# Measuring $D^0$ from $D^{*+}$ Decays at the SPD

Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru)

DLNP Dubna, Russia

Nov 08, 2024



1/20

Nov 08, 2024

# Vertex Detector Configurations

- $\bullet$  Since background for D meson detection is enormous ( $\sim 3-4$  orders of magnitude higher), extra handle to tag D mesons are extremely useful
- A subset of  $D^0$  (subsequent discussion holds also for the anti-particle counterpart) comes from decays of excited states  $(D^{*0}, D^{*+})$
- $D^{*0}$  decays to  $D^0$  accompanied by soft photons or  $\pi^0$  and are not very helpful for tagging due to large background and poor energy resolution for such soft/low-energy photons
- Bulk (67.7%) of the  $D^{*+}$  decays via  $D^{*+} \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^+$  and the charged pions can be used to tag such events
- Suggestion from Igor Denisenko

#### Some Relevant Cross-sections

- NA-27 (European Hybrid Spectrometer) at CERN measured D meson cross-sections with 400 GeV/c proton beam ( $\sqrt{s} = 27.4$  GeV)
- Total cross-sections with 15-20 % uncertainty, giving us very decent idea what to expect
- $\sigma(D^0) = 10.5 \pm 1.7 \ \mu b, \ \sigma(\bar{D}^0) = 7.9 \pm 1.5 \ \mu b$
- $\sigma(D^+) = 5.7 \pm 1.0 \ \mu {
  m b}, \ \sigma(\bar{D}^-) = 6.2 \pm 1.0 \ \mu {
  m b}$
- $\sigma(D^{*+}/D^{*-}) = 9.2 \pm 2.2 \ \mu$ b. Assuming excited states having same ratio as regular charged versions :
- $\sigma(D^{*+})\sim$  4.4  $\mu$ b. BR of  $D^{*+}\longrightarrow D^0\pi^+$  : 67.7%, leading to :
- $\sigma(D^0 \text{ from } D^{*+}) \sim 2.98 \ \mu$ b, about 28% of all  $D^0$
- Source : Phys. Lett. B, vol. 189, no. 4, p. 476-482

We lose statistics of signal by 72%, but we may be able to reduce background by a larger factor, improving S/B ratio and figure of merit



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 4 / 20

### Simulation Setup

- Pythia8 + SpdRoot, open-charm process for signal and minimum-bias process for backgrounds
- MAPS (barrel only) vertex tracker used
- KFParticle package used to combine  $\pi^+$ , K to reconstruct  $D^0$
- All π<sup>+</sup> (assumed perfect PID) are combined (four vectors) with D<sup>0</sup> candidate to reconstruct D<sup>\*+</sup> (mass = 2.01 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)



Invariant mass distribution of  $\pi^+ K^-$  from signal events



# Kinematic Properties of the $\pi^+$ from $D^{*+}$ Decay



Low  $p_T$  charged pions, mostly within  $10^0 - 35^0$  polar angle, ending up in the end caps



Nov 08, 2024 6 / 20

### Reconstructed $D^{*+}$ : Signal Events





Reconstructed  $D^{*+}$  with decent precision ( $\sim$  13 MeV/ $c^2$ )

Reduced mass has very high precision ( $\sim 4~{\rm MeV}/c^2$ )

2 M signal events

NICA

#### Reconstructed $D^{*+}$ Candidate : Background Events



Reconstructed  $D^{*+}$  candidates

#### 50 M background events

Reduced mass distribution for  $D^{*+}$  candidates



# Background (and Signal) Reductions

- With cuts on ALL relevant variables (decay length, its uncertainty, opening angle, collinearity angle, DCA of daughter tracks to PV, V0 and each other, DCA of V0 to PV) :
  - (1) signal suppression :  $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$
  - 2 background suppression :  $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$
- With ONLY reduced mass requirement :
  - **1** signal suppression :  $2.1 \times 10^{-2}$
  - 2 background suppression :  $2.9 \times 10^{-5}$
- Simulation statistics not enough to make meaningful background reduction estimates and subsequently, uncertainty calculation, however ...



9 / 20

#### Back of the Envelop Calculations



Multiplicative factor up to S/B = 1

Multiplicative factor for S/B > 1

Uncertainty decreases as S/B increases



#### Back of the Envelop Calculations

- Uncertainty to the TSSA  $\sigma_{A_N^{Sig}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{A_N^{Sig}}^{2} + r^2 \sigma_{A_N^{2}}^{2}}}{1 r}$ , where  $r = \frac{Bkg}{Raw}$
- If we can reduce background by a further factor of 100 (beyond the result of regular cuts) while improving S/B by a factor of 20 ...
- Both moderate assumptions given that S/B improves by a factor of 4 simply replacing all cuts form our previous studies by this one cut
- $\sigma_{A_N^{Sig}}$  reduces by  $\sim$  30%



11 / 20

### Outlook

- This analysis was done with barrel only MAPS vertex detector
- End Caps for MAPS vertex detector will improve this type of measurement (low  $p_T$  charged pions)
- Finally have large scale simulated data production chain working. Test production of 20M min-bias (with DSSD) was finished
- With significant amount of min-bias data (100M 1B), these studies (of rare processes) can give a more definitive and quantitative answer for now, we run out of background events
- In general, this low statistics but cleaner channel seems promising



12/20

# Thank You



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 13 / 20

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

# Backup



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 14 / 20

→ < ∃ →</p>

• • • • • • • • • •

# $\pi^+ K^-$ Invariant Mass



Invariant mass of  $\pi^+ K^-$  for signal and background events in simulation  $\pi^+ K^-$ Image: A math Nov 08, 2024 15 / 20

# Decay Length



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 16 / 20

< □ > < @ >

# Decay Length Divided by Uncertainty



Comparison of decay length divided by uncertainty

Figure of merit : decay length divided by uncertainty

17 / 20

# Opening Angle between Pion and Kaon



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 18 / 20

#### Distance of Pion, Kaon from Primary Vertex



Comparison of daughter DCA to PV

Figure of merit : daughter DCA to PV



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 19 / 20

# Distance between Pion and Kaon



Amaresh Datta (amaresh@jinr.ru) (JINR) Measuring  $D^0$  from  $D^{*+}$  Decays at the SPD

Nov 08, 2024 20 / 20