Luminosity detector and the beam pipe

V. Riabov

Initial configuration at NICA startup

- Summer of 2025:
 - ✓ MPD is in service position (being assembled)
 - ✓ stainless steel beam pipe (diameter = 80 mm, width = 1 mm)
 - \checkmark luminosity detector to detect collisions with high efficiecny
- Beam conditions:
 - \checkmark Xe¹²⁴
 - ✓ intensity (???)
 - ✓ energy (???) 0.5, 2.5 and 4 GeV/n
- Question:
 - ✓ best position for the beam luminosity detector?
 - ✓ efficiency?

Geometry

- Simplified geometry for quick Geant-4 estimations:
 - ✓ stainless steel beam pipe (diameter = 80 mm, width = 1 mm)
 - ✓ luminosity detector -4 scintillator blocks of 10 x 10 cm² at different locations along zed
 - ✓ collision vertex is at -85 cm, $Xe^{124} + W$; DCM-QGSM-SMM event generator



- Hits:
 - ✓ one particle with energy deposition of > 5 MeV (energy of ~ charged mip)
- Trigger conditions:
 - $\checkmark\,$ at least one hit
 - \checkmark at least two hits

Beam energy T = 0.5 GeV/n

• At least one hit; At least two hits



60 cm: 94.0022% 41.8173% -40 cm: 99.6846% 98.6558% -85 cm: 97.6874% 91.8781%

Beam energy T = 1.0 GeV/n

• At least one hit; At least two hits



260 cm: 76.7452% 47.1636% 160 cm: 89.821% 70.5578% 60 cm: 98.0373% 70.5578% m40 cm: 99.8844% 99.4572% m85 cm: 99.3471% 97.1304%

Beam energy T = 2.5 GeV/n

• At least one hit; At least two hits



Beam energy T = 4.0 GeV/n

• At least one hit; At least two hits



 260 cm:
 96.6586%
 86.5349%

 160 cm:
 98.8714%
 94.7088%

 60 cm:
 99.7783%
 94.7088%

 -40 cm:
 99.9604%
 99.9076%

 -85 cm:
 99.8697%
 99.3465%

Summary

- Efficiency is higher for higher beam energies
- Closer location to the target-wire is preferable, effect is energy dependent