ALEJANDRO SAN JUAN LÓPEZ

alejandrosanjuan59@gmail.com Tuesday, 20August

Collider Mode. Reduced Magnetic Field.

Progress on task 2:

Particle identification determination of spectra using information about the energy losses (dE/dx) in the TPC and the Time-of-flight from the TOF detector.

Supervisors:

Dr. Vadim Kolesnikov Dr. Ivonne Alicia Maldonado Cervantes Viktar Kireyeu Natalia Kolomoyets





PARAMETERS USED FOR ANALYSIS



Prodution-Generator

request 28 - UrQMD BiBi@ 9.2 GeV reduced magnetic field.



Number of events

10,500,000

Selection criteria for events and identified tracks

- Pt > 0.15 GeV
- η E (-1.5,1.5)
- Number of Hits > 27
 - DCA > 1 cm

PREVIOUS ACTIVITIES

iE/dx arb.

- Adjustments obtained for all particles
- Parameters of the Bethe-Bloch equation obtained for all particles

$$\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \rangle = \frac{P_0}{\beta^{P_3}} \left[P_2 - \beta^{P_3} - \ln \{ P_2 + \left(\frac{1}{\beta \gamma} \right)^{P_4} \} \right],$$





New activities



- 1. Bethe-Bloch parameterized fusions were included as dE/dx boundaries, to clean up the distribution.
- 2. Pt histograms were obtained for three cases: with limits in dE/dx, limits in dE/dx + pdg, and pdg (monte carlo tracks).
- 3. Efficiency histograms were obtained for the following particles: Protons, pions and kaons

1. Bethe-Bloch parameterized fusions were included as dE/dx boundaries, to clean up the distribution.



/____Bethe Bloch functions for each particle__

//proton_sigma_

//double dedxp1=((-2.9144) / TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.82576))*((1.22346 - TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.82576))
-(TMath::Log(2.0642 + TMath::Power(1.0 / (p / 0.9383), 2.20544))));//proton+2sigma
 double dedxp1=((-2.58072) / TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.782313))*((1.16569 - TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.782313))
-(TMath::Log(1.97189 + TMath::Power(1.0 / (p / 0.9383), 2.20629))));//proton+1sigma

//double dedxp2=((-3.11222) / TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.214233))*((1.7496 - TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.214233)))-(TMath::Log(2.83206 + TMath::Power(1.0 / (p / 0.9383), 2.18993))));//proton-2sigma

 double dedxp2=((-3.70863) / TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.434546))*((1.70197 - TMath::Power(p / TMath::Sqrt(p * p + 0.88), 0.434546))

)-(TMath::Log(2.64031 + TMath::Power(1.0 / (p / 0.9383), 2.0007))));//proton-1sigma

 dEdx vs P for the proton





1. Bethe-Bloch parameterized fusions were included as dE/dx boundaries, to clean up the distribution.





For reconstructed tracks with PDG

For reconstructed tracks with dE/dx + PDG

2. Pt histograms were obtained for three cases: with limits in dE/dx, limits in dE/dx + pdg, and pdg (monte carlo tracks).





3. Efficiency histograms were obtained for the following particles: Protons, pions and kaons **Protons**





Efficiency histograms were obtained for the following particles: Protons, 3. pions and kaons Kaons





3. Efficiency histograms were obtained for the following particles: Protons, pions and kaons **Pions**





Thank you all for your comments and support