#### А.М.Рождественский

# Исследование редких распадов пионов с помощью установки PIBETA

(В связи с выборами на должность снс)



B. Pontecorvo
μ-e universality,
1947.

"We assume that this is Бруно Понтекори significant and wish to discuss the possibility of a fundamental analogy between  $\beta$ - processes and processes of emission or absorption of charged mesons" (muons) Phys.Rev., v72(1947)246.









V.I.Rykalin



 $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ v$ 

1962 год

# С середины 60-х по наст. время

Синхрофазотрон ЛЯП:

ММИС (Магнитный Многозазорный Искровой Спектрометр) Поиск запрещенного распада µ+→e+e-e+

АРЕС (Анализатор РЕдких Событий) Исследование редких распадов пионов и мюонов

Мезонная фабрика в PSI:

#### PIBETA (PEN)

Изучение бета распада заряженного пиона,

радиационного распада пиона и мюона, распада пиона на позитрон и нейтрино



# Physics goals for the PIBETA (PEN)

- Study  $\beta$  decay of the pion  $\pi^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ 
  - $\circ~$  Precise measurment of  $V_{ud}$  element of the CKM matrix
- Study pion radiative decay  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ 
  - Structure of the pion, check of the CVC hypothesis, deviations from V A form of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}$
- Study muon radiative decay  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ 
  - Precise test of the weak interaction, deviations from V A form of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}$
- Study nonradiative decay  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e$ 
  - $\circ~$  electron-muon universality



The PEN calorimeter consists of 240 pure CsI crystals. The inner radius of the calorimeter is 26 cm, and the module axial length is 22 cm; corresponding to 12 CsI radiation lengths  $(X_0 = 1.85cm)$ 

#### Weight is 1.6 T.

- Fast component decay time 7 ns
- Slow component decay time 35 ns
- Fast/Total >0.76
- total solid angle ~ $0.77 \cdot 4\pi$
- Angle resolution ~2°
- ΔE/E ~ 4-5%
- Time resolution ~ 0.68 ns

# Csl (pure) Calorimeter





#### **МWPC: трековый детектор должен** обладать следующими свойствами

Высокой эффективностью регистрации заряженных частиц;

Возможностью работать при больших загрузках (10<sup>7</sup> с<sup>-1</sup>);

Стабильностью в работе и радиационной стойкостью;

Цилиндрической геометрией;

Малым количеством вещества для уменьшения вероятности конверсии γ-квантов в е<sup>+</sup>е<sup>-</sup>-пары;



Разрешение по Х, Ү и Z

полученное из экспериментальных данных

Угловое разрешение по ф≈0.75<sup>°</sup> Разрешение по z ~0.97мм Разрешение по x,y ~0.6-0.7мм

# Plastic Hodoscope

It supplements the MWPC tracking and CsI calorimetry by providing:

(1) efficient charged particle detection, particularly when combined with the MWPC data;

(2) reliable discrimination between minimum ionizing particles (cosmic muons, positrons/electrons) and protons;

(3) crude measurements of charged particle azimuthal angle 9 degree;

(4) precise charged particle timing information +-0.3 ns

# **PSI (вид сверху)**

Расположение установки на канале тЕ1 ускорителя





# **The PEN Collaboration**

D.Počanić, <sup>a</sup> A. van der Schaaf, <sup>g</sup> L.P.Alonzi, <sup>a</sup> V.A.Baranov, <sup>c</sup> W.Bertl, <sup>b</sup> M.Bychkov, <sup>a</sup> Yu.M.Bystritsky, <sup>c</sup> E.Frlež, <sup>a</sup> C.J.Glaser, <sup>a</sup> V.A.Kalinnikov, <sup>c</sup> N.V.Khomutov, <sup>c</sup> A.S.Korenchenko, <sup>c</sup> S.M.Korenchenko, <sup>c</sup> M.Korolija, <sup>f</sup> T.Kozlowski, <sup>d</sup> N.P.Kravchuk, <sup>c</sup> N.A.Kuchinsky, <sup>c</sup> M.C.Leman, <sup>a</sup> D.Mekterović, <sup>f</sup> D.Mzhavia, <sup>c,e</sup> A.Palladino, <sup>a</sup>P.Robmann, <sup>g</sup> A.M.Rozhdestvensky, <sup>c</sup> S.N.Shkarovskiy, <sup>c</sup> U.Straumann, <sup>g</sup> I.Supek, <sup>f</sup> P.Truől, <sup>g</sup> Z.Tsamalaidze, <sup>c,e</sup> E.P.Velicheva, <sup>c</sup> M.G.Vitz, <sup>a</sup> V.P.Volnykh<sup>c</sup>

a)Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4714, USA b)Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland c)Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia d)Institute for Nuclear Studies, PL-05-400 Swierk, Poland e)IHEP, Tbilisi, State University, GUS-380086 Tbilisi, Georgia f)Rudjer Bošković Institute, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia g)Physik-Institut der Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

#### $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ v$

Pion beta decay rates offers on of the most precise means of testing the concerved vector current hypothesis (CVC) and studing the weak u-d quark mixing.

The SM description of the  $\pi\beta$  decay is theoretically unambigous with a 0.1% uncertainty, but a small ~10<sup>-8</sup> branching ratio poses significant experimental challenges.

#### The Pion-Beta Decay Branching Ratio

 $\pi \xrightarrow{+} \pi \stackrel{0}{\to} \pi \stackrel{1}{v_e}$  $BR_{\pi\beta} \sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$ Pure vector transition:  $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$ Theoretical decay rate at tree level:  $\frac{1}{\tau_0} = \frac{G_{F}^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{30\pi^3} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{2M_{++}}\right)^3 \Delta^5 f(\varepsilon, \Delta)$  $= 0.40692(22)|V_{ud}|^2(s^{-1})$ With radiative and loop corrections we get:  $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\pi} (1 + \delta),$ so that the branching ratio is - (1 + *ð*)  $BR_{\pi\beta}$ - p. 4/23

 $= 1.0593(6) \cdot 10^{-8} \left(1 + \delta\right) |V_{ud}|^2.$ 

#### The Pion Beta Decay Radiative Corrections

(1) In the light-front quark model

- W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 053009
- total RC for the pion beta decay:

 $\delta = (3.223 \pm 0.002) \times 10^{-2}.$ 

- (2) in the chiral perturbation theory
  V. Cirigliano, M. Knecht, H. Neufeld and H. Pichl, Eur. Phys. J. C 27 (2003) 255-262
  • χPT with e-m terms up to O(e<sup>2</sup>p<sup>2</sup>)
  - $\mathsf{BR}_{\pi\beta} \to |V_{ud}|$ , theoretical uncertainty of  $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$





Measured time difference between two coincident photons from  $\pi^{0}$  produced via decay  $\pi^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} e^{+} v$  in the active target.



Histogram of the  $\gamma-\gamma$  opening angle in the  $\pi\beta$  decay.



Histogram of time difference between the beam pion stop and the  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^\circ e^+ \nu$  decay events (dots); curve: pion lifetime.

#### Pion Beta Decay Experiments (B x 10<sup>8</sup>)

1.037±0.002

#### 1.036±0.004±0.005

1.026±0.039 1.00-0.10+0.08 1.07±0.21 1.10±0.26 1.1±0.2 0.97±0.20 1.15±0.22 **Standard Model** 

6x10<sup>4</sup> **PIBETA** 2004

1224 McFarlane 1985

- 332 Depommier 196838 Bacastow 1965Bertram 1965
  - 43 Dunaitsev 1965
  - 36 Bartlet 1964 52 Depommier 1963

A.F. Dunaitsev, V. I. Petrukhin, Yu. D. Prokoshkin, V. I. Rykalin (Dubna, JINR) Published in Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 42(1962)1423. Status of CKM Unitarity  $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$ 

 $|V_{ud}| = 0.9728 \pm 0.0030$ PIBETA PDG (2016):  $|V_{ud}| = 0.97417 \pm 0.00021$  $|V_{us}| = 0.2248 \pm 0.0006$ from K<sub>e3</sub> decay  $|V_{\mu\nu}| = 0.00409 \pm 0.00039$ from **B** decays  $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9996 \pm 0.0005$ 

# **Amplitude of Decay**



 $\pi \rightarrow e v \gamma$ 

# $\pi \rightarrow e \nu \gamma$ Differential Branching Ratio

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\pi \to ev\gamma}}{dxdy} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \Gamma_{\pi \to ev} \left\{ IB(x,y) + \left(\frac{F_V m_{\pi}^2}{2f_{\pi} m_e}\right)^2 \left[ (1+\gamma)^2 SD^+(x,y) + (1-\gamma)^2 SD^-(x,y) \right] + \frac{F_V m_{\pi}}{f_{\pi}} \left[ (1+\gamma)S_{int}^+(x,y) + (1-\gamma)S_{int}^-(x,y) \right] \right\},$$

$$IB(x,y) = \frac{(1-y)(1+(1-y))^2}{x^2(x+y-1)} \qquad S_{int}^+(x,y) = -\frac{1}{x}(1-x)(1-y)$$

$$SD^+(x,y) = (1-x)(x+y-1)^2 \qquad S_{int}^-(x,y) = \frac{1}{x}(1-y)\left(1-x+\frac{x^2}{x+y-1}\right)$$

#### Weak form factors

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{2\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}}{\mathbf{m}_{\pi}}, \mathbf{y} = \frac{2\mathbf{E}_{e^{+}}}{\mathbf{m}_{\pi}} \qquad \gamma = \frac{F_{A}}{F_{V}}$$

 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$  calculated from the measured  $\pi^0$  lifetime, using the conserved vector current (CVC)

$F_A \times 10^4$	reference	note
$106 \pm 60$	Bolotov et al. (1990)	$(F_T=-56\pm17)$
$135\pm16$	Bay et al. (1986)	
$60 \pm 30$	Piilonen et al. (1986)	
$110\pm30$	Stetz et al. (1979)	
$116\pm16$	world average (PDG 2004)	

# Data analysis

The RPD data were grouped into three kinematic regions:

A: 
$$E_e, E_{\gamma} \ge 51.7 \text{ Me}$$

- B:  $E_e \ge 20.0 \text{ MeV}, E_y \ge 55.6 \text{ MeV}$
- C:  $E_e \ge 55.6 \text{ MeV}, E_y \ge 20.0 \text{ MeV}$

The region A is the most sensitive to the structure parameters of the pion. The region C can be used to determine the dependence of the pion form factors on the momentum squared transferred to the lepton pair. For all regions opening angle  $\theta_{e\gamma} > 40^{\circ}$ .

#### Data Analysis 99-01: $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \gamma$



Data Analysis 04:  $\pi^+ 
ightarrow {
m e}^+ 
u_{
m e} \gamma$ 



# **Theoretical Model**

The theoretical model including tensor interaction and suggested by Chizhov (Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett., 2, (2005), 7)

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\pi \to e_{VY}}}{dxdy} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\Gamma_{\pi \to e_{V}} \left\{ IB + \left[ (f_{V} + f_{A})^{2} SD^{+} + (f_{V} - f_{A})^{2} SD^{-} \right] + 2\sqrt{\beta} \left( (f_{V} + f_{A})SD^{+}_{int} + (f_{V} - f_{A})^{2} SD^{-}_{int} \right) + 2\left( 2f_{T} (f_{T} - f_{T'}) + f_{T'}^{2} \right) T_{1} + 2\left( 2(f_{T} - f_{T'}) + f_{T'}^{2} x \right) T_{2} + RC \right\}$$

$$\beta \equiv \left(\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{m}_{\pi}}\right) = 1.34 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A},\mathbf{T},\mathbf{T}'} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\pi}^{2}}{2\mathbf{m}_{e}\mathbf{f}_{\pi}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A},\mathbf{T},\mathbf{T}'}$$

$$T_{1} = (1 - y)(x + y - 1)$$
  
 $T_{2} = \frac{1 - y}{x}$ 

# Radiative Corrections E. Kuraev, Yu. Bystritsky, E. Velicheva (Phys. Rev. D 69, (2004), 114004)

	A:	B:	<b>C</b> :
(B <sub>RC</sub> ) <sub>LO</sub>	- 0.8×10 <sup>-9</sup>	$-0.704 \times 10^{-9}$	$-3.74 \times 10^{-9}$
(B <sub>RC</sub> ) <sub>NLO</sub>	$0.008 \times 10^{-9}$	- 0.11×10 <sup>-9</sup>	$-0.037 \times 10^{-9}$
$\frac{(B_{RC})_{LO}}{B}$ , %	-3.56	-1.56	-4.74
$\frac{(B_{\mathtt{RC}})_{\mathtt{NLO}}}{B}, \mathscr{Y}_{0}$	0.036	-0.24	-0.05

#### Value of the Form Factors:

Assuming CVC hypothesis the vector form factor  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$  (0) is directly related to the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  amplitude and can be extracted from the experimental width of the decay

$$F_{V}(0) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{2\Gamma(\pi^{0} \to \gamma\gamma)}{\pi m_{\pi^{0}}}} = 0.0262(9) \text{ or } |F_{V}(0)| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{\pi \tau_{\pi^{0}} m_{\pi}}} = 0.0259(9)$$

(V. G. Vaks& B. L. Ioffe, Nuovo Cimento 10, (1958), 342)

Momentum squared transferred to the lepton pair  $q^2 = 1 - 2E_{\gamma} / m_{\pi}$   $F_V(q^2) = F_V(0)(1 + aq^2)$  $F_A(q^2) = F_A(0)$ 

# Scheme of Minimization

▶ 1) fixed (free) value of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$  and value of parameters  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{A}}$  were free



Data Analysis 99-01:  $\pi^+ 
ightarrow {
m e}^+ 
u_{
m e} \gamma$ 



Data Analysis 04:  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ 



$$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ v_e \gamma$$



#### Upper limit on tensor value -5.2 x 10<sup>-4</sup> < F<sub>T</sub> < 4 x 10<sup>-4</sup> 90% CL

Pion polarizability (Terent`ev Pisma ZhETP 15, 1972, 299)

 $\alpha_E^{\text{LO}} = -\beta_M^{\text{LO}} = (2.783 \pm 0.023_{\text{exp}}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$ 

### Number of events of rare pion and muon decays, recorded on PIBETA facility and in all previous experiments

Decay	PIBETA	Statistics
	statistics	worldwide
$\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 + e^+ + v_e$	> 5 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1,77 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e$	> 5,8 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	0,35 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ + v_e + \gamma$	> 6 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1,35 x 10 <sup>3</sup>
$\mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} + v_{e} + v_{\mu} + \gamma$	> 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	8,5 x 10 <sup>3</sup>

#### $\pi \rightarrow ev$

# Pion decay, lepton universality





# **Physics Motivation / Theory**

$$B_{e/\mu}^{Theor} = \frac{\Gamma(\pi \to ev_e + \pi \to ev_e\gamma)}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu v_\mu + \pi \to \mu v_\mu\gamma)} = \left(\frac{g_e}{g_\mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}\right)^2 \frac{\left(1 - m_e^2/m_\mu^2\right)^2}{\left(1 - m_\mu^2/m_\pi^2\right)^2} (1 + \delta R)$$

#### Modern SM calculations:

1.2352(5) x 10<sup>-4</sup> Marciano and Sirlin, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>71</u> (1993)3629 1.2354(2) x 10<sup>-4</sup> Decker and Finkemeier, Nucl.Phys. <u>B438</u> (1995)17

#### **Chiral PerturbationTheory:**

1.2356(1) x 10<sup>-4</sup> Cirigliano and Rosell, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>99</u> (2007) 231801

#### $\pi_{\mathrm{e2}}$ Decay and the SM

 $B(\pi \to e\nu) = \Gamma(\pi_{e2})/\Gamma(\pi_{\mu 2})$  given in SM to  $10^{-4}$  accuracy; dominated by helicity suppression (V - A). Deviations from this rate can be caused by:

- (a) charged Higgs in theories with richer Higgs sector than SM,
- (b) PS leptoquarks in theories with dynamical symmetry breaking,
- (c) V leptoquarks in Pati-Salam type GUT's,
- (d) loop diagrams involving certain SUSY partner particles,
- (e) non-zero neutrino masses (and mixing).

Proc's. (a)–(d)  $\Rightarrow$  PS currents. Most general 4-fermion  $\pi_{e2}$  amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{\mathsf{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[ \left( \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{5} u \right) \left( \bar{\nu}_{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} (1 - \gamma^{5}) e \right) f_{\mathsf{AL}}^{e} \\ &+ f_{\mathsf{PL}}^{e} \left( \bar{d} \gamma^{5} u \right) \left( \bar{\nu}_{e} \gamma^{5} (1 - \gamma^{5}) e \right) \Big] + \mathsf{r.h.} \ \nu \ \mathsf{term} \end{aligned}$$

In the SM:  $f_{AL}^{\ell} = 1$ , while  $f_{xR}^{\ell} = f_{Px}^{\ell} = 0$ , with  $\ell = e, \mu$ .

# Experiment

 $(1.2344\pm0.0023(stat)\pm0.0019(syst)) \times 10^{-4}$  *TRIUMF, Phys.Rev.Lett.* 115 (2015) 071601  $(1.2265\pm0.0034(stat)\pm0.0044(syst)) \times 10^{-4}$  *TRIUMF, Phys.Rev* D49 (1994) 28  $(1.2346\pm0.0035(stat)\pm0.0036(syst)) \times 10^{-4}$  *PSI, Phys.Rev.Lett.* 70 (1993) 17 *New average (PDG 2016):*  $(1.2327\pm0.0023) \times 10^{-4}$ 



# **PEN Events**



#### Модернизация установки для сеансов в 2008-2010г.



#### mTPC:

- мониторинг распределения остановок  $\pi^+$  и  $\mu^+$  в мишени;
- восстанавление вершины распада пиона в активной мишени и коррекция потерь энергии  $\pi^+$ ,  $\mu^+$  и  $e^+$  с учетом неоднородности светосбора в активной мишени;
- определение длины треков е<sup>+</sup> в мишени для определения потерь энергии е+ для каждого отдельного события;
- исключение событий с  $\pi^+$  и  $\mu^+$ , распадающимися на лету.

# **Energy and timing**





#### **PEN Experiment Vital Statistics**

	2008	2009	2010	Total
Calendar Days	111	98	68	277
$\pi^+$ Stops	$7.46 \cdot 10^{10}$	1.31 · 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.64 · 10 <sup>11</sup>	$3.70\cdot10^{11}$
Low Thresh. Trig.	1.70 · 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.61 · 10 <sup>7</sup>	$7.14 \cdot 10^7$	1.58 · 10 <sup>8</sup>
High Thresh. Trig.	4.38 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	7.80 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	$1.01 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2.23 \cdot 10^7$
Tail Trig.	_	$5.47 \cdot 10^{7}$	$4.47\cdot 10^7$	$9.97\cdot 10^7$

PEN total/clean  $\pi_{e2}$ 's:  $1.8 \cdot 10^7 / 1.2 \cdot 10^7$ PEN total/clean  $\pi \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow e$ :  $8.6 \cdot 10^7 / 5.7 \cdot 10^7$ PEN total/clean  $\pi_{e2}$ 's tail trigger events:  $1.9 \cdot 10^6 / 250,000$ 

Compare TRIUMF PIENU Exp. (Bryman *et al.*):  $4 \cdot 10^6 \pi_{e2}$  decays

# Спасибо за внимание!

# PIENU (TRIUMF)





# RESULTS

Region	<b>B</b> <sub>th</sub>	Bexp	<b>Events</b>
	<b>(x10</b> -8)	<b>(x10</b> -8)	(x10 <sup>3</sup> )
A	2.599(11)	2.614(21)	35.9
B	14.45(2)	14.46(22)	16.2
С	37.49(3)	37.69(46)	13.3
Tot.	74.11(3)	73.86(54)	65.4

# Эксперимент РЕМ

Beam:

75-58 MeV/c R(π-stop) ~ 20000 1/сек

Замена:

мишень, замедлитель, пучковый счетчик электроника (digitizer) 2Ггц / 10 бит

Добавлена времяпроекционная камера mTPC (ЛЯП)

#### Регистрация событий

 $\pi^+$  останавливается в мишени ( $\approx 15000 \pi^+/cek$ ).

Распад пиона детектируется во временных воротах шириной 250нсек, начинающихся за 40нсек до остановки пиона.

Сигналы с пучкового счетчика, замедлителя и активной мишени оцифровываются (0.5нсек/канал):

 $\pi \rightarrow ev$  (2 импульса в мишени: остановка  $\pi$  и сигнал от е)  $\pi \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow e$  (3 импульса в мишени от  $\pi$ ,  $\mu$  и е)