**Andrei Zelenov, 18/01/2024**

# **TB analysis NA62 data, Binning, ToyMC**

### **Method Description** 2

### Resolution from drift time distributions  $6.2$

In the procedure described in this section, the spatial resolution  $\sigma(Y)$  was obtained from drift time resolution applying statistical methods to the measured V-shape. The time resolution  $\sigma_t(Y)$ , in turn, was evaluated from a Gaussian fit of the drift time distributions obtained individually for narrow slices of the  $Y_{tr}$  coordinates. The total Y range of a V-shape was divided into slices of  $100 \mu m$ . Explicitly, a distribution of measured drift time  $t$  was obtained for each slice and fitted with the following Gaussian distribution, with mean T, standard deviation  $\sigma_t$  and a normalisation factor C:

$$
N(t, T, \sigma_t) = \frac{C}{\sigma_t \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot exp\left(-\frac{(t - T)^2}{2\sigma_t^2}\right). \tag{13}
$$

The fit was performed in the region of the most probable value for the bins with statistics exceeding 10% of the most populated bin content. Figure 35 shows examples of the time distributions for four different  $Y_{tr}$  slices along with the Gaussian fit results. At the straw edges, Figure  $35$  (a), there are less track signals and the results are more prone to noise hits. The two parameters  $T_i$  and  $\sigma_{t,i}$  are thus obtained as fit results for every  $Y_i$ value. Statistical uncertainties on the mean drift time  $\delta T$  and the drift time resolution  $\delta \sigma_{ti}$  were estimated within the fit procedure. Examples of the resulting  $T(Y_{tr})$  and  $\sigma_t(Y_{tr})$ dependencies are shown in Figure 36 for the short straw tube with wire eccentricities of  $0.02 \text{ mm}$ ,  $1.10 \text{ mm}$  and  $1.97 \text{ mm}$ . Note the Y coordinate is shifted by about 1 mm with respect to the straw center.

For a small variation of Y, the dependence  $T = F(Y)$  can be considered as quasi-linear. Therefore, within a narrow slice  $Y_i$ , the reconstructed coordinates  $Y = F^{-1}(t)$  can also be



Figure 35: Drift time distributions for a straw tube with wire eccentricity of 0.19 mm obtained for different Y slices. The slices of 100  $\mu$ m width are centred at Y = -8.95 mm (a) and  $Y = 4.65$  mm (b). The fitted Gaussian distributions are shown with red curves.

described with a normal distribution. The width of this distribution can be estimated as

$$
\sigma = \frac{\sigma_t}{\left|\frac{dF(Y)}{dY}\right|} = \frac{\sigma_t}{|F'|} \tag{14}
$$

where the uncertainty  $\delta\sigma$  is defined by the time resolution error  $\delta\sigma_t$ :

$$
(\delta\sigma)^2 = \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\sigma_t}\right]^2 \cdot (\delta\sigma_t)^2 + \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial F'}\right]^2 \cdot (\delta F')^2 = \left(\frac{1}{F'}\right)^2 \cdot (\delta\sigma_t)^2 + \left(-\frac{\sigma_t}{F'^2}\right)^2 \cdot (\delta F')^2. \tag{15}
$$

The derivative  $F'$  was estimated numerically for every slice  $Y_i$  using the obtained  $T = F(Y)$  dependence. In order to minimize fluctuations, while keeping the variation of Y small, four neighboring slices were used for the derivative calculation:

$$
F_i' = \frac{T_{i+2} - T_{i-2}}{4Y_w},\tag{16}
$$

where  $Y_w$  is the width of  $Y_{tr}$  slices. The corresponding uncertainty was evaluated as

$$
\delta F_i' = \frac{\sqrt{(\delta T_{i+2})^2 + (\delta T_{i-2})^2}}{4Y_w}.
$$
\n(17)

The resulting spatial resolutions as a function of the  $Y_{tr}$  coordinate are shown in Figure  $37$  for the short straw tube with the wire eccentricities of 0.02 mm, 1.10 mm and 1.97 mm. As was the case for the other methods, the spatial resolution exhibits plateaus of about  $100 \mu m$  at each side of the straw and raises around the anode wire.

The analysis was performed for all data sets measured with the short straw tube for different applied tube offsets. The results are shown and discussed in  $6.3$ .

## **Method Description (pol2)** 3

- For the every 100µm R bin from the R(T) TH2 get the T point according to the maximum of the distribution.
- Fit or interpolate the extracted T vs R distribution with pol2
- Build distributions of residuals Y Ytr versus Y for the straw tube, where Y is the value from the inverse V-shape function and Ytr is the extrapolated coordinate
- Slice the data in Y bins and fit the 1-dimensional distributions of residuals by Gaussian



## **Spatial Resolution Estimation for NA62 data**





Fitting the  $\sigma_U = f(\sigma_T)$  with pol4 and find the average as

$$
\bar{f} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx
$$

### **Spatial Resolution Estimation for NA62 data (vs Artem)** 5



### **Binning tests**

### Unbiased residuals vs hit time for ST03X-12





### Thanks to Dima!

R bin =  $20 \mu m$ 

- Do the scan of bin size dependance
- Bin size from 40 to 200 with 20 µm step
- Both methods were used (weighted mean and pol4 integration)

 $2.2$ 

 $R$  (abs),  $cm$ 

2.4





Resolution: (0.099975 +/- 0.00113005) mm

$$
\bar{f} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx
$$

using weighted mean:



Fitting the  $\sigma_U = f(\sigma_T)$  with pol4 and find the average as



### **Binning tests**

## **ToyMC** 8

$$
\sigma = \frac{\sigma_t}{\left|\frac{dF(Y)}{dY}\right|} = \boxed{\frac{\sigma_t}{I'}}
$$
\n(14)

where the uncertainty  $\delta\sigma$  is defined by the time resolution error  $\delta\sigma_t$ :

$$
(\delta\sigma)^2 = \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\sigma_t}\right]^2 \cdot (\delta\sigma_t)^2 + \left[\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial F'}\right]^2 \cdot (\delta F')^2 = \left(\frac{1}{F'}\right)^2 \cdot (\delta\sigma_t)^2 + \left(-\frac{\sigma_t}{F'^2}\right)^2 \cdot (\delta F')^2. \tag{15}
$$

Where should we use the information about the scint Resolution?



### Do the toyMC:

- Find T as  $F(R) = \text{pol}(R)$
- Smear the T with Gaussian where sigma = 2 ns
- Smear the R with Gaussian where sigma  $=$  pol4(R)

## **ToyMC**



### toyMCvshape

9

