Constructive decomposition of a quantum system into subsystems

Mathematical Problems in Quantum Information Technologies May 27-28, 2024 Dubna, Russia

Vladimir Kornyak

Laboratory of Information Technologies Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Dubna, Russia

May 28, 2024



Quantum evolution

•
$$\mathbf{i}\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi_t\rangle = H |\psi_t\rangle \iff |\psi_t\rangle = U_t |\psi_0\rangle$$

cyclic group $U_t = e^{-\mathbf{i}\frac{H}{\hbar}t} = \left(e^{-\mathbf{i}\frac{H}{\hbar}}\right)^t = \mathbf{E}^t$

- Without empirical losses Banks, the evolution generator E can be represented by an element of a finite group:
 - E generates a representation of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N

Mathematical reasons

 any linear representation (unitary by itself) of a finite group is a subrepresentation of some permutation representation

Advantages in describing reality

 finite groups have greater expressive power than Lie groups: any Lie group can be approximated by finite groups, but not vice versa Finite groups vs Lie groups: \mathbb{Z}_N vs U(1)

- $U(1) \approx \mathbb{Z}_N$ for large N
- $\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$, if $N = n_1 n_2$ and $gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$ $\downarrow \downarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\ell_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{\ell_m}}$
 - $N = p_1^{\ell_1} \cdots p_m^{\ell_m}$ is prime factorization of N
 - ▶ $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\ell}} \cong \mathrm{GF}(p^{\ell})$ is a Galois field crucial role in quantum mechanics
 - ► Topologically, Z_N is a discrete multidimensional torus, resembles the circle U(1) topology only if N is a prime number

Regular permutation representation of \mathbb{Z}_N

Generator

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad X|_{N=2} = \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ a Pauli matrix}$$

• Position or ontic (• 't Hooft) or computational (quantum informatics) basis

$$B_X = \{ |0\rangle, \ldots, |N-1\rangle \}$$

• Position operator in ontic basis

$$\widehat{x} = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} x |x\rangle \langle x| = \operatorname{diag}(0, 1, \dots, N-1)$$

• Generator of evolution with velocity v: $X_v = X^v$

$$\widehat{x}_t = X_v^t \widehat{x}_0 X_v^{-t}$$

in components $x_t = x_0 + vt \mod N$

Pontryagin dual group $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$

• Generator

$$Z = FXF^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad Z|_{N=2} = \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

F is the Fourier transform and $\omega = e^{2\pi i/N}$ is the *N*th base root of unity

Momentum basis

$$B_{Z} = \left\{ \left| \widetilde{0} \right\rangle, \left| \widetilde{1} \right\rangle, \dots, \left| \widetilde{N-1} \right\rangle \right\}$$

• Momentum operator in momentum basis

$$\widehat{p} = \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} p \ket{\widetilde{p}} \langle \widetilde{p} | = \operatorname{diag} \left(0, 1, \dots, N-1
ight)$$

Cyclic permutation, together with its Pontryagin dual, gives rise to quantum behavior

• Bases B_X and B_Z are mutually unbiased

 $\left|\left\langle \widetilde{\ell}\,|\,k\right\rangle\right|^2 = \frac{1}{N}$

X, Z generate a projective representation of Z_N × Z̃_N ≃ Z_N × Z_N on N-dimensional Hilbert space H_N

• Direct calculation $\rightsquigarrow ZX = \omega XZ$, the Weyl commutation relation

Canonical commutation relations

• Heisenberg commutation relation

 $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = \mathbf{i}\hbar \,\mathbbm{1} \implies \dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$

observables \hat{x} , \hat{p} are Hermitian

• Weyl commutation relation

 $\dim \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{N} < \infty \implies ZX = \omega XZ$

observables X, Z are unitary



Weyl-Schwinger formalism

• Weyl-Heisenberg group

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}(N) &= \Big\{ \tau^{k} X^{v} Z^{m} \Big\}, \quad \tau = -\omega^{1/2} = - \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i}/N}, \\ v, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\overline{N}}, \quad \overline{N} = \begin{cases} N, & N \text{ is odd}, \\ 2N, & N \text{ is even}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

- Finite phase space T² 2D discrete torus of size N × N
 - ► X shifts "positions" and gives phases to "momenta" Position-space Schrödinder evolution: $|\psi_t\rangle = (\tau^k X^v)^t |\psi_0\rangle$
 - ► Z shifts "momenta" and gives phases to "positions" Momentum-space Schrödinder evolution: $|\psi_t\rangle = (\tau^k Z^m)^t |\psi_0\rangle$
- Symplectic group Sp(2, Z_N) symplectic transformations of T², quantum prototype of canonical transformations in Hamiltonian mechanics
- Clifford group $C\ell(N) \cong H(N) \rtimes Sp(2, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ normalizer of H(N) in U(N), Aut(H(N))NB. Gottesman–Knill theorem

Minimal example N = 2

Hermitian, period = 2

$$X = \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad XZ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{i}\sigma_y$$

Weyl–Heisenberg group

 $\mathrm{H}(2) = \{\pm 1, \pm \mathbf{i}\} \times \{\mathbb{1}, X, Z, XZ\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad |\mathrm{H}(2)| = 16$

• Phase space

$$T^{2} = \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \qquad |T^{2}| = 4$$

• Symplectic transformations

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong \mathsf{S}_3$$
 $|\operatorname{Sp}(2,\mathbb{Z}_2)|=6$

Clifford group

 $\mathrm{C}\ell(2) \cong \mathrm{H}(2) \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \qquad |\mathrm{C}\ell(2)| = 96$

Dimension $N = p^{\ell}$: $\mathcal{H}_{p^{\ell}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{p} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{p}$

• Galois field $GF(p^{\ell}) \equiv$ finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell}}$ Galois number $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon + \dots + \alpha_{\ell-1} \varepsilon^{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell}}, \quad \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ Addition — same as for ℓ -dimensional vector space

Multiplication — modulo an irreducible polynomial

$$\Phi(\varepsilon) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \varepsilon + \dots + \phi_{\ell-1} \varepsilon^{\ell-1} + \varepsilon^{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$$

- Frobenius transformation $\sigma: \alpha \longrightarrow \alpha^p$
- ► Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\ell|1) = \{1, \sigma, \dots, \sigma^{\ell-1}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$
- Galois conjugates $\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma} \alpha^{\rho} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma} \alpha^{\rho^{\ell-1}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \alpha$
- Trace $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^{p} + \dots + \alpha^{p^{\ell-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

Generalized Pauli matrices

$$X_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell}}} |\gamma + \alpha\rangle \langle \gamma |, \quad Z_{\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell}}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} \operatorname{tr}(\beta \gamma)} |\gamma\rangle \langle \gamma |$$

$$Z_{\beta}X_{lpha} = \mathrm{e}^{rac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{p}\operatorname{tr}(lphaeta)}X_{lpha}Z_{eta}$$

Decomposition of quantum systems: continuous groups vs finite groups

$$\mathcal{H}_{N} = \mathcal{H}_{n_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n_{m}}, \quad N = n_{1} \cdot n_{2} \cdots n_{m}, \quad \gcd(n_{i}, n_{j}) = 1$$

Continuous unitary groups

$$U(N) \mathcal{H}_{N} = U(n_{1}) \mathcal{H}_{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes U(n_{m}) \mathcal{H}_{n_{m}}$$

$$AX \otimes BY = (A \otimes B)(X \otimes Y)$$

$$= (U(n_{1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes U(n_{m})) (\mathcal{H}_{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n_{m}})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$U(N) \mathcal{H}_{N} = \mathcal{H}_{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n_{m}}$$

• Clifford groups $C\ell(N)$

$$\left(\overline{\mathrm{C}\ell(n_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathrm{C}\ell(n_m)}\right)\mathcal{H}_N=\mathcal{H}_{n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{n_m}$$

no quantum interferences, no entanglement between $n_i = p_i^{\ell_i}$ and $n_j = p_j^{\ell_j}$, $p_i \neq p_j$

Chinese remainder theorem

• ring isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_m}$ isomorphic map $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \mapsto k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$

$$k = \sum_{i} \underbrace{r_i N_i^{-1}}_{k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}} N_i \mod N$$

 $N_i = N/n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ $N_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ is the multiplicative inverse of N_i within \mathbb{Z}_{n_i} usually by the extended Euclidean algorithm

• dual map $k \leftrightarrow (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m), \ k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$

$$k = \sum_{i} k_{i} N_{i} \mod N$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\frac{k}{N} = \sum_{i} \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \mod 1 \iff \text{additivity of energy}$$

Additivity of energy in a composite quantum system $E(A \cup B) = E(A) + E(B) + \Delta E(A, B)$

- Planck relation $E = h\nu$, energy = frequency
- Hamiltonian

$$U = e^{2\pi i H} \sim \mathsf{diag} \Big(e^{2\pi i E_0}, e^{2\pi i E_1}, \ldots \Big)$$

all eigenvalues of $U \in H(\ell)$ are ℓ th roots of unity:

$$H_{\ell} \sim \operatorname{diag}\left(E_{\ell,k_0}, E_{\ell,k_1}, \dots, E_{\ell,k_{\ell-1}}\right), \qquad E_{\ell,k_i} = \frac{k_i}{\ell}$$

Composite system

$$U_{N} = U_{n_{1}} \otimes U_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n_{m}}$$

$$\downarrow \log$$

$$H_{N} = H_{n_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}_{n_{m}} + \mathbb{1}_{n_{1}} \otimes H_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}_{n_{m}} + \cdots + \mathbb{1}_{n_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{n_{m}}$$
Additivity of energy as dual map in Chinese remainder theorem

$$E_{N,k} = \sum_{i} E_{n_i,k_i} \iff \frac{k}{N} = \sum_{i} \frac{k_i}{n_i} \mod 1$$



1 Appendix

- David Hilbert
- Gerard 't Hooft
- Hermann Weyl
- Tom Banks
- Ordinary view of finite QM
- MUBs
- SIC POVMs

David Hilbert



David Hilbert. On the infinite

"Our principal result is that the infinite is nowhere to be found in reality. It neither exists in nature nor provides a legitimate basis for rational thought — a remarkable harmony between being and thought." We postulate the existence of an ontological basis. It is an orthonormal basis of Hilbert space that is truly superior to the basis choices that we are familiar with. In terms of an ontological basis, the evolution operator for a sufficiently fine mesh of time variables, does nothing more than permute the states.

p. 66, The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics. Springer, 2016

► To 3

Hermann Weyl

Our general principle allows for the possibility that the Abelian rotation group is entirely discontinuous, or that it may even be a finite group. ...

Because of these results I feel certain that the general scheme of quantum kinematics formulated above is correct. But the field of discrete groups offers many possibilities which we have not as yet been able to realize in Nature; perhaps these holes will be filled by applications to nuclear physics.

p. 276, The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. 1928, transl. Dover 1950

[▶ To 6]

Tom Banks

RUNHETC-2020-03

Finite Deformations of Quantum Mechanics

Tom Banks Department of Physics and NHETC Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854 E-mail: banks@physics.rutgers.edu

Abstract

We investigate modifications of quantum mechanics (QM) that replace the unitary group in a finite dimensional Hilbert space with a finite group and determine the minimal sequence of subgroups necessary to approximate QM arbitrarily closely for general choices of Hamiltonian. This mathematical study reveals novel insights about 't Hooft's Ontological Quantum Mechanics, and the derivation of statistical mechanics from quantum mechanics. We show that Kornyak's proposal to understand QM as classical dynamics on a Hilbert space of one dimension higher than that describing the universe, supplemented by a choice of the value of a naturally conserved quantum operator in that classical evolution, can probably be a model of the world we observe. Quantum state spaces are continuous, but they have some intriguing realisations of discrete structures hidden inside.... The structures we are aiming at are known under strange acronyms such as 'MUB' and 'SIC'.

p. 313, Bengtsson I., Zyczkowski K. Geometry of Quantum States. Cambridge University Press, 2006

MUBs Mutually Unbiased Bases

$$\left|\left\langle \psi_{j}^{m} | \psi_{k}^{n} \right\rangle\right|^{2} = \frac{1}{N}; \quad m \neq n; \quad \overbrace{m, n = 1, \dots, K}^{\text{bases}} \leq N + 1, \quad \overbrace{j, k = 1, \dots, N}^{\text{vectors}}$$

Maximal number, N + 1, of MUBs is achieved when $N = p^{\ell}$ Total number of vectors in complete set is $N^2 + N$ Associated with finite affine planes with N^2 points and $N^2 + N$ lines

SIC POVMs

Symmetric Informationally Complete Positive Operator-Valued Measures

 N^2 vectors $|\psi_j\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$

$$|\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle|^2 = rac{N \delta_{jk} + 1}{N+1}, \quad j,k = 1,\ldots, N^2$$

Zauner's conjecture:

- In every dimension there exists a SIC which is an orbit of the Weyl–Heisenberg group
- There exists a SIC of this kind, where the individual vectors are invariant under a Clifford group element of order 3

Associated with finite projective planes