

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal higher spins in harmonic superspace

Evgeny Ivanov

In collaboration with

Joseph Buchbinder and Nikita Zaigraev,

2109.07639, 2202.08196, 2212.14114, 2306.10401,
2402.05704, 2404.,

Outline

Supersymmetry and higher spins

Harmonic superspace

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 1 multiplet

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 2 multiplet

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

Hypermultiplet couplings

Superconformal couplings

Summary and outlook

Supersymmetry and higher spins

- ▶ Supersymmetric higher-spin theories are under intensive development for last decades. Provide a bridge between superstring theory and low-energy (super)gauge theories.
- ▶ Free massless bosonic and fermionic higher spin field theories: [Fronsdal, 1978](#); [Fang, Fronsdal, 1978](#).
- ▶ The natural tools to deal with supersymmetric theories are off-shell superfield methods. In the superfield approach the supersymmetry is closed on the off-shell supermultiplets and so is automatically manifest.
- ▶ The component approach to $4D, \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric free massless higher spin models: [Courtright, 1979](#); [Vasiliev, 1980](#).
- ▶ The complete off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield Lagrangian formulation of $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$ free higher spins: [Kuzenko et al, 1993, 1994](#).

- ▶ An off-shell superfield Lagrangian formulation for higher-spin **extended** supersymmetric theories, with all supersymmetries manifest, was unknown even for free theories.
- ▶ This gap was filled in [I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, JHEP 12 \(2021\) 016](#). An off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric unconstrained formulation of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ superextension of the Fronsdal theory for integer spins was constructed, based on the harmonic superspace approach.
- ▶ Manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric off-shell cubic couplings of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ to the matter hypermultiplets were further constructed in [I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, 2022, 2023](#).
- ▶ Our papers opened a new domain of applications of the harmonic superspace formalism, that time in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin theories.

Harmonic superspace

- ▶ In 4D, the only self-consistent off-shell superfield formalism for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 3$ theories is the harmonic superspace approach (Galperin, Ivanov, Kalitzin, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, 1984, 1985).

- ▶ Harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace:

$$Z = (x^m, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j}, u^{\pm i}), \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)/U(1), \quad u^{+i} u_i^- = 1.$$

- ▶ Analytic harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace:

$$\zeta_A = (x_A^m, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}, u^{\pm i}), \quad \theta^{+\alpha, \dot{\alpha}} := \theta^{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad x_A^m := x^m - 2i\theta^{(j} \sigma^m \bar{\theta}^{i)} u_i^+ u_j^+$$

- ▶ All basic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields are analytic:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{SYM} : & V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \text{matter hypermultiplets} : \quad q^+(\zeta_A), \quad \bar{q}^+(\zeta_A) \\ \text{supergravity} : & H^{++m}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++\alpha+}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++5}(\zeta_A), \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}) \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 1 multiplet

- ▶ An instructive example is Abelian $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory,

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \delta V^{++} = D^{++}\Lambda(\zeta_A), \quad D^{++} = \partial^{++} - 4i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge (8 + 8 off-shell degrees of freedom):

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A) = (\theta^+)^2\phi + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\bar{\phi} + 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^{+\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^i u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2(\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{(ik)} u_i^- u_k^-.$$

- ▶ Invariant action:

$$S \sim \int d^{12}Z (V^{++}V^{--}), \quad D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} = 0, \quad \delta V^{--} = D^{--}\Lambda, \\ [D^{++}, D^{--}] = D^0, \quad D^0 V^{\pm\pm} = \pm 2 V^{\pm\pm}.$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 2: linearized $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity

- ▶ Analogs of $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$ are the following set of analytic gauge potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(h^{++m}(\zeta_A), h^{++5}(\zeta_A), h^{++\hat{\mu}+}(\zeta_A) \right), \quad \hat{\mu} = (\mu, \dot{\mu}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++m} = D^{++}\lambda^m + 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++5} = D^{++}\lambda^5 - 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \delta_\lambda h^{++\hat{\mu}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+\hat{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++m} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+\Phi_a^m + [(\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{mi}u_i^- + \text{c.c.}] + \dots \\ h^{++5} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+C_a + \dots, \quad h^{++\mu+} = \dots \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The residual gauge freedom:

$$\lambda^m \Rightarrow a^m(x), \lambda^5 \Rightarrow b(x), \lambda^{\mu+} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu i}(x)u_i^+ + \theta^{+\nu}l_{(\nu}^{\mu)}(x).$$

- ▶ The physical fields are $\Phi_a^m, \psi_\mu^{mi}, C_a$ ($(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3/2}, \mathbf{3/2}, \mathbf{1})$ on shell). In the “physical” gauge:

$$\Phi_a^m \sim \Phi_{\beta\dot{\beta}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \Phi_{(\beta\alpha)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\Phi.$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

- ▶ The spin 3 triad of analytic gauge superfields is introduced as :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\zeta), h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+}(\zeta), h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}(\zeta)\}, \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = D^{++}\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + \theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^{+\beta})(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}], \\ & \delta h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D^{++}\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}\theta_{\beta}^{+} + \bar{\lambda}^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+}], \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \delta h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The bosonic physical fields in WZ gauge are collected in

$$h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \dots \quad h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \dots$$

- ▶ The physical gauge fields are $\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}$ (spin 3 gauge field), $C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ (spin 2 gauge field) and $\psi_{\gamma}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}$ (spin 5/2 gauge field). The rest of fields are auxiliary. On shell, **(3, 5/2, 5/2, 2)**.

- ▶ The general case with the maximal spin \mathbf{s} is spanned by the analytic gauge potentials

$$h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)+}(\zeta), h^{++\dot{\alpha}(s-1)\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta),$$

$$\text{where } \alpha(\mathbf{s}) := (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s), \dot{\alpha}(\mathbf{s}) := (\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_s).$$

- ▶ The relevant gauge transformations can also be defined and shown to leave, in the WZ-like gauge, the physical field multiplet $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})$.
- ▶ The on-shell spin contents of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin multiplets;

$$\underline{\text{spin 1}} : 1, (1/2)^2, (0)^2$$

$$\underline{\text{spin 2}} : 2, (3/2)^2, 1$$

$$\underline{\text{spin 3}} : 3, (5/2)^2, 2$$

.....

$$\underline{\text{spin } s} : s, (s - 1/2)^2, s - 1$$

- ▶ Each spin enters the direct sum of these multiplets twice, in accord with the general **Vasiliev** theory of $4D$ higher spins. The off-shell contents of the spin \mathbf{s} multiplet is described by the formula $8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_B + 8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_F$.

Hypermultiplet couplings

- ▶ The construction of interactions in the theory of higher spins is a very important (albeit difficult) task.
- ▶ Supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 1$ generalizations of the bosonic cubic vertices with matter were explored in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields by Gates, Koutrolikos, Kuzenko, I. Buchbinder, E. Buchbinder and others.
- ▶ In JHEP 05 (2022) 104 we have constructed, for the first time, the off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric cubic couplings $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{s})$ of an arbitrary higher integer superspin \mathbf{s} gauge $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet to the hypermultiplet matter in $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace.

- ▶ The starting point is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet off-shell free action:

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} = - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} q_a^+, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

- ▶ Analytic gauge potentials for any spin \mathbf{s} with the correct transformation rules can be recovered by proper gauge-covariantization of the harmonic derivative \mathcal{D}^{++} . The simplest option is gauging of $U(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta q^{+a} &= -\lambda_0 \mathcal{J} q^{+a}, & \mathcal{J} q^{+a} &= i(\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{++} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++}, & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} &= h^{++} \mathcal{J}, \\ \delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}], & \hat{\Lambda} = \lambda \mathcal{J} &\Rightarrow \delta_\lambda h^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Quite analogously, in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity, that is for $\mathbf{s} = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(2)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(2)}) q_a^+, \\ \delta \mathcal{H}_{(2)} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}], & \mathcal{H}_{(2)} &= h^{++M}(\zeta) \partial_M, & \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)} &= \lambda^M(\zeta) \partial_M. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For higher \mathbf{s} all goes analogously. For $\mathbf{s} = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(3)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(3)} \mathcal{J}) q_a^+, \\ \delta \mathcal{H}_{(3)} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)}], & \mathcal{H}_{(3)} &= h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, & \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)} &= \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Superconformal couplings

- ▶ Free conformal higher-spin actions in $4D$ Minkowski space were pioneered by [Fradkin & Tseytlin, 1985](#); [Fradkin & Linetsky, 1989, 1991](#). Since then, a lot of works on (super)conformal higher spins followed (e.g., [Segal, 2003](#), [Kuzenko *et al*, 2017, 2023](#)).
- ▶ (Super)conformal higher-spin theories are considered as a basis for all other types of higher-spin models.
- ▶ Recently ([Buchbinder, Ivanov, Zaigraev, 2024, to appear](#)), we extended the off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ higher spins and their hypermultiplet cubic couplings to the superconformal case. Rigid $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ superconformal symmetry plays a crucial role in fixing the structure of the theory.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ SCA preserves harmonic analyticity and is a closure of the rigid $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry and special conformal symmetry

$$\delta_\epsilon \theta^{+\hat{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad \delta_\epsilon x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -4i \left(\epsilon^{\alpha i} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \right) u_i^-, \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}),$$
$$\delta_k \theta^{+\alpha} = x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} k_{\dot{\beta}\beta} \theta^{\beta}, \quad \delta_k x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\rho\dot{\alpha}} k_{\rho\dot{\rho}} x^{\dot{\rho}\alpha}, \quad \delta_k u^{+i} = (4i \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) u^{-i}.$$

- ▶ What about the conformal properties of various analytic higher-spin potentials? No problems with the spin **1** potential V^{++} :

$$\delta_{sc} V^{++} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} V^{++}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{sc} := \lambda_{sc}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda_{sc}^{\hat{\alpha}+} \partial_{\hat{\alpha}+} + \lambda_{sc}^{++} \partial^{--}$$

- ▶ The cubic vertex $\sim q^{+a} V^{++} J q_a^+$ is invariant up to total derivative if

$$\delta_{sk} q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sk} q^{+a} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega q^{+a}, \quad \Omega := (-1)^{P(M)} \partial_M \lambda^M$$

Moreover, this vertex is invariant under arbitrary analytic superdiffeomorphisms, $\Lambda_{sk} \rightarrow \Lambda(\zeta)$.

- ▶ Situation gets more complicated for $\mathbf{s} \geq 2$. Requiring $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge potentials for $\mathbf{s} = 2$ to be closed under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA necessarily leads to

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} := h^{++M} \partial_M = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++\alpha+} \partial_{\alpha}^- + h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^- + h^{(+4)} \partial^{--}$$

$$\delta_{k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} h^{(+4)} = -\hat{\Lambda} h^{(+4)} + 4i h^{++\alpha+} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 4i \theta^{+\alpha} h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$$

It is impossible to avoid introducing the extra potential $h^{(+4)}$ for ensuring conformal covariance. The extended set of potentials encompasses $\mathcal{N} = 2$ **Weyl multiplet** ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal SG gauge multiplet).

- ▶ For $\mathbf{s} \geq 3$ the gauge-covariantization of the free q^{+a} action requires adding the gauge superfield differential operators of rank $\mathbf{s} - 1$ in ∂_M ,

$$D^{++} \rightarrow D^{++} + \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++}(\mathcal{J})^{P(s)}, \quad P(s) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{s-1}}{2}$$

- ▶ For $\mathbf{s} = 3$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)} = h^{++MN} \partial_N \partial_M + h^{++}, \quad h^{++MN} = (-1)^{P(M)P(N)} h^{++NM}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA mixes different entries of h^{++MN} , so we need to take into account all these entries, as distinct from non-conformal case where it was enough to consider, e.g., $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$.
- ▶ The spin $\mathbf{3}$ gauge transformations of q^{+a} and h^{++MN} leaving invariant the action $\sim q^{+a}(D^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)})q_a^+$ are

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} q^{+a} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \{\hat{\Lambda}^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \mathcal{J} q^{+a} - \frac{\kappa_3}{4} \{\Omega^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \mathcal{J} q^{+a},$$

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} = \frac{1}{2} \left[D^{++}, \{\hat{\Lambda}^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \right],$$

$$\hat{\Lambda}^M := \sum_{N \leq M} \lambda^{MN} \partial_N, \quad \Omega^M := \sum_{N \leq M} (-1)^{[P(N)+1]P(M)} \partial_N \lambda^{NM},$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_{AGB} = [F_1, F_2], \quad \{B_1, B_2\}_{AGB} = \{B_1, B_2\}.$$

- ▶ All the potentials except $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ can be put equal to zero using the original extensive gauge freedom:

$$S_{int|fixed}^{(s=3)} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} J q_a^+. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ In such a gauge one is led to accompany the superconformal transformations by the proper compensating gauge transformations in order to preserve the gauge, so the final SC transformations are **nonlinear** in $h^{++M\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.
- ▶ Using the linearized gauge transformations of $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 4i\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + 4i\theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^{+\beta})(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda^{++(\alpha\dot{\alpha})\theta^{+\beta}}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha} - \lambda^{++\alpha(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}}}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 4i\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{+\alpha++} + 4i\theta^{+\alpha} \lambda^{+\dot{\alpha}++}, \end{aligned}$$

we can find WZ gauge for the spin 3 gauge supermultiplet

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= -4i\theta^{+\rho} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta^+ \psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^-, \\ &\quad + (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\psi}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})ij} u_i^- u_j^-, \\ h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}_\nu^+ P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta_\nu^+ T^{(\alpha\beta\nu)\dot{\alpha}} + (\theta^+)^4 \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^-, \\ h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In the physical bosonic sector we are left with the spin $\mathbf{s} = 3$ gauge field, $SU(2)$ triplet of conformal gravitons, singlet conformal graviton, spin 1 gauge field and non-standard field which gauges self-dual two-form symmetry:

$$\Phi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho})}, V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(ij)}, P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})}, D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, T^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)\dot{\alpha}}$$

In the fermionic sector: conformal spin 5/2 and spin 3/2 gauge fields:

$$\psi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}, \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i}$$

- ▶ They carry total of $40 + 40$ off-shell degrees of freedom. Starting from $\mathbf{s} = 3$ gauge multiplet, all component fields are gauge ones.
- ▶ The sum of conformal spin 2 and spin 3 actions

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left(\mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \mathcal{J} \right) q_a^+ \quad (2)$$

is invariant with respect to the (properly modified) spin 3 transformations to the leading order in κ_3 and to any order in κ_2 . This means that the cubic vertex $(\mathbf{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is invariant under the gauge transformations of conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. In the component approach, one recovers the superconformal action of the spin 3 supermultiplet on *generic* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Weyl supergravity background.

- ▶ The whole consideration can be generalized to the general integer higher-spin \mathbf{s} case: $8(2s - 1)_B + 8(2s - 1)_F$ d.o.f. off shell.

Summary and outlook

The theory of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spins $s \geq 3$ opens a new promising direction of applications of the harmonic superspace approach which earlier proved to be indispensable for description of more conventional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with the maximal spins $s \leq 2$. Once again, the basic property underlying these new higher-spin theories is the harmonic Grassmann analyticity (all basic gauge potentials are unconstrained analytic superfields involving an infinite number of degrees of freedom off shell before fixing WZ-type gauges).

Under way:

- ▶ Quantization, induced actions,...
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric half-integer spins?
- ▶ An extension to AdS background? Superconformal compensators?
- ▶ From the linearized theory to its full nonlinear version? At present, the latter is known only for $s \leq 2$ ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang - Mills and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities). This problem will seemingly require accounting for ALL higher $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspins simultaneously. New supergeometries?

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!