



11th Collaboration Meeting of the BM@N Experiment at the NICA Facility

# Neutron energy reconstruction with HGND prototype in Xe+CsI@3.8 AGeV run

A. Zubankov on behalf of the HGND team





- The High Granular Neutron Time-of-Flight Detector (HGND) at the BM@N experiment is under development for measuring the energy of neutrons produced in nucleus-nucleus collisions.
- For the first time, small prototype of the HGND was used in Xe+CsI at 3.0 and 3.8 AGeV run at the BM@N.
- The multilayer (absorber/scintillator) and high granular structure of the ToF HGND makes it possible to identify and measure the energies of neutrons.
- Neutron energy reconstruction with HGND prototype at 0° and 27° positions in Xe+CsI@3.8 AGeV run will be discussed.

## HGND prototype design





- Scint. layer **Veto** 120x120x25 (мм)
- 1<sup>st</sup> (electromagnetic) part:
   5 layers: Pb (8mm) + Scint. (25mm)
  - + PCB + air
- 2<sup>nd</sup> (hadronic) part:
  9 layers: Cu (30mm) + Scint. (25mm)
  + PCB + air

Scint. cell – 40 x 40 x 25 mm<sup>3</sup> Total number of cells – 9+45+81=135 Total size – 12 x 12 x 82.5 cm<sup>3</sup> Total length ~ 2.5  $\lambda_{int}$ 



Necessary to separate showers

from gamma quanta

## HGND prototype in the Xe run of BM@N on Xe ion beam





#### 27° position:

Measurements of the neutron spectrum at ~ midrapidity.

#### 0° position:

Test and calibration with known neutron energy (energy of a beam of spectator neutrons)



#### **HGND** calibration



#### **1. Amplitude normalization**

#### 2. Time shift for all channels by the average fit value



### **HGND** calibration

## 

#### Time-amplitude

correction of signals made it possible to get rid of the dependence of time on signal amplitude, which improved the time resolution by ~2.4 times.





## Estimating the time resolution of cells

Selection – hits in 4 consecutive layers: (i) & (i+1) & (i+2) & (i+3), 3 of which are used to calculate the time resolution of the cell in layers 6 – 11.

1<sup>st</sup> step 1-3 layers



$$\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} = \sigma_{12}^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{2}^{2} + \sigma_{3}^{2} = \sigma_{23}^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{3}^{2} = \sigma_{13}^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{2} = \sqrt{((\sigma_{12}^{2} + \sigma_{13}^{2} - \sigma_{23}^{2})/2)}$$

$$\sigma_{3}^{2} = \sqrt{((\sigma_{12}^{2} + \sigma_{23}^{2} - \sigma_{13}^{2})/2)}$$

$$\sigma_{3}^{2} = \sqrt{((\sigma_{13}^{2} + \sigma_{23}^{2} - \sigma_{12}^{2})/2)}$$

Average time resolution  $\overline{\sigma_2}$  = 134±29 ps

A. Zubankov



H



## Estimation of γ-background

nDetProfileRad

Integral 0.0007241

Entries

Std Dev

Mean

1515

2.174

1.956

Criterion for selecting events with " $\gamma$ -quanta":

- Veto == 0
- Ampl > 0.5 MIP
- Hits in 2 & 3 & 4 layers in module

=> 4.52  $X_0$  or 0.266  $\lambda_{int}$ layer 11 12 13 14 15 5 6 8 9 10 7

For inverted HGND prototype:

Hits in 14 & 13 layers in module =>  $4.36 X_0$ ٠

Beam	<b>Cell 1</b> (layer 3 didn't work)	<i>Cell 2</i> <b>0.0092%</b> ±0.0009%	<b>Cell 3</b> <b>0.0097%</b> ±0.0009%
	<i>Cell 4</i> <b>0.0202%</b> ±0.0013%	<i>Cell 5</i> <b>0.0084%</b> ±0.0008%	<b>Cell 6</b> <b>0.0099%</b> ±0.0009%
	<i>Cell 7</i> <b>0.0221%</b> ±0.0014%	<i>Cell 8</i> <b>0.0118%</b> ±0.0010%	<b>Cell 9</b> <b>0.0102%</b> ±0.0009%



Beam	<b>Cell 3</b> <b>0.0287%</b> ±0.0015%	<b>Cell 2</b> <b>0.0131%</b> ±0.0010%	<i>Cell 1</i> <b>0.0117%</b> ±0.0010%
	<b>Cell 6</b> <b>0.0287%</b> ±0.0015%	<b>Cell 5</b> <b>0.0131%</b> ±0.0010%	<i>Cell 4</i> <b>0.0227%</b> ±0.0013%
	<b>Cell 9</b> <b>0.0340%</b> ±0.0016%	<b>Cell 8</b> <b>0.0117%</b> ±0.0010%	<i>Cell 7</i> <b>0.0146%</b> ±0.0011%

Gamma rejection efficiency is the same in both configurations

Xe + CsI (2%) @ 3.8 AGeV HGN 27 deg. pos. Total number of events: 1 Xe ion, BC1S + CCT2 - 1.2M (100%) + Veto cut – 68.2k (5.67%)

Fraction of  $\gamma$ -ev. in full HGND prototype (all cells): 0.173 %

Comparable to simulation (0.1 - 0.2%)

29.11.2023

## Reconstruction of the neutron energy spectrum

Criteria for selecting events with neutrons:

- 1 Xe ion, BC1S + CCT2
- Veto == 0, Ampl > 0.5 MIP, time cut

Reconstruction of energy by maximum speed

#### Without efficiency correction













Run 7566 - HGND rotated by 2/70 radians Csl 2% Total number of events – 687k BC1S + CCT2 – 336k Vertex ± 1.5 – 196k Veto – 6.5k BT\*(prescale factor+1) - 13k\*2k



A. Zubankov

Run 7549 Csl 2% Total number of events – 1M BC1S + CCT2 - 386k Vertex  $\pm 1.5 - 269k$ Veto – 9k  $BT^*(prescale factor+1) - 21k^*2k$ 

	n/ev.
Position	(BC1S+CCT2)
27 deg.	2,33%
27 deg. 2/70 rad.	1,93%



- Time-amplitude correction of signals improved the time resolution by 2.4 times
- The average time resolution of cells was 134±29 ps
- The number of events with γ-quanta was 0.173%, which is comparable to simulation
- The energy spectrum of neutrons was reconstructed for 2 positions of HGND prototype
- Events with detected neutrons from an empty target are only 14% of the number of events with detected neutrons from the CsI(2%) target

# Thank you for your attention!