

# **Implementation of task for calibration** of TPC gas drift velocity



Alexander Bychkov abychkov@jinr.ru October 5, 2023 Belgrade, Serbia

# **MPD TPC**

### **TPC** gas

Gas mixture 90% Ar + 10%  $CH_4$ 

Operating pressure 2.0±0.1 mbar

(relative to atmospheric)

Temperature 25 °C

stability < 0.5 °C

## Electron drift velocity in electric field 140 V/cm and magnetic field 0.5T

~5.53291 cm/µs +/- 0.01%

(Garfieg++ simulation)



#### According to TPC TDR v7

#### October 5, 2023

# **Electron Drift Velocity calculation problem**

## Impact on drift velocity

Temperature

Pressure

Charged areas in gas volume

# Read trigger delay

Cumulative delay of all triggers before Read-Out Camera (ROC) starts gathering data

Delay between moment when collision event happens and starting of gathering data by ROC Time offset of all data

### October 5, 2023

# **Read-Out Cameras (ROC)**

### 24 sectors — 24 ROCs

>95000 read-out channels in total

# Each ROC

~4000 active pads — read-out channels

## Each read-out channel

310 time buckets

10 MHz — 100 ns per bucket



#### October 5, 2023



# SAMPA electronics (Read-out Cameras)

1000

800

400

200

225.0 227.5 230.0

ADC counts 600

#### **Read-out channel parameters**

100 ns – time bucket, 310 time buckets

>95000 read-out channels in total

SAMPA impulse shape function

 $f(x) = \left(\frac{x-t}{\tau}\right)^{N} e^{-N\left(\frac{x-t}{\tau}\right)} + Bl$ 

N = 4 — shaping order

 $\tau = 160$  — peaking time (ns)

BI = 0 — baseline

t — start time

5

 $Ae^{-N} = 20(30)$  — amplitude (fC per mV)

According article SAMPA Based Streaming Readout Data Acquisition Prototype

120 125 130 135 140 145 150 155 160 165 170

**Belgrade** 

charge-in-time convolution with SAMPA

Time Bin [N]

October 5, 2023

Vormalized charge/signal in channel

start = 226.188 amp = 895.0 t peak = 175.78 base = 65.8

time (50 ns)

pulse 1 chan 7 chi2 = 17.08

232.5 235.0 237.5 240.0 242.5 245.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

# Simulation features for testing velocity calculations

#### **Electrons drifting + ROC response**

#### «Digitizing» task in MPDRoot software

Forming charge-in-time distributions for each pad of the TPC

Transferring electrons from MC track to Pad Plane of ROC with desired electron drift velocity

Adjust electron drift times taking into account read trigger delay

Remove electrons that reach ROCs plane before read trigger occurs

Simulation of SAMPA electronics

Convolution of each charge-in-time distribution with SAMPA impulse shape function

#### Correction each SAMPA channel output data for peaks shift

Always 160 ns for current simulations

Should be pre-measured on test stands for real hardware



# **Laser Calibration System**

### UV laser system

Two pulsed 130 mJ 5-7 ns Nd:YAG lasers

~1mm diameter

224 laser beams in total

112 "tracks" in each half of the TPC

4 planes of laser beams

30cm between planes

10 Hz impulses



100 7

50

-50

# **Drift velocity calculation algorithm**

Based on cumulative signal-in-time distribution from all channels in sector

Laser grid planes forms high peaks in the distribution

The peaks determines position of laser grid planes

Drift time between positions of laser planes provides velocity information

Difference between measured and «expected» position of laser grid provides trigger delay information



**October 5, 2023** 

# **Drift velocity calculation codes**

#### Points of interest for each TPC sector

Drift velocity calculation along all drift length

3 points between pairs of laser planes

interpolated/extrapolated velocity value for each hit

Read trigger offset calculation

with taking into account actual drift velocity

### Fast algorithm

0

Real time calculations for slow control and based on RAW data

Calculations of velocity map of each event less than 100 ms (10Hz)

now ~70-75 ms, single thread for all sectors (Intel Core i7-8700), codes allow multi-thread per sector

# Simulations – laser grid only



#### **October 5, 2023**

# Simulations – laser grid only (2)

#### Statistics - 500 events of laser grid

#### $V_{drift}$ = 5.4 cm/µs $t_{trigger}$ = 545 ns (~ 3 cm offset)

#### 144 velocity reference points = 24 sectors \* 6 reference points

4 points — each laser plane, 1 point — HV electrode, 1 point — ROC pad plane



# Simulations – laser grid only (3)

**Example correction**  $V_{drift} = 5.4 \text{ cm}/\mu \text{s}$   $t_{trigger} = 545 \text{ ns}$ 



**October 5, 2023** 

# Simulations – laser grid mixed with event



# **Simulations – laser grid mixed with event (2)**

#### Statistics - 500 events of laser grid

 $V_{drift}$  = 5.4 cm/µs  $t_{trigger}$  = 545 ns (~ 3 cm offset)

#### 144 velocity reference points = 24 sectors \* 6 reference points

4 points — each laser plane, 1 point — HV electrode, 1 point — ROC pad plane



**October 5, 2023** 

# **Simulations – laser grid mixed with event (3)**

**Example correction**  $V_{drift} = 5.4 \text{ cm}/\mu \text{s}$   $t_{trigger} = 545 \text{ ns}$ 



October 5, 2023

# **Future Plans**

#### Near

Read-Write velocity calibration parameters to DB (now in XML)

Quantity estumations of how calibration impacts on track reconstruction

# Medium-far

Study impact of charged areas on drift velocity

Correction of ZR distortions of drift velocity

According papers of STAR experiment velocity near inner tube is different from velocity in most of volume of TPC

Codes adaptation to real hardware (there is no working experimental ROC now)

#### October 5, 2023



# Thank you for attention!

XII Collaboration Meeting of the MPD Experiment at the NICA Facility



**October 5, 2023**