Application of the KANTBP 3.1 program and its modifications to the study of some nuclear reactions processes

O. Chuluunbaatar^{1,2,3}, P. Wen⁴, A.A. Gusev^{1,5}, S.I. Vinitsky^{1,6}

 1 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia 2
Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia ³Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia ⁴China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China ⁵Dubna State University, Dubna, Russia ⁶ Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russia

Outline

- **•** Statement of the problem: General BVP
- The program KANTBP 3.1
- Application in nuclear physics
	- \blacktriangleright Fusion cross sections with real potential and IWBC
	- \triangleright Fusion cross sections with complex potential and regular BC
- **Conclusions**

 \equiv

Statement of the problem: General BVP

The multichannel scattering problem on the whole interval $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$
\left(-\mathbf{I}\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \mathbf{U}(z) + \mathbf{Q}(z)\frac{d}{dz} + \frac{d\mathbf{Q}(z)}{dz} - 2E\mathbf{I}\right)\chi^{(i)}(z) = 0.
$$
 (1)

The asymptotic form of the coefficients at $z = z_{\pm} \rightarrow \pm \infty$

Let $\mathbf{Q}(z) = 0$, and the $\mathbf{V}(z)$ matrix is constant or weakly dependent on the variable z in the vicinity of the asymptotic regions $z \le z_{\min}$ and/or $z > z_{\max}$.

Matrix-solutions $\Phi_{v}(z)$ **:**

$$
\Phi_{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} \mathbf{Y}^{(+)}(z)\mathbf{T}_{\nu}, & z \geq z_{max}, \\ \mathbf{X}^{(+)}(z) + \mathbf{X}^{(-)}(z)\mathbf{R}_{\nu}, & z \leq z_{min}, \\ \mathbf{Y}^{(-)}(z) + \mathbf{Y}^{(+)}(z)\mathbf{R}_{\nu}, & z \geq z_{max}, \\ \mathbf{X}^{(-)}(z)\mathbf{T}_{\nu}, & z \leq z_{min}, \end{cases} & \nu = \leftarrow, \end{cases}
$$
(2)

where ${\bf R}_\to$ of the dimension $\cal N_o^L\times N_o^L$ and ${\bf R}_\leftarrow$ of the dimension $\cal N_o^R\times N_o^R$ are the reflection matrices, ${\bf T}_\to$ of the dimension $N_o^R\times N_o^L$ and ${\bf T}_\leftarrow$ of dimension $N_o^L\times N_o^R$ are the transmission matrices.

Components of asymptotic boundary conditions for constant matrices

The asymptotic rectangle-matrix functions $\mathbf{X}^{(\pm)}(z)$ and $\mathbf{Y}^{(\pm)}(z)$

$$
\mathbf{X}_{i_o}^{(\pm)}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\exp(\pm i \rho_{i_o}^L z)}{\sqrt{\rho_{i_o}^L}} \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_o}^L, \quad p_{i_o}^L = \sqrt{2E - \lambda_{i_o}^L}, \quad z \le z_{\min},
$$

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{i_o}^{(\pm)}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\exp(\pm i \rho_{i_o}^R z)}{\sqrt{\rho_{i_o}^R}} \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_o}^R, \quad p_{i_o}^R = \sqrt{2E - \lambda_{i_o}^R}, \quad z \ge z_{\max}.
$$
 (3)

Here $\lambda_i^{L,R}$ and $\Psi_i^{L,R}=\{\Psi_{1i}^{L,R},\ldots,\Psi_{Ni}^{L,R}\}^T$ are the solutions of algebraic eigenvalue problems with the matrices ${\sf V}^{\sf L}=V(z_{\sf min})$ and ${\sf V}^{\sf R}=V(z_{\sf max})$ of the dimension ${\sf N}\times{\sf N}$ for entangled channels

$$
\mathbf{V}^{L,R}\mathbf{\Psi}_{i}^{L,R}=\lambda_{i}^{L,R}\mathbf{\Psi}_{i}^{L,R},\quad(\mathbf{\Psi}_{i}^{L,R})^{T}\mathbf{\Psi}_{j}^{L,R}=\delta_{ij}.\tag{4}
$$

The closed channels asymptotic vector solutions at $\lambda^{L,R}_{i_c} \geq 2E$, $i=i_c=N_o^{L,R}+1,\ldots,N,$ are as follows:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{i_c}^{(-)}(z) \to \exp\left(+\sqrt{\lambda_{i_c}^L - 2E}z\right)\Psi_{i_c}^L, \quad z \le z_{min}, \quad v = \leftarrow,
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{Y}_{i_c}^{(+)}(z) \to \exp\left(-\sqrt{\lambda_{i_c}^R - 2E}z\right)\Psi_{i_c}^R, \quad z \ge z_{max}, \quad v = \to.
$$
\n(5)

The asymptotic boundary conditions for Coulomb potential

The asymptotic of $V(z)$ and $Q(z)$ matrices

$$
V_{ij}(z) = \left(\epsilon_j^L + \frac{2Z_j^L}{z}\right)\delta_{ij} + O(z^{-1}), l > 1, \quad Q_{ij}(z) = O(z^{-1}), l \ge 1, z \le z_{\min}, (6)
$$

and/or

$$
V_{ij}(z) = \left(\epsilon_j^R + \frac{2Z_j^R}{z}\right)\delta_{ij} + O(z^{-1}), l > 1, \quad Q_{ij}(z) = O(z^{-1}), l \ge 1, z \ge z_{\max}.\text{(7)}
$$

We put $V_{ij}^L=\epsilon_i^L\delta_{ij}$ and/or $V_{ij}^R=\epsilon_i^R\delta_{ij}$,

$$
\mathbf{V}^{L,R}\mathbf{\Psi}_i^{L,R} = \lambda_i^{L,R}\mathbf{\Psi}_i^{L,R}, \quad (\mathbf{\Psi}_i^{L,R})^T\mathbf{\Psi}_j^{L,R} = \delta_{ij}, \tag{8}
$$

and the eigenvalues λ_i^L and/or λ_i^R are ordered in ascending order of the thresholds ϵ_i^L and/or ϵ_i^R , and the corresponding eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^L$ and/or $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^R$ are columns of the permutated unit matrix **I**.

The asymptotic boundary conditions for non constant matrices

The open and closed channel asymptotic vector solutions have the form:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{i_o}^{(\pm)}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\exp\left(\pm i\left(p_{i_o}^L z - \frac{Z_j^L}{p_{i_o}}\ln(2p_{i_o}^L|z|)\right)\right)}{\sqrt{p_{i_o}^L}} \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_o}^L, \quad p_{i_o}^L = \sqrt{2E - \lambda_{i_o}^L}, \quad z \le z_{\min},
$$

$$
\mathbf{X}_{i_c}^{(-)}(z) \rightarrow \exp\left(\pm \left(p_{i_c}^L z + \frac{Z_j^L}{p_{i_c}}\ln(2p_{i_c}^L|z|)\right)\right) \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_c}^L, \quad p_{i_c}^L = \sqrt{\lambda_{i_c}^L - 2E},
$$
(9)

and/or

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{i_o}^{(\pm)}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\exp\left(\pm i\left(p_{i_o}^R z - \frac{Z_i^R}{p_{i_o}}\ln(2p_{i_o}^R|z|)\right)\right)}{\sqrt{p_{i_o}^R}} \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_o}^R, \quad p_{i_o}^R = \sqrt{2E - \lambda_{i_o}^R}, \quad z \ge z_{\max},
$$

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{i_c}^{(+)}(z) \rightarrow \exp\left(-\left(p_{i_c}^R z + \frac{Z_j^R}{p_{i_c}}\ln(2p_{i_c}^R|z|)\right)\right) \mathbf{\Psi}_{i_c}^R, \quad p_{i_c}^R = \sqrt{\lambda_{i_c}^R - 2E}, \tag{10}
$$

where j is the element number of the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\Psi}^L_i$ and/or $\boldsymbol{\Psi}^R_i$, which is $1.$

Þ

イロト イ団 トイ 差 トイ 差 トー

The program KANTBP 3.1 – KANTorovich Boundary Problem

Methods

BVP is solved on non-uniform grids using FEM and R-matrix theory. The KANTBP 3.1 program has been created.

KANTBP 3.1: A program for computing energy levels, reflection and transmission matrices, and corresponding wave functions in the coupled-channel and adiabatic approaches ^{☆,☆☆,☆☆☆}

O. Chuluunbaatar ^a*,*b*,*∗, A.A. Gusev ^a*,*c, S.I. Vinitsky ^a*,*d, A.G. Abrashkevich e, P.W. Wen ^f , C.J. Lin ^f*,*^g

^a *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Moscow region, Russia*

^b *Institute of Mathematics and Digital Technology, Mongolian Academy of Sciences, 13330 Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia*

^c *Dubna State University, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

^d *Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), 117198 Moscow, Russia*

^e *IBM Toronto Lab, 8200 Warden Avenue, Markham, ON L6G 1C7, Canada*

^f *China Institute of Atomic Energy, 102413 Beijing, China*

⁸ College of Physics and Technology & Guangxi Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Guangxi Normal University, 541004 Guilin, China

Figure 1: Figure 1: Figur \ldots in a coupled-channel approximation of the adiabatic approxim

sulting system of the fi[rst-d](#page-5-0)[erivativ](#page-6-0)e [coupling](#page-0-0) t[erms](#page-18-0) and first-derivative coupling terms and first-

Sub-barrier heavy ion fusion reaction a

^aP.W. Wen, O. Chuluunbaatar, A.A. Gusev, et al, Near-barrier heavy-ion fusion: Role of boundary conditions in coupling of channels, Phys. Rev. C 101,014618 (2020)

$$
\sum_{n'=1}^{N} \left(\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \tilde{E} \right) \delta_{nn'} + U_{nn'}(r) \right) \psi_{n'n_o}(r) = 0, \quad r \in (r_{\min}, r_{\max}). \tag{11}
$$

$$
U_{nn'}(r) = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left[\left(\frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} + V_N^{(0)}(r) + \frac{Z_P Z_T e^2}{r} + \epsilon_n \right) \delta_{nn'} + V_{nn'}(r) \right].
$$
 (12)

Here $\tilde{E}=2\mu E/\hbar^2$ is the center-of-mass energy, $\mu=A_P A_T/(A_P+A_T)$ is the reduced mass of the target and the projectile with the masses A_T and A_P and the charges Z_T and Z_P , respectively. $V_{nn'}(r)$ are matrix elements of Coulomb and nuclear $V_N^{(0)}(r)$ (Woods-Saxon potential derived from Akyuz-Winther parameterization) potentials, ¨ $U_{nn'}(r \to \infty) = 2\mu\epsilon_n/\hbar^2\delta_{nn'}.$

Fusion cross sections:^a **IWBC** at $r_{min} \gg 0$

 a K. Hagino, N. Rowley, A.T. Kruppa, CCFULL..., Comput. Phys. Commun. 123 (1999) 143.

Fusion cross sections for ⁶⁴**Ni+**¹⁰⁰**Mo and** ³⁶**S+**⁴⁸**Ca**

Figure 3: The modified Numerov method in CCFULL (dotted blue line), the improved Numerov method in the CCFULL (dashed green line) and KANTBP (solid red line). $N_o^R = 27$

Ε

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

Comparison of the left boundary conditions

CCFULL:
$$
X_{j_o}^{(-)}(r_{\min}) = \exp(-\imath q_j(r_{\min})r)\delta_{j_i_o}, q_j(r_{\min}) = \sqrt{\tilde{E} - U_{jj}(r_{\min})} \quad (13)
$$

KANTBP:
$$
\mathbf{X}_{i_o}^{(-)}(r_{\min}) = \exp(-\imath p_{i_o}^L r_{\min}) \Psi_{i_o}^L, \quad p_{i_o}^L = \sqrt{2E - \lambda_{i_o}^L}, \quad (14)
$$

Figure 4: Lowest eigenvalues λ_m are smaller than lowest diagonal elements $U_{mm}(r_{min})$.

Fission reaction ⁴⁰Ca+²⁰⁸Pb leading to the formation of the nucleus ²⁴⁸No

Figure 5: KANTBP (solid), NRV [http://nrv.jinr.ru/nrv] (dashed) and CCFULL (dash dotted). The dependence of the function \mathcal{L} $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ excited in $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$

probability was obtained from the analysis of mass energy and

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 105, 024617 (2022) the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contribution increases. For the reaction with $48C$

The capture cross sections, partial cross sections, and critical angular momenta L_{cr} were calculated using the code of coupling channel model KANTBP [28]. The advantage of this code, compared to the widely used codes of NRV [29,30] and $CCFULL [31]$, is the careful treating of boundary conditions for solving the set of coupled Schrödinger equations. It allows one to keep a high accuracy of calculations that take into account a large number of coupled channels.

into the cross section of deep inelastic collisions and the be several orders of magnitude smaller than the cross section **Figure 6:** α fission α fission α .

not waned for many years since this process is one of the ways and centrifugal energy of the system [2]. The compound nucleus has an excitation energy defined as the shell correct[ion,](#page-10-0) t[he](#page-12-0) [an](#page-10-0)[gula](#page-11-0)[r](#page-12-0) [mo](#page-0-0)[men](#page-18-0)[tum](#page-0-0) [mu](#page-18-0)[st b](#page-0-0)[e cal](#page-18-0)cu-

E∗

 $S_{\rm 248N}$ is determined mainly by determined mainly by

and they lose completely their individuality forming an excited compound nucleus (CN). Interest to fusion reactions has

to synthesize and study the properties of nuclei. At present the

capture cross section. The capture process is possible only when the introduced angular momentum *l* is lower than the critical value *L*cr at which the interaction energy is equal to the effective barrier defined as a sum of the interaction barrier defined as a sum of the

CN = *E*^c.m. + *Q*CN, where *E*^c.m. is the energy in the center-

Optical potentials and regular BC: $\psi_{nm}(r) \sim r^{l+1}$ at $r_{min} = 0$

Figure 8: Fusion cross sections of ¹⁶O+⁴⁴Ca. relative to the Rutherford cross section as a

Figure 9: The back-angle QE cross section function of energy for $^{16}O+^{44}Ca$.

R-matrix – P. Descouvemont, Comput. Phys. Commun. 200 (2016) 199.

Þ

Figure 10: The back-angle quasi-elastic cross section relative to the Rutherford cross section as a function of L_{max} at deep sub-barrier energy $E_{\text{cm}} = 172$ MeV.

Figure 11: Upper panel: the back-angle QE cross section relative to the Rutherford cross section. Lower panel: the corresponding barrier distributions. The extra symbol '-T' denotes the extra consideration of the transfer channels in the CC calculation.

The Numerov method requires two initial conditions

$$
\phi(r_i) = \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{12} \mathbf{A}(r_i)\right) \psi(r_i)
$$

\n
$$
\phi(r_{i+1}) = \left(\left(\frac{h^2}{\sqrt{12}} \mathbf{A}(r_i) + \sqrt{3}\right)^2 - 1\right) \phi(r_i) - \phi(r_{i-1})
$$
\n
$$
A_{nn'}(r) = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left[\left(\frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} + V_N^{(0)}(r) + \frac{Z_P Z_T e^2}{r} + \epsilon_n - E\right) \delta_{nn'} + V_{nn'}(r)\right]
$$
\n
$$
r_{i+1} = r_i + h
$$
\n(17)

メロメメ 御 メメ 老 メメ 老 メーモ

Numerov method in CCFULL

```
c integration of the io-th channel wave function from rmin = 0
    do 15 io=1,nlevel
      do 200 j1=1,nlevel
        psi(j1)=0.d0
        psi(1)=0. d0200 continue
c initial conditions
      psi1(io)=1.d-6
      do 91 i0=1,nlevel
        xi1(i0,io)=(1.d0-fac/12.d0*(v(rmin+dr)-ai*w(rmin+dr)-e))*psi(i0)do 92 ic=1,nlevel
          xii(io,io)=xii(io,io)-fac/12.d0*(\text{cpot}(i0,ic,1)-ai* \text{cpotw}(i0,ic,1))*\text{psi1}(ic)92 continue
 91 continue
15 continue
```
Numerov method in CCFULL

```
c integration of the io-th channel wave function from rmin = 0
    do 15 io=1,nlevel
      do 200 j1=1,nlevel
        psi(j1)=0.d0
        psi(1)=0. d0200 continue
c initial conditions
      psi1(io)=1.d-6
      do 91 i0=1,nlevel
        xi1(i0,io)=(1.d0-fac/12.d0*(v(rmin+dr)-ai*w(rmin+dr)-e))*psi1(i0)
        do 92 ic=1,nlevel
          xii(io,io)=xii(io,io)-fac/12.d0*(\text{cpot}(i0,ic,1)-ai* \text{cpotw}(i0,ic,1))*\text{psi1}(ic)92 continue
 91 continue
15 continue
Initial conditions in CCFULL:
```
 $\psi_{ij}(0)=0$ is correct but $\psi_{ij}(h)=10^{-6}\delta_{ij}$ is not correct (18)

Conclusions

1. A FORTRAN program for calculating energy values, reflection and transmission matrices, and corresponding wave functions in a coupled-channel approximation of the adiabatic approach are presented in Computer Physics Communications Program Library.

2. We found that the R-matrix method and the finite element method (KANTBP) are more stable for solving the multichannel scattering problem for the coupled channels equations compared to the Numerov method.

3. The programs KANTBP and R-matrix excellently confirm each other and outperform the CCFULL program.

Thank you for attention!

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}$