



# Neural networks in the Baikal-GVD experiment: selection of neutrino events and neutrino energy reconstruction

Matseiko Albert<sup>1,2</sup>, MIPT, INR RAS

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant no. 24-72-10056.

AYSS 2024, 28.10 — 01.11, 2024, Dubna, Russia



# Plan

- BaikalGVD experiment:
   a) structure
   b) events
   c) data
- 2. Neutrino selection against the EAS background

3. Neutrino energy reconstruction

# I. Baikal-GVD

# Baikal-GVD

#### Purposes:

- observe TeV-PeV neutrinos originating from the outside of the Solar System
- investigate their sources





**13 clusters** are setup by now

Cluster setup process

# Baikal-GVD





- Detect cherenkov radiation
- Live calibration of OM positions (accuracy ~20 cm)
- Accuracy of determining the response time ~2 ns.

Events rate per cluster (of all origins): ~40-90 Hz

## EAS and v induced events

#### 1) EAS

- showers from cosmic rays
- mostly muons reach the cluster
- «down-going» events



# EAS and v induced events

#### 1) EAS

- showers from cosmic rays
- mostly muons reach the cluster
- «down-going» events

#### 2) Neutrino

- astrophysical or atmospheric
- leptons are born in the cluster
- easily pass the Earth
  - -> «up-going» events





#### Event options





#### **Event options**







#### **Event options**





# Baikal-GVD



#### Track event picture



## Data representation



Single cluster

### Data representation



# II. Neutrino selection against the EAS background

## Motivation

• EAS to v events ratio =  $10^{6}$ - $10^{7}$ .



## Motivation

- EAS to v events ratio =  $10^{6}$ - $10^{7}$ .
- Standard approach: reconstruction of the zenith angle + cut.
   Computationally expensive, ~50% v are lost.

The goal is to achieve better separation using neural networks.



### Dataset

- Using Monte-Carlo simulation<sup>[1]</sup>!
   EAS evolution and propagation of particles in water.
- Track events:

   Muons from EAS
   ν<sub>μ</sub> (neutrinos of muon flavour)

	train	test	validation
EAS	≈3*10 <sup>6</sup>	≈5*10 <sup>5</sup>	≈2*10 <sup>6</sup>
Neutrino	≈6*10 <sup>5</sup>	≈10 <sup>5</sup>	≈1.3*10 <sup>7</sup>

- Cuts: min 8 signal hits min 2 strings triggered
- Target feature type of particle Labels: 0 — EAS, 1 — neutrino

### The network





#### The network

predictions p<sub>v</sub>
 --> classification

• threshold  $\xi$  is close to 1!



#### Metrics

 Exposition: E = n<sub>v</sub>/N<sub>v</sub> fraction of v identified correctly (True Positive Rate)

 Suppression: S = n<sub>EAS</sub>/N<sub>EAS</sub> fraction of EASs falsely assigned to v (False Positive Rate)



Interested in region, where S=10<sup>-6</sup>

#### Метрики: весь датасет

#### **Exposition E**

Всего и-событий: 13\_249\_470

0.7

0.8

Порог ξ

0.9

1.0

0.6

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.5

ш

#### Suppression S

#### — Всего ШАЛ-событий: 2\_283\_586 10-3 $10^{-4}$ S 10-5 $10^{-6}$ 10-7 0.5 0.9 0.6 0.7 1.0 0.8 Порог ξ

#### E vs S



# III. Neutrino energy reconstruction

## Motivation

- Energy is an important parameter of a particle: the spectrum of astrophysical neutrinos can tell a lot about the sources
- Current reconstruction error: factor from 3 to 5 for Energy > 100Tev

We want to improve the reconstruction quality using neural networks

## Dataset

- Monte-Carlo (again)
- Only ν<sub>µ</sub> track events
   We reconstruct the muon's energy E! since it is a directly observable particle
- Cuts:
  1) min 8 hits
  2) min 2 strings
- Target feature:  $log_{10}E$
- A uniform spectrum was selected



# Loss function

- Let's denote: lgE -- true energy,  $lgE^*$  -- prediction. Want our network to predict it's error  $\sigma$  for each event!
- Using special *loss* function:

$$loss = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( ln(\sigma_i^2) + \frac{(lgE_i - lgE_i^*)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$

Maximizes the likelihood of a hypothesis:  $lgE \sim N(lgE^*, \sigma)$ .





#### The network



### Metrics: energy branch, [E] = [GeV]

*lgE*\* and *lgE* correspondence



Histogram of  $(lgE - lgE^*)$ 



### Metrics: energy branch, [E] = [GeV]



#### Metrics: error $\sigma$ branch

 $\sigma$  predicts individually for each event

Interval  $(lgE^* - \sigma, lgE^* + \sigma)$  must contain 68.2% of lgE values



# Average $\sigma$ must correspond to width of 68.2% pecentile of real error



# IV. Conclusion

32

• Neural networks helps (evaluated on MC simulation):

- separate neutrino events preserving 60% while suppressing EAS background by 10<sup>6</sup> times

reconstruct the energy of tracks with an error factor of 4 and below for predictions >10 TeV
 --> it is proposed to discard predictions < 10 TeV as unreliable.</li>

- individually for each event, **estimate the energy prediction error** at the level of 1 standard deviation (coverage from 64% to 72%)

- Future plans:
  - further improvement of neural networks, training on a larger MC
  - evaluation of the quality of predictions on real data

- implementation of the developed models in the BARS telescope data analysis package



# Thanks!

#### Contacts:

matseiko.av@phystech.su t.me/AlbertMac280 Code and models:

github.com/ml-inr/Baikal-ML

github.com/AlbertMatseiko/

- NeutrinoSelection
- NuEnergy

# Backup

# More energy slides


Quality criteria:

1) z = 
$$\frac{logE_{true} - logE}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$

2) central quantile ±  $\sigma$  = 68%

3)  $\sigma$  and  $\Delta(logE)$  correlation

#### Standardized score z distribution



Quality criteria:

1) z = 
$$\frac{logE_{true} - logE}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$

2) central quantile ±  $\sigma$  = 68%

3)  $\sigma$  and  $\Delta(logE)$  correlation

#### Standardized score z 2D distribution



Quality criteria:

1) 
$$z = \frac{\log E_{true} - \log E}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$
  
2) central quantile  $\pm \sigma = 68\%$ 

3)  $\sigma$  and  $\Delta(logE)$  correlation



Quality criteria:

1) z = 
$$\frac{logE_{true} - logE}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$

2) central quantile  $\pm \sigma$  = 68%

3)  $\sigma$  and  $\Delta(logE)$  correlation

Pearson coefficient = 0.5Corresponds to:  $logE_{true} - logE \sim N(0, \sigma)$  !

 $\sigma$  vs  $\Delta$ (logE) 2D histogram 2.5 - 10<sup>0</sup> 2.0 Predicted σ 1.5 10-1 1.0 - 10<sup>-2</sup> 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 2.5 0.0 1.0 |log10Etrue - log10Epred|

## Metrics: $\sigma$ branch some more graphs



As energy increases, the predicted error decreases!

## Общий план применения нейронных сетей



## Общий план применения нейронных сетей



## Общий план применения нейронных сетей



### Технические

#### данные

 $\lambda_{scattering}^{eff} \approx 480$ м при 475 nm  $\lambda_{absorption}^{max} \approx 24$ м

Трековые события :точность угла прилета ≈ 0, 25° Каскадные события: разрешение ≈ 2°

Монте - Карло:

Взаимодействие нейтрино с ядрами : СТЕQ4М (нейтрино с энергиями 10 ГэВ – 100ТэВ Прилет мюонов: программа CORSIKA 5.7 на модели адронных вз-ий QGSJET Распространение мюонов до Байкала : MUM v1.3u Космические лучи: модель на базе KASCADE (240 ГэВ – 20 ПэВ) Ошибка по времени 5 нс ; 30% по заряду

EAS:Nu = 1:1 train: 5556146 events, test: 465253 events, val: 22345821 events

## Разные графики



#### 47

log10(FPR)

## Больше энергии

















Polar angle

### Больше потока





8

### Спектры частиц

Энергия, нейтрино

#### Полярный угол, нейтрино



### Спектры частиц

Энергия, мюоны

#### Полярный угол, мюоны



### Спектры частиц

#### Азимутальный угол, мюоны

#### Азимутальный угол, нейтрино



10

## Разные формулы

## Focal loss

$$FL(p_t) = -\alpha_t (1-p_t)^{\gamma} \log(p_t)$$
$$p_t = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } y = 1\\ 1-p & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

#### Оценка потока нейтрино (Следует из определний Е и S)

$$n_{v} \approx \frac{n(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi) \ n(0)}{E^{0}(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi)}$$

ξ - порог классификации.

S<sup>o</sup>, E<sup>o</sup> - оценки подавления и экспозиции на тестовом МК наборе данных.

n(ξ) - количество событий правее порога.



Можно оценить ошибку!

Отношение ШАЛ к v : ~ 100 000

#### Оценка потока нейтрино

$$n_{v} \approx \frac{n(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi) \ n(0)}{E^{0}(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi)}$$

#### Можно оценить ошибку!

- Возьмём тестовые нейтринные события.
- Е параметр биномиального распределения! По МК оцениваем Е<sup>о</sup> с доверительным интервалом.
- ШАЛ события и S<sup>0</sup> аналогично!
- Считаем погрешность формулы потока.



ξ - порог классификации.

S<sup>0</sup>, E<sup>0</sup> - подавление и экспозиция, оцененные на МК.

n(ξ) - количество событий, правее порога.

Отношение ШАЛ к v: ~ 100 000

#### Оценка потока нейтрино

$$n_{\nu} \approx \frac{n(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi) \ n(0)}{E^{0}(\xi) - S^{0}(\xi)}$$

Можно оценивать в 2 режимах:

1) Оценка числа v-событий в данных

Оценка параметра потока ν
(число n(ξ) - Пуассоновская случ. величина)



ξ - порог классификации.

S<sup>0</sup>, E<sup>0</sup> - подавление и экспозиция, оцененные на МК.

n(ξ) - количество событий, правее порога.

Отношение ШАЛ к v : ~ 100 000

## Вывод формулы ошибки

#### Appendix A: Derivation of Eq. (??)

In this section we derive distributions, expected values and dispersions of random values in Eq.(??). Then, using them, we evaluate the error of estimating the number of neutrino events using Eq.(??). In this section, we use P to denote probability of some outcome for a random variable, and M and D for its expected value and dispersion, accordingly.

We start by discussing the properties of random variables in the experimental dataset. Let the latter contains  $n^0$  events in total,  $n^0_\nu$  of which are neutrino-induced and  $n^0_\mu \equiv n^0 - n^0_\nu$  are EAS-induced.  $n^0_\nu$  and  $n^0_\mu$  are random variables distributed according to the Poisson law with parameters  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  respectively. Hence

$$P(n_{\nu}^{0} = k) = \frac{\nu^{k} e^{-\nu}}{k!}, \qquad (A1)$$
$$P(n_{\mu}^{0} = k) = \frac{\mu^{k} e^{-\mu}}{k!}. \qquad (A2)$$

Since  $n^0$  is a sum of  $n^0_{\nu}$  and  $n^0_{\mu}$ , it also follows the Poisson distribution,

$$P(n^0 = k) = \frac{(\nu + \mu)^k e^{-(\nu + \mu)}}{k!}$$
 (A3)

It's expected value and dispersion are:

$$M(n^0) = D(n^0) = \nu + \mu$$
. (A4)

Let us now address the classification of events by the neural network. A trained neural network can be considered as a black box. As it was discussed in section ??, for a fixed classification threshold  $\xi$ , the network classifies a neutrino-induced event correctly with some probability E, and EAS-induced event is identified incorrectly with the probability S. Hence the number of identified true and false neutrino-induced events are independent random variables with binomial distributions:

 $P(n_{\nu} = k | n_{\nu}^{0} = m) = Bin(m, E)(k), \quad (A5)$  $P(n_{\mu} = k | n_{\mu}^{0} = m) = Bin(m, S)(k). \quad (A6)$ 

Here  $n_{\nu}(\xi) \equiv n_{\nu}$ ,  $n_{\mu}(\xi) \equiv n_{\mu}$ , and Bin(m, p)(k) stands for the binomial distribution with number of experiments *m* and success probability *p*:

$$Bin(m,p)(k) = C_m^k p^k (1-p)^{m-k}$$
. (A7)

The number of neutrino-induced events identified by the neural network on a test dataset is subject to both of the above-described random processes. Hence the full probability distributions,  $P_{\rm f}$ , of  $n_{\nu}$  and  $n_{\mu}$  can be obtained by multiplying the corresponding Poisson and binomial distributions,

$$P_{\rm f}(n_{\nu} = k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(n_{\nu} = k | n_{\nu}^0 = m) P(n_{\nu}^0 = m) (A8)$$
$$P_{\rm f}(n_{\mu} = k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(n_{\mu} = k | n_{\mu}^0 = m) P(n_{\mu}^0 = m) (A9)$$

Using Eq. (A8), Eq. (A9), Eq. (A1) and Eq. (A2), one can evaluate the expected values and dispersions of  $n_{\nu}$  and  $n_{\mu}$ :

$$M(n_{\nu}) = D(n_{\nu}) = E\nu$$
, (A10)  
 $M(n_{\mu}) = D(n_{\mu}) = S\mu$ . (A11)

For the random variable n, which is a sum of  $n_{\nu}$  and  $n_{\mu}$ , one has:

$$M(n) = D(n) = E\nu + S\mu . \tag{A12}$$

Now the task is to estimate binomial parameters E and S of the neural network after measurements of  $n_{\nu}$  and  $n_{\mu}$  on the test dataset. To do this, we use standard formulae:

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{n_{\nu}(\xi)}{n_{\nu}^{0}}, \quad \tilde{S} = \frac{n_{\mu}(\xi)}{n_{\mu}^{0}}.$$
 (A13)

The errors of these evaluations are calculated using the Clopper–Pearson interval. For example, setting confidence level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\tilde{E}$ , we obtain interval  $E_{\min} < E < E_{\max}$ , where  $E_{\min}$  and  $E_{\max}$  are from equations:

$$\frac{\Gamma(n_{\nu}^{0}+1)}{\Gamma(n_{\nu}^{0})\Gamma(n_{\nu}^{0}-n_{\nu}+1)} \int_{0}^{E_{\min}} t^{n_{\nu}-1} (1-t)^{n_{\nu}^{0}-n_{\nu}} dt = \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (A14)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(n_{\nu}^{0}+1)}{\Gamma(n_{\nu}+1)\Gamma(n_{\nu}^{0}-n_{\nu})} \int_{0}^{E_{\max}} t^{n_{\nu}} (1-t)^{n_{\nu}^{0}-n_{\nu}-1} dt = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (A15)$$

The variation of  $\tilde{E}$  with confidence level  $1 - \alpha = 0.68$  we will consider to be equivalent to one standard deviation and calculate as

$$\sigma_{\tilde{E}} = (E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}})/2, \qquad (A16)$$

For case of S the reasoning is similar:

$$\sigma_{\tilde{S}} = (S_{\text{max}} - S_{\text{min}})/2 \qquad (A1)$$

Now we are ready to evaluate the dispersion of  $N_{\xi}$  estimated using Eq. (??). For this purpose, we used the standard formula for the dispersion of a function of random variables,

$$\sigma_{N_{\xi}}^{2} = \sum_{v} \left(\frac{\partial N_{\xi}}{\partial v}\right)^{2} \sigma_{v}^{2} + 2\sum_{v \neq u} \left(\frac{\partial N_{\xi}}{\partial v}\right) \left(\frac{\partial N_{\xi}}{\partial u}\right) Cov_{v,u} .$$
(A18)

Here, v and u denote arguments of the function  $N_{\xi}$ , which are  $n(\xi) \equiv n$ ,  $n(0) \equiv n^0$ ,  $\tilde{E}(\xi)$  and  $\tilde{S}(\xi)$ ;  $\sigma_v^2$ stands for squared variance of v, and  $Cov_{v,u}$  denotes covariance between v and u.

Let us explicitly write out the estimation of the variances and covariances. According to Eq. (A4),  $\sigma_{n^0}^2$  can be estimated as

$$\sigma_{n^0}^2 = n^0 . \tag{A19}$$

Further, from Eq. (A12), one gets

$$= n$$
 . (A20)

Next,  $\sigma_{\vec{E}}^2$  and  $\sigma_{\vec{S}}^2$  can be obtained from Eq. (A16) and Eq. (A17).

 $\sigma^2$ 

Finally, note that there are only two dependent random variables in Eq. (??) - n and  $n^0$ . Their covariance can be calculated using Eq. (A3), Eq. (A8) and Eq. (A9),

$$Cov_{n^0,n} = E\nu + S\mu = M(n)$$
. (A21)

Therefore this covariance can be estimated as n.

By calculating the partial derivatives of  $N_{\xi}$  in Eq. (A18) and substituting the obtained expressions for variances and covariances, we obtain the final result:

$$\sigma_{N_{\xi}}^{2} = \frac{(n-n^{0}\tilde{S})^{2}}{(\tilde{E}-\tilde{S})^{4}} \cdot \sigma_{\tilde{E}}^{2} + \frac{(n-n^{0}\tilde{E})^{2}}{(\tilde{E}-\tilde{S})^{4}} \cdot \sigma_{\tilde{S}}^{2} + \frac{n+n^{0}(\tilde{S})^{2}-2n\tilde{S}}{(\tilde{E}-\tilde{S})^{2}}$$
(A22)

7)

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: matseiko.av@phystech.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> E-mail: ivan.kharuk@phystech.edu

## Про нейросети

#### Основы нейронных сетей



написанный человеком алгоритм решения задачи.

#### Как выделить признаки?

"Программа" - обучающийся на примерах алгоритм выделения оптимальных признаков.

Программы, создающие оптимальные алгоритмы

#### Основы нейронных сетей

#### *Пример:* по координате точки на плоскости предсказать, лежит ли она внутри окружности единичного радиуса.



Предсказание:

[0;1], 0 - внутри, 1 - снаружи

Мера ошибки:

штраф = |предсказание - правда| ≥ 0

Инициализация: Выбираем случайно w и b

#### Оптимизация:

Пока возможно улучшение:

- 1. Для заданной точки, считаем предсказание
- Считаем величину функции штрафа
- Методом градиентного спуска изменяем w и b, чтобы минимизировать штраф.
- Берем следующий "обучающий пример"

Итог:

Оптимальные значения w и b.

Найденные оптимальные параметры и есть итоговая "программа".

#### Сила машинного обучения

Нейросеть способна аппроксимировать любую функцию



### Графовые сети







#### Графы позволяют учитывать более сложные связи в данных

#### Графовые сети



Графовые сети "обновляют" граф: на следующем шаге значение вершины является функцией от а) её соседей, б) связывающих рёбер, в) глобальных агрегированных свойств графа.

Для реконструкции угла прилета, агрегатируется информация со всего графа.

```
Свёрточная сеть и resnet
```



#### Рекуррентная сеть





## Про углы

## Нейронная сеть

- Используются:

A) сверточная нейронная сеть(CNN) на основе сети ResNet [3]

Б) графовая сверточная нейронная сеть (EdgeGNN[4])

 Работа сети оценивается по медианным угловым разрешениям

[3] arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385 [4] arxiv.org/abs/1801.07829



Архитектура CNN

# Нейтрино : Угловые разрешения GCN



## Нейтрино : Угловые разрешения CNN



## Мюоны : Угловые разрешения


## Нейтрино: Восстановленные углы



## Мюоны: Восстановленные углы

