

Report on the QA and run-by-run systematics in the Xe+Cs(I) run

Demanov Alexander (MEPhi)
Peter Parfenov (JINR)
Mikhail Mamaev (JINR)
Arkady Taranenko (MEPhi, JINR)

This work is supported by: the Special Purpose Funding Programme within the
NICA Megascience Project in 2023 and the RSF grant No. 22-12-00132



BM@N analysis meeting, 12/03/2024



Outline



1. BM@N Experiment
2. Comparisons productions
3. QA Run-by-Run:
 - a. Events
 - b. Tracks
4. Conclusions and plans


The BM@N experiment

Data:

- run8 Xe-Csl @3.8A GeV Run Id:
 - 6600-7800 (new)
 - 7800-8300 (old)
- VF tracking was used

QA Run-by-Run:

- Tracking system GEM+FSD,
- BC, FD
- FHCal
- FQH
- TOF-400, TOF-700






- Magnet SP-41 (0)
- Vacuum Beam Pipe (1)
- BC1, VC, BC2 (2-4)
- SiBT, SiProf (5, 6)
- Triggers: BD + SiMD (7)
- FSD, GEM (8, 9)
- CSC 1x1 m² (10)
- TOF 400 (11)
- DCH (12)
- TOF 700 (13)
- ScWall (14)
- FD (15)
- Small GEM (16)
- CSC 2x1.5 m² (17)
- Beam Profilometer (18)
- FQH (19)
- FHCal (20)
- HGN (21)

QA Run-by-Run: runs rejection

- CCT2
- More than 1 track in vertex reconstruction

Procedure: y_i – mean value by run ID


$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \mu)^2}{N}}$$





Bad run ID (beyond $\pm 3\sigma$): 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213

Difference between productions: FHCal (7800-8300)

Old prod (VF)










New prod (VF)







There are problems with the FHCal data when using the VF production - Solved!

Difference between productions: vertex reconstruction (7800-8300)









Changes in tracking?

QA Run-by-Run: GEM+FSD







We don't consider Runs below 7000

QA Run-by-Run: vertex position







Bad Runs: 7326, 7417, 8201

QA Run-by-Run: vertex quality







Bad Runs: 78033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213

QA Run-by-Run: BC1, FD




Plans on future: calibrate factor for each RunId

QA Run-by-Run: FHCal and FQH




QA Run-by-Run: Tracks





$\langle p_x \rangle$ GeV/c



$\langle p_y \rangle$ GeV/c



$\langle p_z \rangle$ GeV/c




Bad Runs: 7843, 7932, 7933, 7935, 7937, 7954, 7955, 8247


Significant run Id dependence

QA Run-by-Run: Tracks





$\langle p_T \rangle$ GeV/c



$\langle \eta \rangle$







$\langle \phi \rangle$



Bad Runs: 6980, 6992, 7417, 7520

Significant run Id dependence

QA Run-by-Run: Tracks







Square mass

TOF-400

$$m^2 = \frac{(1 - \beta^2) * p^2}{\beta^2}$$

TOF-700









QA Run-by-Run: proton

Fit of each run ID with Gaus

$0.5 < p < 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$

Runs 7000-7800 are in progress...









We have a room for improvement after TOF calibration

QA Run-by-Run: π^+

Fit of each run ID with Gaus

$0.2 < p < 0.7 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$

Runs 7000-7800 are in progress...









We have a room for improvement after TOF calibration

QA Run-by-Run: π^-

Fit of each run ID with Gaus

$0.2 < p < 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$

Runs 7000-7800 are in progress...



We have a room for improvement after TOF calibration

Conclusions

- VF production made with different versions of BmnRoot - Solved!
- We decided to use runId>7000
- A list of “outlier” runs has been compiled

- Outlook:
 - Improve pile-up rejection procedure
 - look at Run 8 XeCsl 3.0A GeV

Thank you for your attention!



backup

runld_track_multiplicity: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_vtx_n_tracks: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_vtx_chi2: 7417, 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213, 8215,
runld_vtx_ndf: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_vtx_chi2_ndf: 7417, 8031, 8032, 8033, 8204, 8205, 8208, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213, 8215,
runld_vtx_x: 7326,
runld_vtx_y:
runld_vtx_z: 7417, 8201,
runld_tof700_t: 8005, 8013, 8014, 8015, 8016, 8018, 8020, 8021, 8022, 8028, 8030, 8031, 8032, 8033, 8038, 8039,
runld_tof400_t: 7627,
runld_nhits:
runld_eta: 7417,
runld_p: 7417,
runld_px:
runld_py: 7417, 7843, 7932, 7933, 7935, 7937, 7954, 7955, 8247,
runld_pz: 7417,
runld_pT: 6980, 7417, 7517, 7520,
runld_chi2:
runld_sts_track_multiplicity: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_sts_nhits: 7417,
runld_sts_eta: 7417,
runld_sts_px:
runld_sts_py: 7843, 7932, 7933, 7935, 7937, 7954, 7955, 8247,
runld_sts_pz: 7417,
runld_sts_pT: 6980, 6992, 7417, 7520,





runld_sts_chi2:
runld_sts_nhits: 7417,
runld_dca_r: 7417,
runld_bc1s_integral:
runld_bc1t_integral:
runld_bc1b_integral:
runld_vcs_integral:
runld_fd_integral:
runld_bc1s_amplitude: 7161, 7537, 7538, 7545, 7726,
runld_bc1t_amplitude: 7538, 7543, 7545, 7546, 7726,
runld_bc1b_amplitude: 7161, 7726, 7824,
runld_vcs_amplitude: 7752,
runld_fd_amplitude: 7575,
runld_n_tracks: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_gem_digits: 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_sts_digits: 7415, 7417, 8031, 8032, 8033, 8204, 8205, 8208, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213, 8215,
runld_tof400_digits: 7132, 7435, 7752, 8032, 8033, 8204, 8205, 8209, 8210, 8211, 8212, 8213,
runld_tof700_digits: 7575, 7847, 7848, 7850, 7851, 7852, 7853, 7855, 7856, 7857, 7858, 7859, 7865, 7868,
runld_fhcal_e: 7313, 7657, 7659, 7679, 7681, 7907, 8289,
runld_swall_q:
runld_hodo_q: 7435, 8033, 8167,
runld_fhcal&hodo_e: 7313, 7657, 7659, 7679, 7681, 7907, 8289,

Production information

Run8 Xe-CsI @3.8A GeV


- dev (old):
 - /eos/nica/bmn/exp/dst/run8/dev_vf
 - ~14000 files (7800-8300)
- 24.02.0 (new):
 - /eos/nica/bmn/exp/dst/run8/24.02.0
 - ~29000 files (6600-8300)

QA Run-by-Run: TOF-400 and TOF-700



We don't consider Runs below 7000

Basic selection







- 297.5M (62 %), CCT2 trigger, nTrVtx > 0
- 128.2M (27 %), 1 ion in BC1 (old)
- 228.4M (48 %), diff between BC1 and FD =1 (new)
- 202.5M (42 %), Add cut: StsNTrDiggit vs mult

For QA Run-by-run used:

- CCT2
- More than 1 track in vertex reconstruction

QA Run-by-Run: BC1, FD





Plans on future: calibrate factor for each RunId

BC1 Integral cut improvement

See the talk of I.Segal for details


- CCT2 trigger
- More than 1 track for vertex reconstruction




We have more events after the New cuts

Additional pileup graphic cut

BC1 old cut



BC1 new cut






- Graphic cut was performed to throw out all event unusual behaviour:

$$STS_{\max}(N_{\text{tracks}}) = 4.56033e-05 * N^3 - 0.0518774 * N^2 + 19.4203 * N + 188.248$$





$$STS_{\min}(N_{\text{tracks}}) = -9.62078e-05 * N^3 + 0.0332792 * N^2 + 4.81632 * N - 74.0087$$

- Difference:





QA Run-by-Run (Event)







QA Run-by-Run (Event)







QA Run-by-Run (Event)







QA Run-by-Run (Event)




QA Run-by-Run (Tracks)




QA Run-by-Run (Tracks)





QA Run-by-Run (Tracks)



$1 < p < 2$



$0.1 < p < 0.7$



The BM@N experiment and motivation

Data:

- run8 Xe-CsI @3.8A GeV
(Run Id: 7800-8300)
- VF tracking was used

