

# **Ordinary Muon Capture (OMC)**



# (*E*, *t*) distribution of the correlated events following $\mu$ -capture in <sup>76</sup>Se target



3

# (*E*, *t*) distribution of the correlated events following $\mu$ -capture in <sup>76</sup>Se target



Time evolution of the intensities of the strongest  $\gamma$ -lines following OMC in <sup>76</sup>Se (top)  $\mu$  <sup>nat</sup>Se (bottom).

# Total µ-capture rates in different isotopes of Se



Time evolution of the intensities of the strongest  $\gamma$ -lines following OMC in <sup>76</sup>Se (top)  $\mu$  <sup>nat</sup>Se (bottom) <sup>(A</sup>.

<sup>A)</sup> D. Zinatulina, V. Egorov et al. // Phys. Rev. C 99(2019)024327

<sup>B)</sup> T. Suzuki, D.F. Measday // Phys. Rev. C 35(1987)2212



# **Energy spectra in OMC**



- >  $t_{\mu\gamma} = 0.50$  ns:  $\mu$ X-cascades (**Prompt** spectra) normalization, identification, composition of the surrounded materials and target itself;
- >  $t_{\mu\gamma}$  = 50-700 ns:  $\gamma$ -radiation following OMC (**Delayed** spectra) partial  $\mu$ -capture rates strength function of the right side;
- > T >>  $t_{\mu\gamma}$ : background radiation (**Uncorrelated** spectra) calibration of the det-s, identification, yields of short-lived RI during exposure



# **Detector efficiencies and timing**



μX-rays from Au, Cd, Sm

8

charge collection

# What do we need for the extraction partial caprates for

**OMC?** 



- Cascade of the muonic X-rays
- ➢ Efficiency
- Total capture rates
- Good identification of the gammas
- Time window for the **delayed** spectra









# **Results measured with U-spectra in <sup>76</sup>Se**



Background radiation (**Uncorrelated** spectra) –

- calibration of the det-s,
- ➢ identification,
- yields of short-lived RI during exposure

Isotope	Type of decay	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Λ <sub>cap</sub> (xn yp) [10 <sup>6</sup> c <sup>-1</sup> ]	P <sub>cap</sub> [%]		
$^{76}\mathrm{As}$	β-	26.3 h	1.45(11)	13.65(255)		
<sup>75m</sup> As	IT	17.6 µs	1.80(31)	6.5(11)		
<sup>75</sup> As	sta	able	Not measured			
<sup>74</sup> As	β <sup>-</sup> , EC	17.8 d	1.1(2)	17.5(32)		
$^{73}As$	EC	80.3 d	Not me	ot measured		
<sup>72</sup> As	β+	26 h	0.15(3)	2.4(5)		
<sup>71</sup> As	β+	65.3 h	0.061(18)	0.96(28)		
<sup>75m</sup> Ge	IT	48 s	0.047(13)	0.75(21)		
<sup>75</sup> Ge	β-	82.8 min	0.054(2)	0.86(3)		
<sup>71m</sup> Ge	IT	20 µs	0.020(3)	0.32(5)		
<sup>74</sup> Ga	β-	8.1 min	0.026(6)	0.40(9)		
<sup>72</sup> Ga	β-	14.1 h	0.026(7)	0.40(11)		
				∑=43.7(43)		

# **Results measured with U-spectra in <sup>76</sup>Se**



Background radiation (**Uncorrelated** spectra) –

- calibration of the det-s,
- ➢ identification,
- yields of short-lived RI during exposure

Isotope	] 0 c	Type of lecay	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Λ <sub>cap</sub> (xn yp [10 <sup>6</sup> c <sup>-1</sup> ]	)	P <sub>cap</sub> [%]	
<sup>76</sup> As		β-	26.3 h	1.45(11)		13.65(255)	
<sup>75m</sup> As		IT	17.6 µs	1.80(31)		6.5(11)	
<sup>75</sup> As		sta	able	Not meas		asured	
<sup>74</sup> As	Ą	<sup>5-</sup> , EC	17.8 d	1.1(2)	Γ	17.5(32)	
<sup>73</sup> As		EC	80.3 d	Not r	ne	asured	
<sup>72</sup> As		β+	26 h	0.15(3)		2.4(5)	
<sup>71</sup> As		β+	65.3 h	0.061(18)		0.96(28)	
<sup>75m</sup> Ge		IT	48 s	0.047(13)		0.75(21)	
<sup>75</sup> Ge		β-	82.8 min	0.054(2)		0.86(3)	
<sup>71m</sup> Ge	Τ	IT	20 µs	0.020(3)		0.32(5)	
<sup>74</sup> Ga		β-	8.1 min	0.026(6)		0.40(9)	
<sup>72</sup> Ga		β-	14.1 h	0.026(7)		0.40(11)	
						∑=43.7(43)	



#### Ordinary muon capture studies for the matrix elements in $\beta\beta$ decay

D. Zinatulina,<sup>1</sup> V. Brudanin,<sup>1</sup> V. Egorov,<sup>1</sup> C. Petitjean,<sup>2</sup> M. Shirchenko,<sup>1</sup> J. Suhonen,<sup>3</sup> and I. Yutlandov<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia
 <sup>2</sup> Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, PO Box 35, FIN-40351 Jyväskylä, Finland (Dated: October 16, 2018)

Precise measurement of  $\gamma$ -rays following ordinary (non-radiative) capture of negative muons by natural Se, Kr, Cd and Sm, as well as isotopically enriched <sup>48</sup>Ti, <sup>76</sup>Se, <sup>82</sup>Kr, <sup>106</sup>Cd and <sup>150</sup>Sm targets was performed by means of HPGe detectors. Energy and time distributions were investigated and total life time of negative muon in different isotopes was deduced. Detailed analysis of  $\gamma$ -lines intensity allows to extract relative yield of several daughter nuclei and partial rates of  $(\mu,\nu)$  capture to numerous excited levels of the <sup>48</sup>Sc, <sup>76</sup>As, <sup>82</sup>Br, <sup>106</sup>Ag and <sup>150</sup>Tc isotopes which are considered to be virtual states of an intermediate odd-odd nucleus in  $2\beta$ -decay of <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>76</sup>Ge, <sup>82</sup>Se, <sup>106</sup>Cd and <sup>150</sup>Nd, respectively. These rates are important as an experimental input for the theoretical calculation of the nuclear matrix elements of  $2\beta$ -decay.

PACS numbers: 23.40.-s, 23.40.Hc, 27.40.+z, 27.50.+e, 27.60.+j, 27.70.+q

#### I. INTRODUCTION

At the moment the neutrinoless  $\beta\beta$  ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) decay of atomic nuclei is the only practical means of accessing the Majorana nature of the neutrino. In order to occur the decay requires the violation of lepton-number conservation and non-zero neutrino mass. Due to the importance of the related beyond-the-standard-model physics it is of interest to study the nuclei involved by both experimental and theoretical means. Large experimental collaborations have been established in order to measure the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  half-lives in the presently running and future underground experiments. The connection between the (possibly) measured half-lives and the fundamental observables, like the electron neutrino mass, is provided by the nuclear matrix elements (NMEs) [1].

Nuclear models aimed at the description of the NMEs of  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decays have traditionally been tested in connection with the two-neutrino  $\beta\beta$  ( $2\nu\beta\beta$ ) decays [1, 2] and  $\beta$  decays [3]. In [4] it was proposed that the ordinary muon capture (OMC) could be used for this purpose, as well. The  $2\nu\beta\beta$  and  $\beta$  decays are low-momentum exchange processes ( $q \sim \text{few MeV}$ ), whereas both  $0\nu\beta\beta$  and OMC are high-momentum exchange processes ( $q \sim 100$ MeV). In this way the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  and OMC are similar processes and possess similar features: they are able to excite high-lying nuclear states with multipolarities  $J^{\pi}$  higher than  $J^{\pi} = 1^+$ . The  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay proceeds between the  $0^+$ ground states of parent and daughter even-even nuclei through virtual states of the intermediate odd-odd nucleus. These same virtual states can be accessed by the 

the processes stemming, e.g., from the neutrino potential generated by the propagator of the virtual Majorana neutrino in the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay [5]. Despite this difference the OMC can effectively probe the nuclear wave functions relevant for the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay, as shown for the light nuclei in the shell-model framework in [6].

For the medium-heavy and heavy open-shell nuclei the shell-model framework is unfeasible due to computational limitations. For these nuclei the model framework of the quasiparticle random-phase approximation (QRPA [7] is a good choice. In particular, the proton-neutron version of the QRPA (pnQRPA) can access the virtual intermediate states of the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decays [1]. A particular problem pestering the pnQRPA approach is the uncertainty associated with one of its key parameters, the particleparticle interaction strength  $g_{pp}$ . This parameter is used to introduce a phenomenological overall scaling of the particle-particle part of proton-neutron interaction [8]. It is not clear how this scaling should be done for the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decays since there is no experimental data for transitions from either the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  mother or daughter nuclei to the multipole  $J^{\pi} \neq 1^+, 2^-$  intermediate states (the  $1^+$  and partly  $2^-$  states can be probed by the (p, n) and (n, p) charge-exchange reactions [9]). In this case the only viable method to access this " $g_{\rm pp}$  problem" is the OMC [10]. By using experimental data on OMC to individual intermediate  $J^{\pi}$  states one can access the value of  $g_{\rm DD}$  for each multipole separately and at the same time study the consistency of these values by comparison with the measured OMC rates for a wider palette of nuclear states.

In order to give an experimental input to  $2\beta$  NME cal

#### <u>arXiv:</u> 1803.10960v2

URL: <u>http://muxrays.jinr.ru/</u>



Измерено более 75 химических элементов, PSI, µE1 и µE4

# Total $\mu$ X-ray spectrum of Cd





Год исследования: 2002 Обогащение: 95.8% Состав: ТіО<sub>2</sub> порошок Количество: 1.0 г

# Полные скорости µ-захвата в <sup>48</sup>Ті



## Парциальные вероятности µ-захвата <sup>48</sup>Sc

3216.1 -		<3	Р <sub>ј</sub> , % от $\Lambda_{cap}$	Р <sub>ј</sub> , относит.	J.Suhonen et al.
3149.9 = 3056.5 = 3026.2 = 2980.8 = 2811.2 = 2783.3 = 2729.0 = 2670.3 = 2640.1 = 2517.3 = 2275.48 = 2190.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 275.48 = 2190.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 275.48 = 2190.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1891.06 = 1401.69 = 1142.57 = 200.46 = 1800.000 = 200.0000 = 200.000 = 200.000 = 200.000 = 200.000 = 200.000 = 200.000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.0000 = 200.00000 = 200.00000 = 200.00000 = 200.00000 = 200.000000 = 200.000000 = 200.0000000000		1+         1+         2,3         1+         1,2,3         2+         4+,5+         1-,2-         1,2-         2+         3-         2-         2+         3-         2-         2+         3-         2-	<ul> <li>0.14 (8)</li> <li>0.45 (24)</li> <li>1.175 (717)</li> <li>0.53 (29)</li> <li>0.47 (33)</li> <li>0.19 (8)</li> <li>0.19 (6)</li> <li>1.056 (328)</li> <li>0.52 (23)</li> <li>0.71 (42)</li> <li>0.55 (32)</li> <li>0.11 (6)</li> <li>1.136 (707)</li> <li>1.125 ((777)</li> </ul>	0.118 0.379 0.991 0.447 0.397 0.160 0.160 0.891 0.439 0.599 0.464 0.093 0.959 1.000	0.011 0.102 0.128 0.080 0.050 0.195 1.000
622.64 252.35 130.94 0	4 <sup>8</sup> Sc	3+ 3+ 4+ 5+ 6+ β-	1.185 (677) ΟΟΟΣ 4 <sup>8</sup> Ti	$P_j(\%) = 8.4$	0 <b>(157)</b> )+ 22