The trilinear Higgs coupling and new physics

Chitta Ranjan Das

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics (BLTP), The Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR)

Wednesday 18 October 2023 at 03:40 - 04:00 P.M.

India-JINR workshop on elementary particle and nuclear physics, and condensed matter research

Universe 2023, Volume 9, Issue 1, 43



Standard Model of Elementary Particles

Developed in the early 1970s, the Standard Model (SM) has established itself as a well-proven physics theory over time and through numerous experiments, and many of its input parameters experimentally matched.

Five mysteries of the universe the Standard Model can't explain:

- Why do neutrinos have mass?
- What is dark matter?
- Why is there so much matter in the universe?
- Why is the expansion of the universe accelerating?
- Is there a particle associated with the force of gravity?

That is why you require New Physics, often known as Beyond the Standard Model (BSM).











8/36



Preliminaries

- Undoubtedly, one of the most crucial jobs at the LHC and next colliders is the measurement of the trilinear Higgs self-coupling.
- It is the first step toward the direct experimental verification of the Higgs mechanism sui generis and the experimental reconstruction of the Higgs potential.
- In the Standard Model (SM), it is accessible through the challenging measurement of Higgs pair production at colliders.
- The Higgs self-couplings are also implicated in Higgs-to-Higgs decays in models with extended Higgs sectors.
- The trilinear Higgs self-coupling is connected to the Higgs boson mass via the Higgs potential.
- While the Higgs mass in the Standard Model (SM) is an arbitrary input parameter, in certain (supersymmetric) theories it is derived from the model's parameters.

Preliminaries

We must carefully examine the 125 GeV Higgs boson's properties in order to determine whether it is, in fact, the SM Higgs boson. The Higgs sector in the SM is entirely governed by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = |D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - \sum_{f} y_f \bar{L}_f \Phi R_f - V(\Phi)$$

where,
$$\Phi^{\dagger} = (\phi^{-}\phi^{0})$$

 $D_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} - ig_{2}W_{\mu}^{a}T^{a} - ig_{1}B_{\mu}$
 $V(\Phi) = -\mu^{2}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) + \lambda(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2}.$

Due to electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB), the first term results in the couplings of the Higgs with gauge bosons. The second term results in the couplings of the Higgs with fermions, and the third term results in the trilinear and quartic Higgs self-couplings of the Higgs boson. At the LHC, the SM couplings of the Higgs boson to gauge fermions and bosons are known with a precision of 10–20%. The Higgs self-couplings in the LHC, however, are essentially unconstrained.

Preliminaries

Correction to SM triple Higgs coupling: According to PDG the largest possible experimental value for λ_{HHH} is 12 times the SM prediction, from Run 2 data for the $b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ channel alone. (https://pdg.lbl.gov/2023/reviews/rpp2022-rev-higgs-boson.pdf, page 29–30, chapter 11, Section 3.4.2 and page 66, chapter 11, Section 6.2.5.)

Important

A key component of electroweak symmetry breaking, the trilinear self-coupling constant of the Higgs boson, will be precisely measured as part of the physics program of future colliders.

Review of Particle Physics (2023), Workman et al. (Particle Data Group) Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022) and 2023 update.

Introduction

- After the discovery of the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson, every elementary particle of the SM has been confirmed to exist.
- Even though the past forty years have been a spectacular triumph for the SM, the mass of the Higgs boson ($m_H = 125.25 \pm 0.17$ GeV) poses a serious problem for the SM.
- It is well-known that the SM Higgs potential is metastable, as the sign of the quartic coupling, λ_H , turns negative at instability scale $\Lambda_{IS} \sim 10^{11}$ GeV.
- The largest uncertainties in SM vacuum stability are driven by top quark pole mass and the mass of the SM Higgs boson.
- The current data are in significant tension with the stability hypothesis, making it more likely that the universe is in a false vacuum state.
- The expected lifetime of vacuum decay to a true vacuum is extraordinarily long, and it is unlikely to affect the evolution of the universe.



Stability and Higgs Mass



To understand stability, in absence of any new physics, requires very precise determination of top quark and Higgs mass.

Introduction

- However, it is unclear why the vacuum state entered into a false vacuum to begin with during the early universe.
- In this post-SM era, the emergence of vacuum stability problems (among many others) forces the particle theorists to expand the SM in such a way that the λ_H will stay positive during the run all the way up to the Planck scale.
- It is possible that at or below the instability scale, heavy degrees of freedom originating from a theory beyond the SM start to alter the running of the SM parameters of renormalization group equations (RGE).
- It has been shown that incorporating the Type-I seesaw mechanism will have a large destabilizing effect if the neutrino Yukawa couplings are large, and an insignificantly small effect if they are small.
 - Thus, to solve the vacuum stability problem simultaneously with neutrino mass, a larger theory extension is required.

Introduction

- Embedding the invisible axion model together with the Type-I seesaw was considered previously.
- The axion appears as a phase of a complex singlet scalar field.
- This approach aims to solve the vacuum stability problem by proving that the universe is currently in a true vacuum.
- The scalar sector of such a theory may stabilize the vacuum with a threshold mechanism.
- The effective SM Higgs coupling gains a positive correction $\delta \equiv \lambda_{H\sigma}^2 / \lambda_{\sigma}$ at m_{ρ} , where $\lambda_{H\sigma}$ is the Higgs doublet-singlet portal coupling and λ_{σ} is the quartic coupling of the new scalar.
- Corrections altering λ_H in such a model would also induce corrections to the triple Higgs coupling, $\lambda_{HHH}^{\text{tree}} = 3m_H^2/v$, where v = 246.22 GeV is the SM Higgs vacuum expectation value (VEV).

Future prospects

Future prospects of measuring a deviation of triple Higgs coupling by the high-luminosity upgrade of the LHC (HL-LHC) or by a planned next-generation Future Circular Collider (FCC) give us hints of the structure of the scalar sector of a beyond-the-SM theory.



NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE HIGH-LUMINOSITY LHC





LHC / HL-LHC Plan





HL-LHC CIVIL ENGINEERING:

DEFINITION

EXCAVATION / BUILDINGS



Not to scale Frequency of connection tunnels for illustration only



SM-Axion-Seesaw-Higgs Portal Inflation (SMASH) Model

- The complex singlet scalar, and consequently, the corresponding threshold mechanism, is embedded in a recent SMASH theory, which utilizes it at $\lambda_{H\sigma} \sim -10^{-6}$ and $\lambda_{\sigma} \sim 10^{-10}$.
- The mechanism turns out to be dominant unless the new Yukawa couplings of SMASH are O(1).
- In addition to its simple scalar sector extension, SMASH includes electroweak singlet quarks Q and \overline{Q} and three heavy right-handed Majorana neutrinos N_1 , N_2 and N_3 to generate masses for neutrinos.



Theory

The SMASH framework expands the scalar sector of the SM by introducing a complex singlet field

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\sigma} + \rho \right) e^{iA/\mathbf{v}_{\sigma}}, \tag{1}$$

where ρ and A (the axion) are real scalar fields, and $v_{\sigma} \gg v$ is the VEV of the complex singlet. The scalar potential of SMASH is then

$$V(H,\sigma) = \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 + \lambda_\sigma \left(|\sigma|^2 - \frac{v_\sigma^2}{2} \right)^2 + 2\lambda_{H\sigma} \left(H^{\dagger} H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \left(|\sigma|^2 - \frac{v_\sigma^2}{2} \right).$$

(2)

Theory

Defining $\phi_1 = H$ and $\phi_2 = \sigma$, in basis (H, σ) , the scalar mass matrix of this potential is

$$(M_{ij})_{\text{scalar}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} \bigg|_{\substack{H=\nu/\sqrt{2}, \\ \sigma=\nu_\sigma/\sqrt{2}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_H \nu^2 & 2\lambda_{H\sigma} \nu \nu_\sigma \\ 2\lambda_{H\sigma} \nu \nu_\sigma & 2\lambda_\sigma \nu_\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has eigenvalues

$$m_{H}^{2} = v^{2}\lambda_{H} + v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{\sigma} - \sqrt{v^{4}\lambda_{H}^{2} + 4v^{2}v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{H\sigma}^{2} - 2v^{2}v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{H}\lambda_{\sigma} + v_{\sigma}^{4}\lambda_{\sigma}^{2}},$$

and

$$m_{\rho}^{2} = v^{2}\lambda_{H} + v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{\sigma} + \sqrt{v^{4}\lambda_{H}^{2} + 4v^{2}v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{H\sigma}^{2} - 2v^{2}v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{H}\lambda_{\sigma} + v_{\sigma}^{4}\lambda_{\sigma}^{2}}.$$
 (5)

(3)

(4)

Theory

At the heavy singlet limit $\lambda_{\sigma}v_{\sigma}^2 \gg \lambda_H v^2$

$$m_{H}^{2} = 2v^{2}\left(\lambda_{H} - rac{\lambda_{H\sigma}^{2}}{\lambda_{\sigma}}
ight) + \mathcal{O}\left(rac{v^{2}}{v_{\sigma}^{2}}
ight),$$

and

$$m_{\rho}^{2} = 2v_{\sigma}^{2}\lambda_{\sigma} - 2v^{2}\frac{\lambda_{H\sigma}^{2}}{\lambda_{\sigma}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v^{4}}{v_{\sigma}^{2}}\right)$$

Defining threshold correction $\delta \equiv \lambda_{H\sigma}^2/\lambda_{\sigma}$ in Equation (12),

$$m_H^2pprox 2 {m v}^2 (\lambda_H-\delta)\equiv 2 {m v}^2 \lambda_H^{
m SM}$$
 .

and

$$m_
ho^2 pprox 2 v_\sigma^2 \lambda_\sigma - 2 v^2 \delta$$
 .

The first term in the Equation (9) is the leading component.

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

Threshold correction

Consider an energy scale below $m_{\rho} < \Lambda_{\rm IS}$, where the heavy scalar ρ is integrated out. The low-energy Higgs potential should match the SM Higgs potential

$$V(H) = \lambda_H^{\mathsf{SM}} \left(H^\dagger H - rac{v^2}{2}
ight)^2.$$

(10)

(11)

27 / 36

It turns out that the quartic coupling we measure has an additional term

$$\lambda_{H}^{\mathsf{SM}} = \lambda_{H} - \frac{\lambda_{H\sigma}^{2}}{\lambda_{\sigma}}$$

Threshold correction

Since the SM Higgs quartic coupling will be approximately $\lambda_H(M_{Pl}) \approx -0.02$, the threshold correction

$$T \equiv \frac{\lambda_{H\sigma}^2}{\lambda_{\sigma}}$$
 (12)

should have a minimum value close to $|\lambda_H(M_{Pl})|$ or slightly larger to push the high-energy counterpart λ_H to positive value all the way up to M_{Pl} . A too-large correction will, however, increase λ_H too rapidly, exceeding the perturbativity limit $\sqrt{4\pi}$. Similar to λ_H , the SM Higgs quadratic parameter μ_H gains a threshold correction

$$\left(\mu_{H}^{\mathsf{SM}}\right)^{2} = \mu_{H}^{2} - \frac{\lambda_{H\sigma}}{\lambda_{\sigma}}\mu_{\sigma}^{2}.$$
(13)

Correction to triple Higgs coupling

$$egin{aligned} \Delta\lambda_{HHH} &= \left(2^2\cdot\lambda_{HHH}\lambda_{H\sigma}^2 v_\sigma^2 I(m_H,m_H,m_
ho;p,q)+2\, ext{permutations}
ight) \ &+ \left(2^3\cdot\lambda_{H\sigma}^3 v v_\sigma^2 I(m_H,m_
ho,m_
ho;p,q)+2\, ext{permutations}
ight) \ &+ 2^3\cdot\lambda_{H\sigma}^3 v^3 I(m_
ho,m_
ho,m_
ho;p,q). \end{aligned}$$

Here, p and q are the external momenta and the loop integral is defined as

$$I(m_A, m_B, m_C; p, q) =$$

$$\int rac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} rac{1}{(k^2-m_A^2)((k-p)^2-m_B^2)((k+q)^2-m_C^2)}.$$

(14)

(15)



Figure 1. Vertex factors on trilinear vertices involving the SM Higgs boson as well as a real singlet ρ . They can be derived from Equation (2). We denote ρ and its propagator by red color.

One-loop correction to triple Higgs coupling



Figure 2. One-loop corrections to SM triple Higgs coupling induced by the existence of an extra scalar singlet. In Equation (15), the correction $\Delta \lambda_{HHH}$ is derived.

One-loop correction to triple Higgs coupling



Figure 3. One-loop SM triple Higgs coupling correction diagram with a cubic vertex and a quartic vertex.

The contribution from diagram Figure 3 is subleading, since it is proportional to $\lambda_{H\sigma}^2 \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \delta \lambda_{\sigma} \mathbf{v} \ll \lambda_{HHH}$.

Correction to triple Higgs coupling

We calculate the finite part of it using dimensional regularization and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \lambda_{HHH} &= -4\lambda_{HHH} \left(\frac{v_{\sigma}}{m_{\rho}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_{H\sigma}^2}{16\pi^2}\right) \left(2 + \ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2} - z \ln\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right) \\ &\simeq -2\lambda_{HHH} \left(\frac{\delta}{16\pi^2}\right) \left(2 + \ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2} - z \ln\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$
(16)

where $z \equiv \sqrt{1 + (4m_H^2/q^2)}$ and $\mu = m_\rho$ is the regularization scale. We have used the modified minimal subtraction scheme ($\overline{\text{MS}}$).



Benchmarks

Our aim is to find suitable benchmark points, which:

- Allow the quartic and Yukawa couplings of the theory to remain positive and perturbative up to the Planck scale;
- Utilize a threshold correction mechanism to λ_H via $\delta \simeq 0.1$;
- Avoid the overproduction of dark radiation via the cosmic axion background (requiring λ_{Hσ} < 0);
- Produce a significant contribution matter-antimatter asymmetry via leptogenesis (requiring hierarchy between the heavy neutrinos);
- We have plotted how the running of the SM quartic coupling, λ_H changes with each benchmark points and found the stability of vacuum.

Only produce a \sim 5% correction to Standard Model triple Higgs coupling λ_{HHH} .

To establish new physics, we need a lot more experimental statistics.



