MPD trigger efficiency in the fixed target mode (light collision systems)

V. Riabov

Fixed target configurations

• With a target located at z = -150 cm



With a target located at z = -115 cm



Ebeam	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ collider mode	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ FXT mode	ηсм	CMS coverage
2.0	4	2.4	0.7	-0.8; 0.8 (2.1)
5.5	11	3.5	1.23	-1.33; 0.27 (1.57)



- In heavy-ion collisions:
 - ✓ MPD trigger system based on the FFD, FHCAL and TOF provides high efficiency in the FXT mode
 - ✓ potential problems with online T0 and vertex at lower beam energies
- What about light collision systems, C+C and d+d, d+W?

Collider mode, light systems

- CC@9.2 GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM, MpdRoot with Geant-4
- See NICA seminar on 09.09.2021 for details



- FFD and FHCAL trigger on ~40% and ~60% of CC@9.2 collisions
- Trigger efficiency never reaches a 100% level, even in most central collisions
- What is efficiency in the fixed-target mode?

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023

FXT mode, light systems

- Request 26 configuration
- DCM-QGSM-SMM is run in FXT mode at two energies: $E = 2.0 \cdot A \text{ GeV}$ and $E = 5.5 \cdot A \text{ GeV}$
- One target position was considered:
 - ✓ $x = 0, y = 0, z = -115 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{full detector configuration}$
- C+C (1.5 M events), d+d (9M events)
- Three subsystems for trigger formation:
 - ✓ FFD-E (FFD-W ignored)
 - ✓ FHCAL-E (FHCAL-W ignored)
 - ✓ TOF, use fast logical signals from 280 MRPCs (fires if at least one hit detected in the whole MRPC chamber)

Particle composition

• $E_{beam} = 2 \text{ A} \cdot \text{GeV}$





––– FFD —– FHCAL





3.5

5 5.5 Pseudorapidity

4.5

 $E_{beam} = 5.5 A \cdot GeV$

٠

0.02

2.5

3



Trigger for C+C, E_{beam} = 5.5 AGeV



- Efficiency:
- ✓ FFD: 10, 17, 30, 53%
- ✓ FHCAL: 80, 83, 84, 88%
- ✓ FFD|FHCAL: 88%
- ✓ TOF: 70, 77, 85, 94%

- Low FFD trigger efficiency; strong dependence of trigger efficiency on 'number of hits' selection
- Trigger efficiency is higher than that in the collider mode at higher energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2 \text{ GeV}$

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023

Trigger for C+C, E_{beam} = 2 AGeV



- Efficiency:
- ✓ FFD: 5, 11, 26, 55%
- ✓ FHCAL: 79, 79, 82, 85%
- ✓ FFD|FHCAL: 85%
- ✓ TOF: 58, 68, 78, 90%

• Low FFD trigger efficiency; even stronger dependence of trigger efficiency on 'number of hits' selection

C+C, track multiplicities (n_{hits}>10, |DCA| < 2 cm, p_T > 100 MeV/c)

 $E_{beam} = 2 A \cdot GeV$

٠



• Even most central collisions may have zero TPC multiplicity

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023

Trigger for d+d, Ebeam = 5.5 AGeV



- Strong dependence of trigger efficiency on 'number of hits' selection for all subsystems
- Weak dependence on centrality

Trigger for d+d, E = 2 AGeV



- Efficiency:
- ✓ FFD: 0, 1, 1, 22%
- ✓ FHCAL: 55, 52, 66, 75%
- ✓ FFD|FHCAL: 77%
- ✓ TOF: 7, 15, 32, 62%

- Event stronger dependence of trigger efficiency on 'number of hits' selection
- Weak dependence on centrality

C+C, track multiplicities (n_{hits}>10, |DCA| < 2 cm, p_T > 100 MeV/c)

 $E_{beam} = 2 A \cdot GeV$

٠



• Even most central collisions may have zero TPC multiplicity

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023

d+W, E_{beam} = 3.5 GeV (d-beam on W-wire)



Trigger for d+W, E_{beam} = 3.5 AGeV



- Efficiency:
- ✓ FFD: 0.6, 1.7, 6, 25%
- ✓ FHCAL: <u>60</u>, 53, <u>60</u>, 69%
- ✓ FFD|FHCAL: 70%
- ✓ TOF: **65**, 74, **84**, 93%

- Efficiency of forward detectors is very small
- Central TOF detector provides reasonable efficiency for event selection

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023

d+W, track multiplicities (n_{hits}>10, |DCA| < 2 cm, p_T > 100 MeV/c)



• Multiplicity-b correlation is wide but may provide decent centrality selection

Conclusions

- MPD trigger system based on the FFD, FHCAL and TOF is more efficient in the FXT mode
- Trigger system (with TOF) is sufficient for light nuclei like carbon, problems with centrality selection
- Trigger efficiency for lighter ions like deuterons is too low
- In asymmetric d+W collisions, forward detectors are inefficient, central TOF is good
- Common: problems with T0 (online and offline) due to small FFD efficiency

BACKUP

V. Riabov, Cross-PWG Meeting, 25.07.2023