#### PROGRESS IN EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF THE BGO-OD COLLABORATION AT BONN

#### V. Nedorezov for the BGO-OD collaboration INR RAS, Moscow

T.C. Jude<sup>1</sup>, S. Alef<sup>1</sup>, P. Bauern<sup>1</sup>, D. Bayadilov<sup>2</sup>, R. Beck<sup>2</sup>, J. Bieling<sup>1</sup>, A. Bella<sup>1</sup>, S. Boese<sup>2</sup>, A. Baghieri<sup>3</sup>, K. Brinkmann<sup>4</sup>, D. Burdeynyi<sup>5</sup>,
P. Cole<sup>1</sup>, R. Di Salvo<sup>7</sup>, D. Elsner<sup>1</sup>, A. Fantini<sup>7;9</sup>, O. Freyermuth<sup>1</sup>, S. Friedrich<sup>4</sup>, F. Frommberger<sup>1</sup>, G. Gervino<sup>8;9</sup>, F. Ghio<sup>10;11</sup>, A. Gridnev<sup>12</sup>,
E. Gutz<sup>4</sup>, D. Hammann<sup>1, J</sup>. Hannappel<sup>1</sup>, W. Hillert<sup>1</sup>, R. Jahn<sup>2</sup>, R. Joosten<sup>2</sup>, F. Klein , K. Kohl<sup>1</sup>, B. Krusche<sup>14,</sup> A.M. Lapik<sup>13</sup>, P. Levi Sandri<sup>15</sup>,
V.P. Lisin<sup>13</sup>, I. V. Lopatin<sup>12</sup>, G. Mandaglio<sup>5;6</sup>, F. Messi<sup>1</sup>, R. Messi<sup>7;9</sup>, V. Metag<sup>4</sup>, D. Moricciani<sup>7</sup>, A.N. Mushkarenkov<sup>13</sup>, M. Nanova<sup>4</sup>,
V.G. Nedorezov<sup>13</sup>, D. Novinskiy<sup>12</sup>, P. Pedroni<sup>3</sup>, A.S. Polonski<sup>13</sup>, B. Reitz<sup>1</sup>, M. Romaniuk<sup>8</sup>, G. Scheluchin<sup>1</sup>, H. Schmieden<sup>1</sup>, A. Stugelev<sup>12</sup>,
V. Sumachev<sup>12</sup>, V. Tarakanov<sup>12</sup>, V. Vegna<sup>1</sup>, D. Walther<sup>2</sup>, H. Zaunick<sup>2;4</sup>, T. Zimmermann<sup>1</sup>

1 Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Germany,
2 Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Universität Bonn, Germany,
3 INFN sezione di Pavia, Via Agostino Bassi, Pavia, Italy,
4 II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Gießen, Germany,
5 INFN sezione Catania, Italy,
6 Università degli Studi di Messina, Italy,
6 Università degli Studi di Messina, Italy,
7 INFN Roma Tor Vergata, Italy,
8 INFN sezione di Torino,Italy,
9 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, Italy1,
10 INFN sezione di Roma, Italy
11 Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma, Italy
12 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
13 Russian Academy of Sciences Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
1,4 Institut für Physik, Universität Basel, Switzerland
15 INFN - LNF, Frascati, Italy

#### **BGO-OD** at **ELSA**

Principal improvement as
compared with GRAAL can be
done due to the magnetic
spectrometer placed
downstream of the target to
distinguish charge mesons,
protons, deuterons and other

charge products.



Target system - LH\_LD

### **General Information**

T. Jude The proceedings from the recent HYP2018 conference

- The BGO-OD experiment at the ELSA accelerator facility uses an energy tagged bremsstrahlung photon beam to investigate the excitation spectrum of the nucleon. The setup consists of a highly segmented BGO calorimeter surrounding the target, with a particle tracking magnetic spectrometer
- at forward angles. BGO-OD is ideal for investigating the photoproduction of hadrons of non-zero strangeness. The high momentum resolution at forward angles covers a kinematic region.
- where t-channel exchange mechanisms play a dominant role. Access to this low momentum transfer region also allows the investigation of degrees of freedom not derived from constituent.

# Strangeness Photoproduction at the BGO-OD Experiment

Meson-baryon dynamics may be expected to be especially important at thresholds, e.g. through (subthreshold) re-scattering effects.

Many unresolved structures seem associated with such production or decay thresholds,



# Strangeness Photoproduction at the BGO-OD Experiment

t-exchange diagram for K<sup>0</sup> photoproduction with an intermediatee K\* and pion rescattering through subsreshold decay.



# Strangeness Photoproduction at the BGO-OD Experiment



Kinematics of characteristic K<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>0</sup> photoproduction

#### **Strangeness Photoproduction at the BGO-OD Experiment**

- K<sup>+</sup> selection in the forward spectrometer.
- $a \beta$  vs momentum for positively charged particles.
- b separation of K<sup>+</sup>, pions and protons



### **Preliminary results**



 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^o$  differential cross sections in comparison with SAPHIR (green), LEPS (blue) and CLAS (red) data

### **Preliminary results**

 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda$ 

differential cross sections in comparison with CLAS (blue) and SAPHIR (red) data. Green line is the PWA Bonn-Gatchina parametrisation.



### Preliminary results Missing mass recoiling from a forward K<sup>+</sup> Momentum range of 500 - 800 MeV.

- a all data
- b-p in BGO
- c p 0 & at least oneadditional chargedparticle.
- d at least two additiona charged particles
- $\Lambda$ (s=-1): 1115 MeV (S<sub>01</sub>) 1405 12 resonances
  - 1500 2350 MeV
- **Σ<sup>0</sup> (s=-1**) 1192 MeV



### **Preliminary results :**



left – particle mass calculated from velocity and momentum right – missing mass from forward protons

### **Preliminary results**



Differential cross section for  $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  reaction

#### Coherent photoproduction off carbon.

Potential opportunities in hypernuclei research.



Difference between calculated and measured p<sup>0</sup> energy with a beam energy of 297 MeV for all angles and polar angles smaller than 40<sup>0</sup>.

A peak at zero indicates coherent events.

Future analysis of missing mass structure

# Missing resonances Exotic structure nucleons

Σ beam asymmetryPolarization studies

### Traditional interest to Nuclear excitation dynamics Light nuclei



Photon TOF through a nucleus (10 fm diameter) is near  $3*10^{-23}$  s, 100 fm/s equals  $3*10^{-22}$  s. Multifragmentation : Theoretical predictions RELDIS simulation : I.A.Pshenichnov in paper: Nucl.Phys. A940 (2015) 264-278

#### **Stable particles & Exotics**



# Recoil nucleon is a tagger of the partial meson photoproduction

Multiplicity  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{1}$ 

Nuclear elastic scattering reactions induced by unstable mesons, The recoil nucleon is emitted fin forward direction .

### n=2

Inelastic interactions; first candidate could be  $\eta n \rightarrow \pi^{-}p$ , search for bound states of mesons with a nucleus.

### n > 2

Multifragmentation - phase transition between nuclear matter and gas of nucleons and fragments.

#### n = 0

Coherent interaction - Debruck scattering. Low energy and momentum transfer photofission reactions etc.

•Polarization effects can play an important role in such kind experiments.

### Positive features and principal problems. Why the PHOTON beam ?

- a a nucleus is transparent for photons (universal curve),

- background reactions (elastic and multiple scattering of projectiles ) are negligible,

- multiplicity of products is relatively small,

- .

- possibility to distinguish the primary and secondary recoils by the energy and angular measurement.

### First results for 12-C nuclei (GRAAL experiment)

V.Nedorezov e.a. Nucl.Phys. A940 (2015) 264-278.





Figure 6: Measured angular distributions of nucleons produced in photodisintegration of  $^{12}$ C in the laboratory system in events with two (top panel), three (middle panel), seven and more fragments (bottom panel). In all cases the angular distribution for the leading most energetic proton in each event is presented by open circles, while the distributions for all other nucleons in the same event are presented by solid circles.

Figure 8: Measured (points) and calculated (histograms) probabilities of photodisintegration events of  $^{12}$ C at 0.7–1.5 GeV with a given number of protons (top) and neutrons (bottom). Only statistical uncertainties of measurements are shown.

#### Fragmentation of light nuclei by real and virtual photons

300 GeV p + W (66 tracks) Akhorov O. e.a. JINR R1=9963 (1976)
1 GeV p + Pb,Th,U Gorshkov B.L.,e.a. Ecplosion reaction in 238-U, 232-Th and 197-Au by 1 GeV protons. JETF letters,37.60-63, (1983). LPI

**p**, α-particles Lips V.,e.a. FASA. JINR, TH, Darmstadt (1993), IKDA 3/7, p1-11 (1993).

**Relativistic ions** 

Au + emulsion target

[http://becquerel.jinr.ru.]

A.S.Botvina e.a. **ALADIN** collaboration @ SIS, Multifragmentation of spectators in relativistic heavy-ion reactions, NP A 584, 4 (1995) 737.





#### Theory interpretation :

Phase transition between nuclear matter and gas of nucleons Threshold behavior : E\* is comparable to binding energy A.S.Botvina, A.S.Iljinov, I.N.Mishustin. Multifragmentation of nuclei by high energy protons. JETF letters, 42, 11, 462-464 (1985). Kamaukhov V.A. On nuclear liquid gas phase transition via multifragmentation añd fission. яф. 1997. T. 60. C. 1780-1783.

#### **RELDIS Cascade Evaporation MODEL**:

- I. Pshenichnov et.al., Physical Review C57 (1998) 1920. , Physics of particles and nuclei, 42 (2011) 215, Eur. J. Phys. A 24 (2005) 69.
- A2 : Double photoproduction off nuclei are there effects beyond final-state interaction arXive:1304.1918v1 [nucl.ex] 6 Apr 2013

Study of ηn -> π<sup>-</sup>p reaction in <sup>12</sup>C nucleus using recoil protons as a tagger , based on photo-multi-disintegration measurement

A.Lapik, A.Mushkarenkov, V.Nedorezov, A.Turinge, N.Rudnev for GRAAL & BGO-OD collaborations

Institute for Nuclear Research, RAS, Moscow

### **GENERAL MOTIVATION**

- To answer the question :
- How unstable mesons ( $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  etc) interact with nuclear media, in what reactions, what are the interaction products etc?
- Different theoretical models are trying to subtract such information .
- —
- We propose to study elastic and inelastic interactions of unstable mesons with nuclear media by the model independent way, directly in the experiment.



### Short living mesons:

Meson	Life time	Relativistic range	Width
type	τ (s)	in vacuum	Γ (MeV)
		cτ (fm)	
$\pi^0$	<b>8</b> * 10 <sup>-17</sup>	$2.5*10^7$	8* 10 <sup>-6</sup>
η	5* 10 <sup>-19</sup>	$1.5 * 10^5$	10 <sup>-3</sup>
η'	3* 10 <sup>-21</sup>	$0.9 * 10^3$	0.2
ρ	4* 10 <sup>-24</sup>	1.2	149
ω	7* 10 <sup>-23</sup>	20	8.43

### Transparency in **Glauber model with eikonal approximation**

[P.Muhlich, U.Mosel, NP A 773 (2006) 156]

Definition 
$$\tilde{T}_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' A'}}{A \sigma_{\gamma N \to \eta' N}}$$
.

Normalized to<sup>12</sup>C

$$T_A = \frac{\pi R^2}{A\sigma_{\eta'N}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{R}\right) \exp\left[-2\frac{R}{\lambda}\right] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{R}\right)^2 \left(\exp\left[-2\frac{R}{\lambda}\right] - 1\right) \right\}$$

Evaluated inelastic  $\sigma_{\eta, \eta} = 10.3 \pm 1.4 \text{ mb.}$ 

#### [M.Nanova e.a.(BGO-OD collaboration) Phys.Lett. B710 (2012) 600-606]

Numerous data on A-dependence of meson photoproduction are available : V.Nedorezov, Yu.N.Ranyuk. Photofission above the giant resonance. Naukova dumka, Kiev, 1989.

#### Differential cross section for $\eta' + {}^{12}C$ in the full solid angle vs $E^{\eta'}_{kin}$ (in coincidences with protons within $\theta = 1^0 - 11^0$ ) Vo = - 50 MeV

E.Ya.Paryev, Study of in-medium  $\eta$ ' properties in the ( $\gamma$ , $\eta$ 'p) reaction on nuclei. arXiv:1503.09007 [nucl-th], Mar 31, 2015



Experiment : new requirements Precise evaluation of the proton measurement efficiency using  $\gamma p > \pi^0 p$  reaction



BGO & Barrel geometry in  $\phi$  plane

# Proton measurement efficiency in the Barrel as function of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$



Solid line – experiment, points – simulation

# Proton measurement efificiency



### Simulations (GRAAL) : proton and deuteron



12-C



## Simulation and experiment (GRAAL) <sup>12</sup>C target



### Results

GRAAL

### BECQUEREL

	Real photons		Virtual photons	
			(Coulomb dissociation)	
	$^{12}$ C	$^{12}$ C	<sup>12</sup> N [12]	<sup>11</sup> C [12]
	(simulation)	(experiment)		
Протон	52 %	53 %	184 (68 %)	204 (48 %)
Дейтрон	18 %	20 %	0	0
H-3	5 %	18 %	0	0
He-3	7 %	5 %	0	0
He-4	18 %	4 %	75 (32 %)	221 (52 %)

Selection of the primary recoil nucleon: angular distribution



#### Separation of neutral particles in the GRAAL experiment [1]:



In forward direction (EM-calorimeter) TOF- $\Delta$ E Is similar to protons but Efficiency is in 5 times less

#### Mclus dependence:



 $E\gamma$  energy spectrum from all partial channels

Expected contribution of low energy photons ( $E_{\gamma} < 50 \text{ MeV}$ ) does not exceed 2%

Additional efforts to exclude complementary neutral clusters in BGO : TOF in BGO

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Meson Tagging by recoil protons: Simulation for $^{14}N$ \\ \mbox{Variable parameters Ep, E} \gamma \ , \mbox{Fixed parameter $\theta_p$} \\ \mbox{Ideal case: (no backgrounds, ideal resolution but intranuclear cascade is included) :} \end{array}$ 

 $2^{0} < \theta_{p} < 10^{0}$ 





#### Multiple (n $\leq$ 4) meson production and INC is included

A.Ignatov e.a. New experimental and simulated results on nuclear media effects in meson photoproduction off nuclei. Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.(2008) 61:253-259,2008.

First GRAAL experimental results
 Deuteron target
 2<sup>0</sup><theta<10<sup>0</sup>

#### simulation

ExperimentKinematics is not included



#### Magnetic spectrometer

Separation of charged particles (pions, kaons, protons, light nuclei) in forward direction

- $\theta = 1^0 11^0$
- $\Delta P/P = 1\%$  is much better than at GRAAL (10%)

#### TOF in BGO

1 ns would be enough to distinguish p,d, a particles because the energy of secondary particles is relatively small

Complementary neutral clusters from neutron scattering can be rejected

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Analog $\Delta$E$ signal from MWPC} \\ \mbox{Simulation of energy loss in MWPC and barrel for $\pi$, $p$, $d$, $\alpha$ particles,} \\ \mbox{Angular range - $80^0 - 100^0$, energies are evaluated by RELDIS model for $E_{\gamma}$ = 1 GeV, $^{12}C$ target (A.Turinge, private communication)} \end{array}$ 

#### Energy loss in MWPC



# •VERTEX : Yield of charged particles from the mylar windows with different multiplicity (n=2,3,4,5)

Target : 8 cm LD + 100  $\mu$ m mylar windows (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)

x 10<sup>2</sup>

1500

1000

500

-25

Chain D-CHAIN -- p2200d.ntp

-15

-10

n = 2

-20

-20

-15

-10

-5

tst

0

5

 $\Box \quad \text{Beam E}\gamma = 0.6\text{-}1.5$ 



HIGZ\_01 @ xpc.localdomain

-5

projects/mull

**MULLUIP** 

0

5

- 0 X

Z direction

15

20

20

20

20

10

10

15

GeV

- Cylindrical  $4\pi$  MWPCs
- of the detector LAγRANGE:
- for n = 5 measured particles
- are not mesons, not primary
- recoils,
- •
- Most probably they are cascade
- protons from intra-nuclear
- interaction

tst Chain D-CHAIN -- p2200d.ntp 15000 MILLIM 10000 n = 35000 0 -20 -15 -10-5 0 5 10 15 -25 tst Chain D-CHAIN -- p2200d.ntp 150 n = 4100 50 0 -15 -5 10 -20-10Û 5 15 tst Chain D-CHAIN -- p2200d.ntp 4 3 n = 52

#### Separation of charged particles in the GRAAL experiment [V.Nedorezov e.a. (GRAAL collaboration) NP, A (2015), pp. 264-278]

a – simulation, b – experiment

- Forward detector
- (plastic wall ( $\Delta E TOF$ )

•  $\Delta E$  (barrel) –  $\Delta E$  (BGO)



Additional efforts to identify charger particles in BGO : *vertex : star picture from the target, selection of files* 

#### Separation of neutral particles in the GRAAL experiment [1]:



In forward direction (EM-calorimeter) TOF- $\Delta$ E Is similar to protons but Efficiency is in 5 times less

#### Mclus dependence:



 $E\gamma$  energy spectrum from all partial channels

Expected contribution of low energy photons ( $E_{\gamma} < 50 \text{ MeV}$ ) does not exceed 2%

Additional efforts to exclude complementary neutral clusters in BGO : TOF in BGO

### Simulation: Left panel: resolution of 0.5% (BGO-OD) Right panel: resolution of 10% (GRAAL)



Selection of the primary recoil nucleon: angular distribution



### Principal feature to select the primary recoil proton: BGO energy loss distribution



#### Principal feature to select the primary recoil proton: BGO energy loss distribution



#### Probability of neutral cluster (neutron) production in different partial reactions [GRAAL results]



#### <sup>12</sup>C multi-fragmentation probabilities (n = 8 – 12) at different Eγ energies in comparison with RELDIS predictions



18-й International Seminar EMIN-2018, Moscow, October 8-11 2018 г. www/cpc.inr.ac.ru/~pnlab/emin2018

#### QCD and Hadron Physics.

# JLAB & SINP MSU JINR, Dubna, RCNP, Osaka University **Polarization phenomena, spin physics.**

Lebedev Institute, INR RAS, JINR & Mainz University, BINP Novosibirsk, Japan Atomic Energy Agency

#### Fragmentation of nuclei by real and virtual photons.

INR RAS & Bonn University, JINR, FAIR , Kioto University

#### Giant resonances and collective excitations of nuclei.

SINP, MEPHI, FTI Obninsk, RCNP, Osaka University

#### New developments and perspectives

SINP & ELI-NP Bukharest, BINP Novosibirsk, Japan Atomic Energy Agency

### Conclusion Future photonuclear experiments

### **BGO-OD perspectives**

### USA : Electron Ion Collider (EIC)

At BNL - the **eRHIC** utilizes a new electron beam facility based on an Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) to be built inside the RHIC tunnel in order to collide electrons with one of the RHIC beams.

At Jefferson Laboratory the Medium Energy Electron Ion Collider (**MEIC**) employs a new electron and ion collider ring complex, together with the 12 GeV upgraded CEBAF in order to achieve similar collision parameters.

### CEBAF, TUNL HIGS ....

Europe : ELISe GSI, Bonn ELSA, Hamburg DESY, VEPP Novosibirsk, ...

Japan : **SPRING 8, SUBARU** ...

#### **CONCLUSION**

#### QCD and Hadron Physics.

#### JLAB & SINP MSU JINR, Dubna, RCNP, Osaka University Polarization phenomena, spin physics.

#### Lebedev Institute, INR RAS, JINR & Mainz University, BINP Novosibirsk, Japan Atomic Energy Agency

#### Fragmentation of nuclei by real and virtual photons.

INR RAS & Bonn University, JINR, FAIR , Kioto University

#### Giant resonances and collective excitations of nuclei.

SINP, MEPHI, FTI Obninsk, RCNP, Osaka University

#### New developments and perspectives

SINP & ELI-NP Bukharest, BINP Novosibirsk, Japan Atomic Energy Agency