

Performance of the Scintillation Wall in the BM@N experiment

Volkov Vadim on behalf of INR RAS group

09/10/2024

13th Collaboration Meeting of the BM@N Experiment at NICA

Scintillation Wall for fragments charge measurements and reaction plane estimation

- 36 small inner cells $7.5\times7.5\times1$ cm³ + 138 big outer cells $15\times15\times1$ cm³
- light yield for MIP signal small cells 55 p.e. \pm 2.4%; big cells 32 p.e. \pm 6%.
- optional beam hole (covered with 4 small cells for the SRC run)
- covered with a light-shielding aluminum plate
- light collection by WLS fibers
- light readout with SiPM mounted on the PCB at each scint. cell

light collection from tiles

- Hamamatsu MPPC S14160-1310PS
- $1.3*1.3mm²$
- Number of pixels: 2668
- Gain: 7*10⁵
- $PDF: 25%$

ScWall: design

3.8 GeV

- readout divided into 12 sectors each one equipped with single temperature sensor
- each 4 sectors are read by combined electronics unit:
	- o One ADC64s2 board
	- Four 16-channels FEE boards
	- Voltage control unit

The fragments with $Z = 3$ and beyond mainly pass through the beam hole and are not detected by the most of the scintillator detectors.

Spectra of charges for small scintillator detectors after calibration

In the large outer scintillation detectors only the $Z = 1$ peak being clearly visible.

Data for run8.

Spectra of charges for large scintillator detectors after calibration

ScWall stability during the run8

Data for Xe+CsI are presented for all data on a file-by-file basis for energies of 3.0 and 3.8 GeV for ScWall

+− 5σ dashed lines are shown

The mean total charge values for ScWall for each file are presented.

```
Applied cuts: 1 Xe, vertex Z (-1.5 < Z < 1.5)
```
Charges spectra

The charge spectrum on the ScWall is in the range up to $Z = 2$ (small cells).

Large charges leak out into the hole.

In the cells around the hole, charges up to $Z = 4$ can be detected.

The shift of the peaks is due to the Birks effect.

The charge yields in the experiment and in the simulation data for $Z = 2$ are significantly different.

Particle yield difference in the models and data is related to the angular distribution of the particles.

Future upgrade of ScWall

The range of charges detected on the ScWall is much greater (up to $Z = 5$) in small cells when thicker cells (20 mm) are used according to the DCM-QGSM-SMM simulation.

Centrality estimators

As an estimator of centrality, FHCal E_{dep} performs best (b) (similar to the number of tracks).

The scintillation wall (a) can sense centrality, but much worse.

It is possible to use the combined observable of these quantities to determine centrality.

DCM—SMM 3.8 GeV

Centrality estimators and combination of observables

The combined usage of the energy deposition in the FHCal and the total charge on the ScWall gives a narrower distribution.

The centrality accuracy improves only within 1%.

Need to consider autocorrelations with FHCal. ScWall can be used to estimate systematics.

Centrality estimators: ScWall vs FHCal

The width of the distributions of the presented observables as a dependence of the impact factor shows that the ScWall is significantly inferior to the FHCal.

The difference for the most central events in standard deviation units is about 2 times.

Centrality estimators: correlations

The best observables for centrality are tracks multiplicity and energy deposition in the FHCal.

The ScWall can only be used to slightly improve the results.

The combined usage of tracks multiplicity energy deposition in the FHCal can significantly improve the results.

Purity and centrality for FHCal and ScWall

To obtain the required purity of 80% for the most central class, it is necessary to take classes size of at least 20%.

Flow measurements theory

The azimuthal angle distribution is decomposed in a Fourier series relative to reaction plane angle:

$$
\rho(\varphi-\Psi_{RP})=\tfrac{1}{2\pi}(1+2\sum_{n=1}^\infty v_n\cos n(\varphi-\Psi_{RP}))
$$

Anisotropic flow: $v_n = \langle \cos\left[n(\varphi - \Psi_{RP})\right]\rangle$

Reaction plane is not experimentally measured, we define the symmetry plane (SP) from spectators:

$$
Q_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k(\cos \phi_k, \sin \phi_k) = |Q_1|(\cos \Psi_{SP}, \sin \Psi_{SP})
$$

 $\langle \cos(\phi-\Psi_{SP})\rangle$

Directed flow is measured Resolution correction factor

$$
R_1 = \langle \cos(\Psi_{SP} - \Psi_{RP}) \rangle
$$

These results were obtained by Mikhail Mamaev.

12

Comparison of RP resolution from FHCal and ScWall

3 vectors (F1, F2, F3 and S1, S2, S3) each from FHCal and ScWall were selected and the resolutions were compared.

The ScWall symmetry plane is more fluctuating. Hence SP has lower resolution, and requires more statistics for flow calculations. The set of the set of

These results were obtained by Mikhail Mamaev.

Conclusion

- The ScWall performance during run8 was demonstrated
	- ScWall was stable during run8
	- Charge spectra up to $Z = 2$, in central small cells up to $Z = 4$
	- Upgrade (20 mm cells) can significantly improve charge separation
- ScWall centrality and RP are compared with FHCal, Hodoscope and other variables
	- ScWall is weakly correlated with centrality
	- ScWall has worse capability for RP determination
	- Still can be used for systematics studies
- The ScWall can be used to measure the charged fragment-spectator yields. Such data are important for further constraints on the models.
- The presentation is based on a paper to be submitted to the NIMA.

Thank you for your attention!

backup

Event selection

≥2 tracks in vertex reconstruction Single Xe ion selected with Beam Counter BC1S With cuts on vertex Z $(-1.5cm < Z < 1.5cm)$

17

 \Box Magnet SP-41 (0)

ScWall Z^2 distributions (RECO, w/o vertex selection)

Geometry: air in cave, Magnet, vac. tubes, vac Wall before ScWall, ScWall, Hodo

BiBi@3A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM UNIGEN, **With magnetic fieldMap_1900 scale 0.834**

Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh= -1cm, rotY 4.2 deg ScWall hole 697.4 cm, Xsh=65.65cm, Ysh=-0.43 cm

ScWall Z2 distributions (**BiBi@3.26AGeV)**

Ideal geometry: air in cave, Magnet, vac. tubes, vac Wall before ScWall, ScWall, Hodo

BiBi@3A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM UNIGEN, **With magnetic fieldMap_1900 scale 0.834** Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh= -1cm, rotY 4.2 deg ScWall hole 697.4 cm, Xsh=65.65cm, Ysh=-0.43 cm air in cave, Magnet, **vac Tubes 99978ev, IDEAL geometry**

ScWall Z2 distributions (**XeCs@3.26AGeV**)

XeCs@3.26A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM UNIGEN, **With magnetic fieldMap_1900 scale 0.929** FHCal, Hodo rotY 1.6 deg, 4.2 deg ScWall hole 697.4 cm, Xsh=65.65cm, Ysh=-0.43 cm ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm **58804ev, 199976ev, FULL geometry**

ScWall Z^2 distributions

BiBi@3A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM UNIGEN, **With magnetic fieldMap_1900 scale 0.834** Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh= -1cm, rotY 4.2 deg ScWall hole 697.4 cm, Xsh=65.65cm, Ysh=-0.43 cm air in cave, Magnet, **vac Tubes 99978ev, IDEAL geometry**

XeCs@3.26A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM UNIGEN, **With magnetic fieldMap_1900 scale 0.929** FHCal, Hodo rotY 4.2 deg ScWall hole 697.4 cm, Xsh=65.65cm, Ysh=-0.43 cm

Common Frante Bourontone Motrix by Close India

Charge distribution in ScWall cells (CCT2)

Charge distribution over the scintillation wall. A peaks corresponding to charges $Z = 1$, 2 can be clearly seen.

Charge distribution in ScWall cells

- Comparison of the charge distributions over the scintillation wall for the two energies at 3.0 and 3.8 GeV for the CCT2 trigger.
- The two cell types (small and big) are presented separately.
- It can be seen that the distributions are very similar, with a slight difference in the second peak. 25

ScWall multiplicity distributions of charged particles for different centrality classes

ScWall multiplicity refers to the number of fired cells in the wall.

Multiplicity is sensitive to centrality -> can be used as estimator. Green, red and blue reflect the most central, semi-central and semiperipheral arbitrary classes of events.

~50% of minbias events, need to be checked with sim (b<10 fm).

```
Cuts:
BC1S (1 Xe)
Z^2 (ScWall) > 0.4
vertex Z (-1.5 < Z < 1.5)Z^2 (FQH) < 50
```
MBT

Multiplicity in ScWall / multiplicity in BD

Multiplicity distribution of charged particles in ScWall

Multiplicity is sensitive to charges on the wall for both energies. The peak corresponding to the single charge is clearly prominent.

This dependency can be used for comparison with Monte Carlo models (DCM-QGSM-SMM etc.)

ScWall multiplicities with different number of global tracks in evevt

XeCs@3.26A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929**

FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg **Simul - 58992 ev, RECO – 58804 ev**

XeCs@3.8A GeV, PHQMD, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929**

DCMSMM

33923

17.82

40

40

112322

15.27

6.033

5.57

PHQMD

FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg **Simul - 281163 ev, RECO – 279140 ev, no etaCut**

Simulation

(after RECO, with reconstructed vertex Z cut -1.5cm – 1.5 cm

W/o cut on number of global tracks

DCMSMM PHQMD

- Number of global tracks > 5 15
- Number of global tracks > 12 19
- Number of global tracks > 13 21
- Number of global tracks > 14 23
- Number of global tracks > 15 25

Runs 7830 – 7885

360k events

FHCal

7839, 7840, 7850, 7856, 7905, 7907, 7950, 7969, 7970, 7972, 7973, 7979, 7997, 8066, 8077, 8111, 8129, 8184, 8186, 8216, 8247, 8289, 8304

Hodo

7839, 7840, 7897, 7901, 7969, 7970, 7972, 7973, 8014, 8063, 8075, 8081, 8088, 8131, 8167, 8175, 8215, 8216, 8247, 8307, 8308

ScWall

7839, 7840, 7900, 7969, 7970, 7972, 7973, 8059, 8167, 8216, 8219, 8307, 8308

FHCal 8312, 8323, 8341, 8414, 8419

Hodo 8312, 8321, 8334, 8341, 8395

ScWall 8312, 8421 3.8 GeV 3.0 GeV

Simulation and experiment comparison (ScWall multiplicity)

XeCs@3.26A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929**

FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm

air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg

Simul - 58992 ev, RECO – 58804 ev

XeCs@3.8A GeV, PHQMD, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929** FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg **Simul - 281163 ev, RECO – 279140 ev, no etaCut**

Simulation (after RECO, with reconstructed vertexZ cut -1.5cm – 1.5 cm no trigger cut, MB **DrawNormalized()**

multiplicityBIG

50 60

multiplicityBIG

60

 15.22
5.87

 $^{15.22}_{-5.87}$

ScWall Z^2 > 0.5

ScWall $0.5 < Z^2 < 1.5$

Experiment (run 8 XeCsI@3.8 AGeV, MBT trigger **DrawNormalized()** Vadim)

ScWall $7^2 > 0.5$ ScWall $0.5 < Z^2 < 1.5$

DCMSMM (b < 10 fm) & experiment

10

10

PHQMD (b < 9 fm) & experiment

35

ScWall $Z^2 > 0.5$ ScWall $0.5 < Z^2 < 1.5$

DCMSMM

39928

16.11

6.805

ScWall multiplicities with different impact parameter cuts

XeCs@3.26A GeV, DCM-QGSM-SMM, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929**

FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg **Simul - 58992 ev, RECO – 58804 ev**

Simulation

(after RECO,

with reconstructed vertex Z cut -1.5cm – 1.5 cm

W/o impact parameter cut Impact parameter < 11 fm Impact parameter < 10 fm Impact parameter < 9 fm Impact parameter < 8 fm Impact parameter < 7 fm

ScWall $Z^2 > 0.5$ ScWall $0.5 < Z^2 < 1.5$

PHQMD

ScWall multiplicities with different impact parameter cuts

XeCs@3.8A GeV, PHQMD, UNIGEN **Scale 0.929**

FHCal 977.8 cm, Xsh=65.3 cm,Ysh=-0.8cm, rotY 1.6 deg Hodo 970.2 cm, Xsh=64.9 cm, Ysh=-1cm, rotY 1.6 deg ScWall hole 741.5 cm, Xsh=68.7cm air in cave, Magnet, **all BMN detectors** VacZdcWall 200x200cm before nDet 12x12cm 27.3deg **Simul - 281163 ev, RECO – 279140 ev, no etaCut**

Simulation

(after RECO, with reconstructed vertex Z cut -1.5cm – 1.5 cm

W/o impact parameter cut Impact parameter < 11 fm Impact parameter < 10 fm Impact parameter < 9 fm Impact parameter < 8 fm Impact parameter < 7 fm