}$$

The **conditional** field state  $\rho(\xi, t)$  at the moment *t* right after the  $\xi$ -count

Kilin (90), Barchielli, Belavkin (91), Carmichael (93) ...

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \text{-count (jump)} & \rightarrow \quad J_{\xi} x = 2k_{\xi} a_{\xi} x a_{\xi}^{\dagger} \\ \text{No counts} & \rightarrow \quad S^{(\tau)} x = B^{(\tau)} x \left( B^{(\tau)} \right)^{\dagger} \quad \begin{bmatrix} B^{(\tau)} = e^{-iH_{eff} \tau/\hbar} \\ H_{eff} = -i\hbar \sum_{\xi=\pm 1} k_{\xi} a_{\xi}^{\dagger} a_{\xi} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The same record presents subjectively different QTs



#### Quantum Trajectories Evolution/Simulation

The next jump conditional probability:

The waiting time distribution function (WTDF) of the next jump:



#### Quantum **Compound** Trajectories and Stochastic Processes



## QUANTUM COMPETITION

## TWO THEOREMS

#### Conditional State Evolution (two theorems)

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Independently of the *a priori* state of an open cavity field mode, the field state after **a long single run** becomes, in ergodic case, **a random Fock state**.

<u>Theorem 2:</u> If during a long single run with a record  $\{\xi_k, t_k\}$  of N counts a Fock state has been created, this state **can be inferred** with unit asymptotic fidelity for ergodic regime using only the sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$  of counts' types and its total duration  $t_N$  without referring to the initial state and times  $\{t_k\}$  of intermediate clicks.



#### Quantum Compound Trajectories



## FROM UNKNOWN TO DEFINETLY KNOWN

## Quantum No Cloning & Quantum Transformation



**One copy** of **unknown** state  $\longrightarrow$  No cloning theorem

**How to use** unknown state?  $\rightarrow$  To transform to (But not, how to know ?)

a known nontrivial state

QND  $\rightarrow$   $|n\rangle$ 



<sup>(</sup>Wootters, Zurek, 1982)

## Fock State Inferring



## ENERGY-TO-TIME DECODING

# Duration of m-trajectories for **given** *f*-key (When m-trajectories end?)



## Energy-to-Time Decoding: time scaling and Fock state inferring





## K4HQS PROTOCOL

Key for a Hidden Quantum State

## K4HQS Protocol

- 1. Register a random sequence  $K_N = \{\xi_k, t_k\}$  of N clicks from ideal detectors monitoring photons leaving and entering the cavity.
- 2. "Lock" the cavity from the environment to leave the In-cavity field unchanged.
- 3. For the registered sequence { $\xi_k$ }, find the minimum  $\Delta_{\min}$  and the total  $\Delta^{(N)}$  values of energy change and calculate the threshold  $t_N^{(m_0)}$
- 4. Provided that ,  $t_N > t_N^{(m_0)}$  the intracavity field will be in the Fock state  $|n_k = \Delta^{(N)} \Delta_{\min}\rangle$ . Unlock the cavity to use this state .
- 5. If  $t_N < t_N^{(m_0)}$  one can start the protocol over, or find the  $\mathbf{T}_N^{(m_2)}$  window wherein  $t_N$  is located, and determine m.



## Created State as a Hidden Resource



**Non-ideal detection** 

## Non-ideal Detection and Protocol Feasibility

First Passage Time from equilibrium to |0>

$$\langle t_N \rangle > t_N^{(m_0)} > T_{e0} \longrightarrow N < 50, \quad q = \frac{k_{+1}}{k_{-1}} < 0.75$$

Detectors with error  $\epsilon$ 

 $N\epsilon$  events will not be registered

Superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors with 99.5% detection efficiency (J. Chang, et al, APL Photonics 6, 036114 (2021))  $F = 1 - N\epsilon \simeq 0.8 - 0.9$ N = 40 - 20

Immunity to paired errors:

 $Q_{0.05} = -0.88, Q_{0.1} = -0.76$ 

Q - Mandel parameter

#### POVM

The considered model of **continuous measurement of the cavity energy change**, which in fact goes back to the origins of Planck's quantum theory, shows that as time goes by, the *a posteriori* quantum state has progressively larger overlap with a **random energy eigenstate**.

For the ergodic regime in the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the realized measurement becomes an orthogonal POVM measurement.

neasurement	The two-integers outcome	$(\Delta^{(N)}, m_0 = -\Delta_{min})$
	The measurement operators	$A^{(m_0)} = \mid m_0 + \Delta^{(N)} \rangle \langle m_0 \mid$
POVM n	The orthogonal set of the POVM elements	$E^{(m_0)} =  m_0\rangle \langle m_0 , m_0 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\sum_{m_0} (A^{(m_0)})^{\dagger} A^{(m_0)} = \sum_{m_0} E^{(m_0)} = I$

A posteriori state can be **asymptotically stable**, that is, independent of the a priori state. I.e. **two** initial states-ofknowledge (e.g., complete and limited) will converge together as data is obtained, iff both contain  $m_0$ 

Handel (09), Jacobs (14)

The considered quantum stochastic BD process has the classical counterpart, **the collective BD process**, which has not yet been discussed in the literature.

#### **Collective BD Process**





# Thank you!



 $10 \\ 2\Gamma t_N$ 





APMP -2023 Minsk, August 28

## Plan

- Classical Markov BD jump process
- Quantum compound trajectories and stochastic processes
- Scheme of proof-of-principle experiment
- Conditional state evolution (two theorems)
- Energy-to-time decoding: time scaling and energy-time uncertainty relation
- K4HQS Protocol
- The created state as a hidden resource
- Non-ideal detection and protocol feasibility
- Interpretation and discussion